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# Frequency Analysis of Moderately Thick Composite Panels with Negative Gaussian Curvature 

İlke ALGÜL ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ and Ahmet Sinan ÖKTEM ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$<br>${ }^{1}$ Department of Mechanical Engineering, Gebze Technical University, 41400, Gebze-TURKEY<br>ilkees @gtu.edu.tr<br>sinan.oktem@gtu.edu.tr


#### Abstract

This study explores the frequency analysis of moderately thick laminated cylindrical, spherical and negative Gaussian curvature panel for various combinations of boundary conditions. The analysis incorporates a first-order shear deformation theory along with an extension of linear strain-displacement relationships to account for transverse shear effects throughout the thickness direction. The equilibrium equations for laminated composite shells are derived using the virtual work principle. Generalized differential quadrature method is employed here to solve the free vibration of plates and panels shells made up from symmetric and antisymmetric cross-ply laminated composites. Utilizing the GDQ method in the governing differential equation transforms the problem into a generalized eigenvalue problem, leading to the determination of the frequency parameter. Natural frequencies are explained with tabular data by investigating the effect of various parameters such as effects of stacking lamination, boundary conditions and the effect of curvature.


## 1. INTRODUCTION

Curved composite panel with uniform thickness $h$, length $a$, and width $b$ is given in Figure 1.1. The $x, y$ and $z$ stated the orthogonal curvilinear coordinate system attached to the middle surface of the shell $(z=0)$. $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ are denoted the principal radius of the middle surface of the panel curvature.


Figure 1.1. Negative Gaussian Curvature Panel $\left(R_{1}=R, R_{2}=-R\right)$

The displacement field at general point ( $x, y$, and $z$ ) of the panel based on first-order shear deformation theory may be written as:

$$
\begin{gather*}
u(x, y, z, t)=u_{0}(x, y, t)+z \cdot \theta_{x}(x, y, t)  \tag{1.1a}\\
v(x, y, z, t)=u_{0}(x, y, t)+z \cdot \theta_{y}(x, y, t)  \tag{1.1b}\\
w(x, y, z, t)=w_{0}(x, y, t) \tag{1.1c}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $u_{0}, v_{0}, w_{0}$ are the displacement field of a point on the middle surface of the shell along the $x, y$ and $z$ axes. $\theta_{\mathrm{x}}$ and $\theta_{\mathrm{y}}$ are the rotations around the $y$ and $x$ axes, respectively.

The strain-displacement relations for the curved panels using the displacement fields in equations. (1.1a-c) from the theory of elasticity in curvilinear coordinates are given below for small elastic deformation.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\varepsilon_{x}=\frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial x}+z \frac{\partial \theta_{x}}{\partial x}+\frac{w_{0}}{R_{x}}  \tag{1.2a}\\
\varepsilon_{y}=\frac{\partial v_{0}}{\partial y}+z \frac{\partial \theta_{y}}{\partial y}+\frac{w_{0}}{R_{y}}  \tag{1.2b}\\
\gamma_{x y}=\frac{\partial v_{0}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial y}+z \frac{\partial \theta_{x}}{\partial y}+z \frac{\partial \theta_{y}}{\partial x}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{R_{y}}-\frac{1}{R_{x}}\right)\left(\frac{\partial v_{0}}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial y}\right)  \tag{1.2c}\\
\gamma_{y z}=\theta_{y}+\frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial y}-\frac{v_{0}}{R_{y}}  \tag{1.2d}\\
\gamma_{x z}=\theta_{x}+\frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial x}-\frac{u_{0}}{R_{x}} \tag{1.2e}
\end{gather*}
$$

For the sake of brevity, the derivation of equilibrium equations of the composite doubly curved panel using the virtual work principle is not explained here. Further explanations are given in [1-2]. With the use of the virtual work principle, five coupled fourth-order linear governing differential equations of a moderately thick laminated composite doubly curved panel are as given below:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial N_{x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial N_{x y}}{\partial y}+\frac{Q_{x z}}{R_{x}}-\frac{\partial M_{x y}}{\partial y}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{R_{y}}-\frac{1}{R_{x}}\right)\right)=I_{0} \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial t^{2}}+I_{1} \frac{\partial^{2} \theta_{x}}{\partial t^{2}}  \tag{1.3a}\\
\frac{\partial N_{y}}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial N_{x y}}{\partial x}+\frac{Q_{y z}}{R_{y}}+\frac{\partial M_{x y}}{\partial x}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{R_{y}}-\frac{1}{R_{x}}\right)\right)=I_{0} \frac{\partial^{2} v_{0}}{\partial t^{2}}+I_{1} \frac{\partial^{2} \theta y}{\partial t^{2}}  \tag{1.3b}\\
-\frac{N_{x}}{R x}-\frac{N_{y}}{R y}+\frac{\partial Q_{y z}}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial Q_{x z}}{\partial x}=I_{0} \frac{\partial^{2} w_{0}}{\partial t^{2}}+q(x, y, t)  \tag{1.3c}\\
\frac{\partial M_{x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial M_{x y}}{\partial y}-Q_{x z}=I_{1} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{0}}{\partial t^{2}}+I_{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \theta x}{\partial t^{2}}  \tag{1.3d}\\
\frac{\partial M_{y}}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial M_{x y}}{\partial x}-Q_{y z}=I_{1} \frac{\partial^{2} v_{0}}{\partial t^{2}}+I_{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \theta y}{\partial t^{2}} \tag{1.3e}
\end{gather*}
$$

The explanation of the in-plane and transverse stress and moment resultants ( $N_{x}, N_{y}, N_{x y}$, $M_{x}, M_{y}, M_{x y}, Q_{x z}, Q_{y z}$ ) for a laminated panel can be found in [1]. In equations (1.3a-e), laminate mass inertia terms are expressed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(I_{0}, I_{1}, I_{2}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{z_{k-1}}^{z_{k}} \rho^{k}\left(1, z, z^{2}\right) d_{z} . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho(\mathrm{k})$ indicates the density of the k-th layer and $q(x, y, t)$ is the distributed lateral load at the top of the laminate given in Eq. (1.3c).

The problem is solved for the boundary conditions below explained mathematically:
Simply supported type 3 (SS3) boundary conditions identified at the edges,

$$
\begin{align*}
& x=0, a: u_{2}=u_{3}=\theta_{2}=M_{1}=N_{1}=0  \tag{1.5a}\\
& y=0, b: u_{1}=u_{3}=\theta_{1}=M_{2}=N_{2}=0 \tag{1.5b}
\end{align*}
$$

Mixed type simply supported type (SS1-SS2-SS3-SS4) boundary conditions identified at the edges,

$$
\begin{align*}
& (S S 2) x=0: u_{1}=u_{3}=\theta_{2}=M_{1}=N_{6}=0  \tag{1.6a}\\
& (S S 1) x=a: u_{3}=\theta_{2}=M_{1}=N_{1}=N_{6}=0  \tag{1.6b}\\
& (S S 4) y=0: u_{1}=u_{2}=u_{3}=\Theta_{1}=M_{2}=0  \tag{1.6c}\\
& (S S 3) y=b: u_{1}=u_{3}=\Theta_{1}=M_{2}=N_{2}=0 \tag{1.6d}
\end{align*}
$$

SS3-RS4 boundary conditions defined in [4] identified at the edges,

$$
\begin{align*}
& (R S 4) x=0, a: u_{1}=u_{2}=\theta_{2}=M_{1}=Q_{1}=0  \tag{1.7a}\\
& (S S 3) y=0, b: u_{1}=u_{3}=\theta_{1}=M_{2}=N_{2}=0 \tag{1.7b}
\end{align*}
$$

## 2. STATEMENT OF PROBLEM

GDQ method [4] is employed to obtain numerical solution in order to solve the governing equation with the proposed boundary conditions. The GDQ provides a means to approximate derivatives of a smooth function, where the method evaluates the derivative of a given order at a specific point by combining the function values from all domain points. The proposed mathematical formulation starts with the displacement functions which involves the time variable ( t ) as well as position variables $(x, y)$. Displacement functions can be written as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{(T)}(x, y, t)=U^{T}(x, y) e^{i \omega t} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{U}^{(T)}$ is composed of function of spatial variables and is written in matrix form as:

$$
\boldsymbol{U}^{(T)}=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
U_{1}(x, y) & U_{2}(x, y) & U_{3}(x, y) & \Theta_{1}(x, y) & \Theta_{2}(x, y) \tag{2.2}
\end{array}\right]^{T}
$$

$U_{1}, U_{2}, U_{3}, \Theta_{1}, \theta_{2}$ can be expressed in terms of nodal displacement components and Lagrange polynomials.

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{i}(x, y)=\sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{n=1}^{N} L_{m}(x) S_{n}(y) u_{i}(x, y)(i=1,2,3) \tag{2.3a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{i}(x, y)=\sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{n=1}^{N} L_{m}(x) S_{n}(y) \theta_{i}(x, y)(i=1,2) \tag{2.3b}
\end{equation*}
$$

$u_{i}\left(x_{i}, y_{j}\right)$ are field variables at grid point $(i, j)$ and the first and 2nd derivatives of a twodimensional field variable with respect to x or y is mentioned in [3]. Global assembling of governing equations and boundary conditions with the substitution of field variables (displacement functions) results in the matrix form as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M \ddot{U}+K U=0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where K is the stiffness matrix, $[\mathrm{m}]$ is the mass matrix, $\{\mathrm{U}\}$ and $\{\ddot{U}\}$ are the nodal displacement and acceleration vector, respectively. Written matrix in the way causes numerical instabilities and ill-conditioned matrices [5]. Thus, it is required to subdivide the matrix as boundary equations $b$ and domain equations $d$. It is possible to write:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
{\left[K_{b b}\right]} & {\left[K_{b d}\right]}  \tag{2.5}\\
{\left[K_{d b}\right]} & {\left[K_{d d}\right]}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\Delta_{b} \\
\Delta_{d}
\end{array}\right\}-\omega^{2}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
{[0]} & {[0]} \\
{[0]} & {\left[M_{d d}\right]}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\Delta_{b} \\
\Delta_{d}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right\}
$$

It is possible to rewrite:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(K_{d d}-K_{d b}\left(K_{b b}^{-1}\right) K_{b d}\right) \Delta_{d}=\omega^{2} M_{d d} \Delta_{d}  \tag{2.6a}\\
\left(\widehat{K}-\omega^{2} \widehat{M}\right) \Delta_{d}=0 \tag{2.6b}
\end{gather*}
$$

The natural frequencies of the structure, represented by $\omega$ can be found by solving the standard eigenvalue problem in equation (2.6b). To achieve this, the MATLAB software's builtin eigs function is utilized, which yields the results in terms of the natural frequencies for the structures under investigation.

## 3. NUMERICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this subsection, numerical examples for free vibration analysis of moderately thick plate and panels are presented by investigating the effect of various parameters such as effects of stacking lamination, material types, the effect of curvature, loading conditions, etc. The following dimension mechanical properties are assumed for validation of composite cross-ply laminate plate with [6]:
Material Type 1: $E_{1} / E_{2}=40, G_{12}=G_{13}=0.6 E_{2}, G_{23}=0.5 E_{2}, v_{12}=0.25$ and $v_{21}=0.00625$.
The non-dimensional frequency parameter, $\lambda$ specified as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=\frac{\omega b^{2}}{\pi^{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\rho h}{D_{0}}} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{0}=\frac{E_{2} h^{3}}{12\left(1-v_{12} v_{21}\right)} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table 6.1 presents the frequency parameters from the first validation along with the values from Liew [6]. The frequency results obtained by Srinivas et al. [7] and Liew et al. [6] were based on 3D elasticity theory. It is observed that at $\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{h}=100$, the frequency parameters from the 3D elasticity theory are in close agreement with the current results. However, at a higher thickness ratio $(\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{h}=10)$, the first order shear deformation theory (FSDT) used in the proposed frequency
model tends to provide lower eigenvalues after the third mode sequence number compared to the 3D elasticity theory. Notably, the error between present results and shear deformation theories results becomes more significant for higher modes for $\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{h}=10$.The difference is ascribed to FSDT including a shear correction factor, thickness shear deformation, and rotary inertia, which results in a reduced estimation of plate stiffness.
Table 6.2 validates the response of frequency parameters for the simply supported cross-ply $\left[0^{\circ} / 90^{\circ} / 90^{\circ} / 0^{\circ}\right]$ laminated plate at different length-to-thickness ratios (a/h). The comparison of our results with those of Reddy and Phan [8], who used a high order shear deformation theory, demonstrates good agreement. Notably, the fundamental frequencies in Table 6.2 show a significant impact from varying thickness, with a general trend of increasing fundamental frequency as the $\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{h}$ ratio decreases.

Table 6.1: Comparison of frequency parameter, $\lambda$ for a simply supported square plate. ( $\mathrm{v}=0.3$, $\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{h}=10,100$ ).

| $\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{h}$ | Results | Mode sequence number |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |
| 100 | Srinivas et al. <br> [7] | 1.999 | 4.995 | 4.995 | 7.988 | 9.981 | 9.981 |  |
|  | Liew [6] | 2.000 | 5.000 | 5.000 | 8.000 | 9.999 | 9.999 |  |
|  | Present Study | 1.9993 | 5.0024 | 5.0024 | 7.9978 | 10.0279 | 10.0279 |  |
|  | Srinivas et al. <br> [7] | 1.9342 | 4.6222 | 4.6222 | 7.1030 | 8.6618 | 8.6618 |  |
|  | Liew [6] | 1.931 | 4.605 | 4.605 | 7.064 | 8.605 | 8.605 |  |
|  | Present Study | 1.9317 | 4.6109 | 4.6109 | 6.5234 | 6.5234 | 7.0747 |  |

Table 6.2: Comparison of frequency parameter, $\lambda$ of a simply supported cross-ply
$\left[0^{\circ} / 90^{\circ} / 90^{\circ} / 0^{\circ}\right]$ laminated plate.

| $\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{h}$ | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.20 | 0.25 | 0.50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reddy <br> and <br> Phan <br> $[8]$ | 6.578 | 6.475 | 6.330 | 6.196 | 5.708 | 5.355 | 3.854 | 3.331 | 1.956 |
| Present <br> Study | 6.6061 | 6.5493 | 6.4582 | 6.1934 | 5.6769 | 5.3107 | 3.8066 | 3.2945 | 1.7069 |

According to literature, shells with negative Gaussian curvature will exhibit the lowest frequencies compared with their non-negative Gaussian counterparts. Thus, it is intended further investigations about the curvature effects of composite shells with positive and negative
gaussian curvature. Thus, the proposed solution methodology with GDQ is compared with the obtained FEM results (ANSYS) in Table 6.3 for antisymmetric cross-ply [ $0^{\circ} / 90^{\circ}$ ] laminated negative Gaussian curvature panel, $(R 1=-R$ or $R 2=-R)$, and spherical panel $(R 1=R, R 2=R)$ and plate for $\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{h}=20$ and 50 .
Table 6.3 : Comparison of frequency parameter, $\lambda$ of simply supported cross-ply $\left[0^{\circ} / 90^{\circ}\right]$ laminated plates and panels with positive and negative Gaussian curvature for different $\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{h}$ ratios.

|  | $\mathrm{R}_{1} / \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{R}_{2} / \mathrm{a}=\infty$ |  | $\mathrm{R}_{1} / \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{R}_{2} / \mathrm{a}=10$ |  | $\mathrm{R}_{1} / \mathrm{a}=10$, <br> $\mathrm{R}_{2} / \mathrm{a}=-10$ |  | $\mathrm{R}_{1} / \mathrm{a}=-10$, <br> $\mathrm{R}_{2} / \mathrm{a}=10$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{h}$ | GDQ | FEA | GDQ | FEA | GDQ | FEA | GDQ | FEA |
| 20 | 7543.4 | 7360.7 | 7832.5 | 7657.2 | 7553.8 | 7341.1 | 7500.2 | 7358.5 |
| 50 | 3076.4 | 3063.1 | 3758.7 | 3748.1 | 3074.2 | 3057.4 | 3065.1 | 3060.5 |

As can be seen from Table 6.3, mathematical model with the GDQ can effectively calculate for panels with saddle shapes. Remarkably, the results are very similar between GDQ and FEM for all types of panels, indicating the theory's capability to handle these geometries accurately.
The following mechanical properties [9] are assumed for convergence fundamental frequencies study of composite cross-ply laminate panel:

Mat Type 2: $E_{1}=175.78 \mathrm{GPa}, E_{1} / E_{2}=25, G_{12}=G_{13}=0.5 E_{2}, G_{23}=0.2 E_{2}$, and $v_{12}=0.25$.
Since the stability and accuracy are highly affected by the count of grid point, a convergence study is studied firstly. A rapid and monotonic convergence is observed for grid number m , $\mathrm{n}=9 \mathrm{x} 9$ for SS3 type boundary conditions as can be shown in Table 6.4.

Table 6.4: Convergence study of first three natural frequencies $(\mathrm{Hz})$ of cross-ply composite laminated $\left[0^{\circ} / 90^{\circ}\right]$ panel according to different grid numbers ( $\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{h}=20$ ) (SS3 Boundary Condition).

|  | Grid numbe r | 7x7 | 9x9 | 11x11 | 13x13 | 15x15 | 17x17 | 19x19 | 21x21 | $23 \times 23$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{0}{0}$ | 1 | $\begin{gathered} 3.450 \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.448 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.448 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.448 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.448 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.448 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.448 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.448 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.448 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 2 | $\begin{gathered} 8.768 \\ 4 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.827 \\ 5 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.821 \\ 6 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.821 \\ 7 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.821 \\ 7 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.821 \\ 7 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.821 \\ 7 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.821 \\ 7 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.821 \\ 7 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 3 | $\begin{gathered} 8.815 \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.875 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.869 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.869 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.869 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.869 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.869 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.869 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.869 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ |

Table 6.5 provide outcomes for antisymmetric [ $0^{\circ} / 90^{\circ}$ ] and symmetric cross-ply [ $0^{\circ} / 90^{\circ} / 0^{\circ}$ ] laminated negative Gaussian curvature panel, ( $\mathrm{R}_{1}=-\mathrm{R}$ or $\left.\mathrm{R}_{2}=-\mathrm{R}\right)$, and spherical panel $\left(\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{R}\right.$, $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{R}$ ) and plate with varying boundary conditions for different $\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{h}$ ratios. Insights drawn from Table 6.5 reveal the following: Shells characterized by negative Gaussian curvature ,exhibit lower frequencies compared to shells with non-negative Gaussian curvature for cross-ply
composite laminated $\left[0^{\circ} / 90^{\circ}\right]$ for SS3, SS3-RS4, Mixed SS. However, panels with negative Gaussian curvature exhibit lower frequencies compared to shells with non-negative Gaussian curvature for cross-ply composite laminated $\left[0^{\circ} / 90^{\circ} / 0^{\circ}\right]$ for SS3, SS3-RS4, Mixed SS. Regardless of boundary conditions, the nondimensional fundamental frequencies are increased by increasing the side-to-thickness ratio $\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{h}$.
Table 6.5: Nondimensionalized frequency parameter of cross-ply laminated plates and panels with positive and negative Gaussian curvature for different a/h ratios.

|  |  | $\mathrm{R}_{1} / \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{R}_{2} / \mathrm{a}=\infty$ |  | $\mathrm{R}_{1} / \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{R}_{2} / \mathrm{a}=10$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1} / \mathrm{a}=10 \\ & \mathrm{R}_{2} / \mathrm{a}=-10 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R}_{1} / \mathrm{a}=-10, \\ \mathrm{R}_{2} / \mathrm{a}=10 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B.C. | a/h | $\begin{gathered} {\left[0^{\circ} / 9\right.} \\ \left.0^{\circ}\right] \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {\left[0^{\circ} / 90^{\circ} /\right.} \\ \left.0^{\circ}\right] \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {\left[0^{\circ} / 9\right.} \\ \left.0^{\circ}\right] \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {\left[0^{\circ} / 90^{\circ} \%\right.} \\ \left.0^{\circ}\right] \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {\left[0^{\circ} / 9\right.} \\ \left.0^{\circ}\right] \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline\left[0^{\circ} / 90^{\circ} /\right. \\ \left.0^{\circ}\right] \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} {\left[0^{\circ} / 90^{\circ}\right.} \\ ] \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {\left[0^{\circ} / 90^{\circ} /\right.} \\ \left.0^{\circ}\right] \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| SS3 | 20 | 3.321 | 4.988 | 3.448 | 5.070 | 3.326 | 4.978 | 3.302 | 4.977 |
|  | 50 | 3.386 | 5.276 | 4.137 | 5.779 | 3.383 | 5.265 | 3.374 | 5.267 |
|  | 100 | 3.396 | 5.322 | 5.856 | 7.132 | 3.390 | 5.311 | 3.386 | 5.311 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SS3- } \\ & \text { RS4 } \end{aligned}$ | 20 | 2,963 | 2,459 | 3.178 | 3,867 | 2,974 | 3,856 | 4,023 | 3,856 |
|  | 50 | 3,265 | 2,942 | 4.735 | 6,257 | $\begin{gathered} 4,304 \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | 5,943 | 6,721 | 5,943 |
|  | 100 | 3,332 | 3,075 | 8.300 | 9,127 | 7,027 | 8,231 | 8,716 | 8,231 |
| Mixed SS | 20 | 3,426 | 4,988 | 3,513 | 5,080 | 3,326 | 5,032 | 3,659 | 5,032 |
|  | 50 | 3,503 | 5,276 | 4,255 | 5,879 | 3,545 | 5,618 | 4,387 | 5,618 |
|  | 100 | 3,515 | 5,322 | 6,141 | 7,457 | 4,553 | 6,607 | 5,787 | 6,607 |

## 4. CONCLUSIONS

In this study, frequency analysis of moderately thick laminated cylindrical, spherical and negative Gaussian curvature panel for various combinations of boundary conditions was presented using GDQ method. The governing equilibrium equations for laminated composite panels were obtained using the virtual work principle. Comprehensive tabular and graphical results were displayed to show the effects of curvature, stacking lamination and boundary conditions on the frequency response of plate and panel structures. The validity of the proposed model was authenticated with a review of the available literature, and the convergence characteristics
were demonstrated. The numerical results could particularly be utilized during the early design stages of such laminated structures and as benchmark solutions for the future comparison of numerical results.
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#### Abstract

In this study, we present a new generalization of the Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for geometrically convex functions via Hadamard fractional integras. Also, we give some new inequalities for Hadamard fractional integrals by using two identities.


## INTRODUCTION

Definition 1. The function $f: J \subseteq(0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ is said to be $G G$-convex (geometrically convex) if the following inequality holds

$$
f\left(x^{\lambda} y^{(1-\lambda)}\right) \leq[f(x)]^{\lambda}[f(y)]^{(1-\lambda)}
$$

for all $x, y \in J$ and $\lambda$ in $[0,1]$.
In 2013, Iscan [8] also proved the following result:
Theorem 1. Suppose that $f: I \subset \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$is geometrically convex and $a, b \in I$ with $a<b$, and $f \in L[a, b]$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(\sqrt{a b}) \leq \frac{1}{(\ln b-\ln a)} \int_{a}^{b} \sqrt{f(z) f\left(\frac{a b}{z}\right) \frac{d z}{z}} \\
\leq & \frac{1}{(\ln b-\ln a)} \int_{a}^{b} f(z) \frac{d z}{z} \leq L(f(a), f(b)) \leq \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2} \tag{1.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where the logarithmic mean $L(u, v)$ of two positive numbers $u, v$ by

$$
L(u, v):=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{u-v}{\ln u-\ln v}, & \text { if } u \neq v \\
u, & \text { if } u=v
\end{array}\right.
$$

In addition to the convex function, many authors are working on geometric convexity, and with this definition, many new inequalities of the Hermite-Hadamard type are being studied, please see in the references [1], [8]-[14].
While fractional calculus has a rich historical background, recent developments in the field, particularly in the introduction of novel fractional derivative and integral operators by
researchers, have revitalized interest in this area, particularly within applied sciences. This surge in interest has led to the introduction of numerous new fractional operators into the literature, driven by investigations into the properties of fractional derivative and associated integral operators, such as their singularity and locality, and modifications to their kernel structure.
Another type of fractional derivative that is mentioned in the literature is the Hadamard fractional derivative, which was introduced by Hadamard in 1892, see [4] and [5]. It distinguishes itself from the Riemann-Liouville and Caputo derivatives in that the integral kernel contains a logarithmic function with an arbitrary exponent. More information about the Hadamard fractional derivative and its properties can be found in references. Recent literature has also featured results related to fractional integral inequalities employing the Hadamard fractional integral. Therefore, the study of fractional differential equations need more developmental of inequalities of fractional type, for some of them, please see ([2], [3], [6], [7], [14]-[16]).

Definition 2. Let $f \in L_{1}[a, b]$. The left and righet Hadamard fractional integrals $H_{a+}^{\alpha} f$ and $H_{b-}^{\alpha} f$ of order $\alpha>0$ with $a \geq 1$ are defined by

$$
H_{a+}^{\alpha} f(x)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{a}^{x}\left(\ln \frac{x}{t}\right)^{\alpha-1} f(t) \frac{d t}{t}, \quad x>a
$$

and

$$
H_{b-}^{\alpha} f(x)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{x}^{b}\left(\ln \frac{t}{x}\right)^{\alpha-1} f(t) \frac{d t}{t}, \quad x<b
$$

respectively where $\Gamma(\alpha)=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t} u^{\alpha-1} d u$.
In this study, the following definitions will be made specifically:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{a+}^{\alpha}(\sqrt{f(b) f(a)})=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{a}^{b}\left(\ln \frac{z}{a}\right)^{\alpha-1} \sqrt{f(z) f\left(\frac{a b}{z}\right)} \frac{d z}{z} \\
& H_{b-}^{\alpha}(\sqrt{f(b) f(a)})=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{a}^{b}\left(\ln \frac{b}{z}\right)^{\alpha-1} \sqrt{f(z) f\left(\frac{a b}{z}\right) \frac{d z}{z}}
\end{aligned}
$$

In this paper, we introduce a novel extension of the Hermite-Hadamard inequalities for geometrically convex functions via Hadamard fractional integrals. In order to exemplify its principal findings, a novel identity will be derived, and on the basis of said identity, some new integral inequalities will be presented. Additionally, we derive new inequalities that have strong connections with the right and left hand sides of the Hermite-Hadamard inequalities for Hadamard fractional integrals.

## MAIN RESULTS

First, let's start our article by obtaining the Hermite-Hadamard inequality for the geometrically convex function.

Theorem 2. Let $f:[a, b] \subseteq(0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ be a geometrically convex function on $[a, b]$. If $f \in L[a, b]$, then the following inequalities hold:

$$
\begin{align*}
f(\sqrt{a b}) & \leq \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{2\left(\ln \frac{b}{a}\right)^{\alpha}}\left[H_{a^{+}}^{\alpha}(\sqrt{f(b) f(a)})+H_{b^{-}}^{\alpha}(\sqrt{f(b) f(a)})\right] \\
& \leq \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{2\left(\ln \frac{b}{a}\right)^{\alpha}}\left[H_{a^{+}}^{\alpha} f(b)+H_{b^{-}}^{\alpha} f(a)\right] \\
& \leq \frac{\alpha}{2}\left[\frac{f(b)}{\left[\ln \frac{f(a)}{f(b)}\right]^{\alpha}} \int_{1}^{\frac{f(a)}{f(b)}}(\ln x)^{\alpha-1} d x+\frac{f(a)}{\left[\ln \frac{f(b)}{f(a)}\right]^{\alpha}} \int_{1}^{\frac{f(b)}{f(a)}}(\ln x)^{\alpha-1} d x\right] \\
& \leq \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2} . \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Since $f$ is geometrically convex function on $[a, b]$ and using geometric-aritmatic inequality, we have

$$
f(\sqrt{x y}) \leq \sqrt{[f(x)][f(y)]} \leq \frac{f(x)+f(y)}{2}
$$

For $t \in[0,1], x=a^{1-t} b^{t}, y=a^{t} b^{1-t} \in[a, b]$, it follows that

$$
f(\sqrt{a b}) \leq \sqrt{\left[f\left(a^{1-t} b^{t}\right)\right]\left[f\left(a^{t} b^{1-t}\right)\right] \leq \frac{f\left(a^{1-t} b^{t}\right)+f\left(a^{t} b^{1-t}\right)}{2} . . . ~}
$$

By multiplying the result by $t^{\alpha-1}$ and integrates both sides of the inequality according to the parameter $t$ on $[0,1]$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 f(\sqrt{a b}) \int_{0}^{1} t^{\alpha-1} d t & \leq 2 \int_{0}^{1} t^{\alpha-1} \sqrt{\left[f\left(a^{1-t} b^{t}\right)\right]\left[f\left(a^{t} b^{1-t}\right)\right] d t} \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{1} t^{\alpha-1} f\left(a^{1-t} b^{t}\right) d t+\int_{0}^{1} t^{\alpha-1} f\left(a^{t} b^{1-t}\right) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the change of the variable, we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{2}{\alpha} f(\sqrt{a b}) \\
\leq & \frac{1}{\left(\ln \frac{b}{a}\right)^{\alpha}} \int_{a}^{b}\left(\ln \frac{z}{a}\right)^{\alpha-1} \sqrt{f(z) f\left(\frac{a b}{z}\right) \frac{d z}{z}} \\
& +\frac{1}{\left(\ln \frac{b}{a}\right)^{\alpha}} \int_{a}^{b}\left(\ln \frac{b}{z}\right)^{\alpha-1} \sqrt{f(z) f\left(\frac{a b}{z}\right) \frac{d z}{z}} \\
\leq & \frac{1}{\left(\ln \frac{b}{a}\right)^{\alpha}} \int_{a}^{b}\left(\ln \frac{z}{a}\right)^{\alpha-1} f(z) \frac{d z}{z}+\frac{1}{\left(\ln \frac{b}{a}\right)^{\alpha}} \int_{a}^{b}\left(\ln \frac{b}{z}\right)^{\alpha-1} f(z) \frac{d z}{z} \\
= & \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\left(\ln \frac{b}{a}\right)^{\alpha}}\left[H_{a^{+}}^{\alpha} f(b)+H_{b^{-}}^{\alpha} f(a)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\int_{a}^{b}\left(\ln \frac{z}{a}\right)^{\alpha-1} \sqrt{f(z) f\left(\frac{a b}{z}\right)} \frac{d z}{z}=\int_{a}^{b}\left(\ln \frac{b}{z}\right)^{\alpha-1} \sqrt{f(z) f\left(\frac{a b}{z}\right)} \frac{d z}{z} .
$$

This is the first part of inequalities (2.1). On the other hand, since $f$ is geometrically convex function on $[a, b]$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f\left(a^{t} b^{1-t}\right) \leq[f(a)]^{t}[f(b)]^{1-t} \leq t f(a)+(1-t) f(b), \\
& f\left(a^{1-t} b^{t}\right) \leq[f(a)]^{1-t}[f(b)]^{t} \leq t f(a)+(1-t) f(b) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By adding two these inequalites, we have

$$
\left[f\left(a^{t} b^{1-t}\right)+f\left(a^{1-t} b^{t}\right)\right] \leq f(b)\left[\frac{f(a)}{f(b)}\right]^{t}+f(a)\left[\frac{f(b)}{f(a)}\right]^{t} \leq f(a)+f(b)
$$

and multiplying the result by $t^{\alpha-1}$ and integrates both sides of the inequality according to the parameter $t$ on $[0,1]$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{1} t^{\alpha-1} f\left(a^{t} b^{1-t}\right) d t+\int_{0}^{1} t^{\alpha-1} f\left(a^{1-t} b^{t}\right) d t \\
& \leq f(b) \int_{0}^{1}\left[\frac{f(a)}{f(b)}\right]^{t} t^{\alpha-1} d t+f(a) \int_{0}^{1}\left[\frac{f(b)}{f(a)}\right]^{t} t^{\alpha-1} d t \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{1} t^{\alpha-1}[f(a)+f(b)] d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the change of the variable, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \frac{1}{\left(\ln \frac{b}{a}\right)^{\alpha}} \int_{a}^{b}\left(\ln \frac{z}{a}\right)^{\alpha-1} f(z) \frac{d z}{z}+\frac{1}{\left(\ln \frac{b}{a}\right)^{\alpha}} \int_{a}^{b}\left(\ln \frac{b}{z}\right)^{\alpha-1} f(z) \frac{d z}{z} \\
& \leq \frac{f(b)}{\left[\ln \frac{f(a)}{f(b)}\right]^{\alpha}} \int_{1}^{\frac{f(a)}{f(b)}}(\ln x)^{\alpha-1} d x+\frac{f(a)}{\left[\ln \frac{f(b)}{f(a)}\right]^{\alpha}} \int_{1}^{\frac{f(b)}{f(a)}}(\ln x)^{\alpha-1} d x \\
& \leq \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{\alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we obtain desired the second part of inequalities (2.1).
Remark 1. In Theorem 2, if we choose $\alpha=1$, then the inequality (2.1) becomes the inequality (1.1).
To prove our other main results, we require the following lemma:
Lemma 1. Let $f: I \subset \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}+$ be differentiable function on $I^{\circ}$, the interior of the interval $I$, where $a, b \in I$ with $a<b$, and $f^{\prime} \in L[a, b]$. Then the following identity holds,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}-\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{2\left(\ln \frac{b}{a}\right)^{\alpha}}\left[H_{a^{+}}^{\alpha} f(b)+H_{b^{-}}^{\alpha} f(a)\right]  \tag{2.2}\\
= & \frac{a}{2} \ln \frac{b}{a} \int_{0}^{1} t^{\alpha}\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{t} f^{\prime}\left(a^{1-t} b^{t}\right) d t-\frac{b}{2} \ln \frac{b}{a} \int_{0}^{1} t^{\alpha}\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{t} f^{\prime}\left(a^{t} b^{1-t}\right) d t .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. By integration by parts, we have

$$
\int_{0}^{1} t^{\alpha}\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{t} f^{\prime}\left(a^{1-t} b^{t}\right) d t=\frac{1}{a \ln \frac{b}{a}} f(b)-\frac{\alpha}{a\left(\ln \frac{b}{a}\right)} \int_{0}^{1} t^{\alpha-1} f\left(a^{1-t} b^{t}\right) d t
$$

and

$$
\int_{0}^{1} t^{\alpha}\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{t} f^{\prime}\left(a^{t} b^{1-t}\right) d t=-\frac{1}{b \ln \frac{b}{a}} f(a)+\frac{\alpha}{b\left(\ln \frac{b}{a}\right)} \int_{0}^{1} t^{\alpha-1} f\left(a^{t} b^{1-t}\right) d t
$$

By subtract by side to side these two integrals and using the change of the variable, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a \int_{0}^{1} t^{\alpha}\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{t} f^{\prime}\left(a^{1-t} b^{t}\right) d t-b \int_{0}^{1} t^{\alpha}\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{t} f^{\prime}\left(a^{t} b^{1-t}\right) d t \\
= & \frac{1}{\ln \frac{b}{a}}[f(a)+f(b)]-\frac{\alpha}{\left(\ln \frac{b}{a}\right)}\left[\int_{0}^{1} t^{\alpha-1} f\left(a^{1-t} b^{t}\right) d t+\int_{0}^{1} t^{\alpha}\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{t} f^{\prime}\left(a^{t} b^{1-t}\right) d t\right] \\
= & \frac{1}{\ln \frac{b}{a}}[f(a)+f(b)]-\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\left(\ln \frac{b}{a}\right)^{\alpha+1}}\left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{a}^{b}\left(\ln \frac{z}{a}\right)^{\alpha-1} f(z) \frac{d z}{z}+\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{a}^{b}\left(\ln \frac{b}{z}\right)^{\alpha-1} f(z) \frac{d z}{z}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

By multiplying this result by $\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{b}{a}$, it is desired equality (2.2).
Remark 2. In Lemma 1, we choose $\alpha=1$, then the equality (2.2) becomes the following equality,

$$
\frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}-\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(z) \frac{d z}{z}=\frac{\ln \frac{b}{a}}{2}\left\{a \int_{0}^{1} t\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{t} f^{\prime}\left(a^{1-t} b^{t}\right) d t-b \int_{0}^{1} t\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{t} f^{\prime}\left(a^{t} b^{1-t}\right) d t\right\}
$$

which is proved by İscan in [8].
Theorem 3. With the assumptations in Lemma 1. If $\left|f^{\prime}\right|$ is geometrically convex on $[a, b]$, then we have the following inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\lvert\, \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}-\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{2\left(\ln \frac{b}{a}\right)^{\alpha}}\left[H_{a^{+}}^{\alpha} f(b)+H_{b^{-}}^{\alpha} f(a) \mid\right.\right. \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{b}{a}\left[a\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right| G_{\alpha}\left(\frac{b\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|}{a\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|}\right)+b\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right| G_{\alpha}\left(\frac{a\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|}{b\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|}\right)\right] \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $G_{\alpha}$ is defined by

$$
G_{\alpha}(s)=\frac{1}{[\ln (s)]^{\alpha+1}} \int_{1}^{s}(\ln u)^{\alpha} d u .
$$

Proof. We take absolute value of (2.2) and by using the geometrically convexity of $\left|f^{\prime}\right|$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\lvert\, \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}-\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{2\left(\ln \frac{b}{a}\right)^{\alpha}}\left[H_{a^{+}}^{\alpha} f(b)+H_{b^{-}}^{\alpha} f(a) \mid\right.\right. \\
& \left.\leq \frac{a}{2} \ln \frac{b}{a} \int_{0}^{1} f^{\alpha}\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{t}\left|f^{\prime}\left(a^{1-t} b^{t}\right) d t+\frac{b}{2} \ln \frac{b}{a} \int_{0}^{1} t^{\alpha}\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{t}\right| f^{\prime}\left(a^{t} b^{1-t}\right) \right\rvert\, d t \\
& \left.\leq \frac{a}{2} \ln \frac{b}{a} \int_{0}^{1} t^{\alpha} \alpha^{\alpha}\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{t}\left|f^{\prime}(a)^{1-t}\right| f^{\prime}(b)\right)^{t} d t+\frac{b}{2} \ln \frac{b}{a} \int_{0}^{1} t^{\alpha}\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{t}\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{t}\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{1-t} d t \\
& = \\
& \frac{a}{2} \ln \frac{b}{a}\left[\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|}{\left[\ln \left(\frac{\left.b f^{\prime}(f) b\right)}{a\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|}\right)\right]^{\alpha \alpha+1}} \int_{1}^{\frac{b\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|}{a\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|}}(\ln u)^{\alpha} d u+\frac{b}{2} \ln \frac{b}{a} \frac{\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|}{\left[\ln \left(\frac{\left|a f^{\prime}(a)\right|}{\left.b \mid f^{\prime}(b)\right)}\right)\right]^{\alpha+1}} \int_{1}^{\frac{a\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|}{b\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|}}(\ln u)^{\alpha} d u .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves the inequality (2.3).
Corollary 1. Under the conditions of the Theorem 3 with $\alpha=1$, we ave the following inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left|\frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}-\frac{1}{\ln b-\ln a} \int_{a}^{b} f(x) \frac{d x}{x}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{b}{a} L\left(b\left|f^{\prime}(b), a\right| f^{\prime}(a)\right)\right) . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. In Theorem 3, if we choose $\alpha=1$, then the inequality (2.3) becomes the following inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}-\frac{1}{\ln b-\ln a} \int_{a}^{b} f(x) \frac{d x}{x}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left.+\left[\left.\frac{b\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|-a\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|}{\ln b\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|-\ln a\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|}-a \right\rvert\, f^{\prime}(a)\right]\right\}\right\} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{b}{a} L\left(b\left|f^{\prime}(b), a\right| f^{\prime}(a)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which is proved the inequality (2.4).
Lemma 2. Let $f: I \subset \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$be differentiable function on $I^{\circ}$, the interior of the interval $I$, where $a, b \in I$ with $a<b$, and $f^{\prime} \in L[a, b]$. Then the following identity holds,

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(\sqrt{a b})-\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{2\left(\ln \frac{b}{a}\right)^{\alpha}}\left[H_{a^{+}}^{\alpha} f(b)+H_{b^{-}}^{\alpha} f(a)\right]  \tag{2.5}\\
= & \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{b}{a}\left\{a \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} t^{\alpha}\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{t} f^{\prime}\left(a^{1-t} b^{t}\right) d t-b \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} t^{\alpha}\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{t} f^{\prime}\left(a^{t} b^{1-t}\right) d t\right. \\
& \left.+a \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1}\left(t^{\alpha}-1\right)\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{t} f^{\prime}\left(a^{1-t} b^{t}\right) d t+b \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1}\left(1-t^{\alpha}\right)\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{t} f^{\prime}\left(a^{t} b^{1-t}\right) d t\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. By integration by parts, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} t^{\alpha}\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{t} f^{\prime}\left(a^{1-t} b^{t}\right) d t=\frac{1}{2^{\alpha}} \frac{1}{\ln \frac{b}{a}} f(\sqrt{a b})-\frac{\alpha}{\left(\ln \frac{b}{a}\right)} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} t^{\alpha-1} f\left(a^{1-t} b^{t}\right) d t, \\
& -b \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} t^{\alpha}\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{t} f^{\prime}\left(a^{t} b^{1-t}\right) d t=\frac{1}{2^{\alpha}} \frac{1}{\ln \frac{b}{a}} f(\sqrt{a b})-\frac{\alpha}{\left(\ln \frac{b}{a}\right)} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} t^{\alpha-1} f\left(a^{t} b^{1-t}\right) d t, \\
& a \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1}\left(t^{\alpha}-1\right)\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{t} f^{\prime}\left(a^{1-t} b^{t}\right) d t=\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{\alpha}}\right) \frac{1}{\ln \frac{b}{a}} f(\sqrt{a b})-\frac{\alpha}{\left(\ln \frac{b}{a}\right)} \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1} t^{\alpha-1} f\left(a^{1-t} b^{t}\right) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
b \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1}\left(1-t^{\alpha}\right)\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{t} f^{\prime}\left(a^{t} b^{1-t}\right) d t=\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{\alpha}}\right) \frac{1}{\ln \frac{b}{a}} f(\sqrt{a b})-\frac{\alpha}{\left(\ln \frac{b}{a}\right)} \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1} t^{\alpha-1} f\left(a^{t} b^{1-t}\right) d t .
$$

By adding by side to side these four integrals and using the change of the variable, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} t^{\alpha}\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{t} f^{\prime}\left(a^{1-t} b^{t}\right) d t-b \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} t^{\alpha}\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{t} f^{\prime}\left(a^{t} b^{1-t}\right) d t \\
& +a \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1}\left(t^{\alpha}-1\right)\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{t} f^{\prime}\left(a^{1-t} b^{t}\right) d t+b \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1}\left(1-t^{\alpha}\right)\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{t} f^{\prime}\left(a^{1-t} b^{t}\right) d t \\
& =\frac{2}{\ln \frac{b}{a}} f(\sqrt{a b})-\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\left(\ln \frac{b}{a}\right)^{\alpha+1}}\left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{a}^{b}\left(\ln \frac{z}{a}\right)^{\alpha-1} f(z) \frac{d z}{z}+\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{a}^{b}\left(\ln \frac{b}{z}\right)^{\alpha-1} f(z) \frac{d z}{z}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

By multiplying this result by $\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{b}{a}$, it is desired equality (2.5).
Theorem 4. With the assumptations in Lemma 2. If $\left|f^{\prime}\right|$ is geometrically convex on $[a, b]$, then we have the following inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|f(\sqrt{a b})-\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{2\left(\ln \frac{b}{a}\right)^{\alpha}}\left[H_{a^{+}}^{\alpha} f(b)+H_{b^{-}}^{\alpha} f(a)\right]\right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{b}{a}\left\{a\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|\left[T_{\alpha}\left(\frac{b\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|}{a\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|}\right)-Y_{\alpha}\left(\frac{b\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|}{a\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|}\right)\right]\right. \\
& +b \left\lvert\, f^{\prime}(b)\left[\left[T_{\alpha}\left(\frac{a\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|}{b\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|}\right)-Y_{\alpha}\left(\frac{a\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|}{b\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|}\right)\right]\right\}\right. \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{b}{a}\left[\frac{b\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|-a\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|}{\ln b\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|-\ln a\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|}\right] \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $T_{\alpha}$ and $Y_{\alpha}$ are defined by

$$
T_{\alpha}(s)=\frac{1}{[\ln (s)]^{\alpha+1}} \int_{1}^{\sqrt{s}}(\ln u)^{\alpha} d u
$$

and

$$
Y_{\alpha}(s)=\frac{1}{[\ln (s)]^{\alpha+1}} \int_{\sqrt{s}}^{s}(\ln u)^{\alpha} d u
$$

Proof. We take absolute value of (2.5) and by using the geometrically convexity of $\left|f^{\prime}\right|$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|f(\sqrt{a b})-\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{2\left(\ln \frac{b}{a}\right)^{\alpha}}\left[H_{a}^{\alpha}+f(b)+H_{b}^{\alpha}-f(a)\right]\right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{b}{a}\left\{a \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} t^{\alpha}\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{t}\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{1-t}\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{t} d t+b \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} t^{\alpha}\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{t}\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{t}\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{1-t} d t\right. \\
& \left.+a \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1}\left(1-t^{\alpha}\right)\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{t}\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{1-t}\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{t} d t+b \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1}\left(1-t^{\alpha}\right)\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{t}\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{t}\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{1-t} d t\right\} \\
& =\frac{a}{2}\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right| \ln \frac{b}{a}\left\{\int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} t^{\alpha}\left(\frac{b\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|}{a\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|}\right)^{t} d t+\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1}\left(1-t^{\alpha}\right)\left(\frac{b\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|}{a\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|}\right)^{t} d t\right\} \\
& +\frac{b}{2}\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right| \ln \frac{b}{a}\left\{\int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} t^{\alpha}\left(\frac{a\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|}{b\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|}\right)^{t} d t+\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1}\left(1-t^{\alpha}\right)\left(\frac{a\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|}{b\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|}\right)^{t} d t\right\} \\
& =\frac{a}{2} \ln \frac{b}{a} \frac{\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|}{\left[\ln \frac{b f^{\prime}(b) \mid}{\left.a\right|^{\prime}(a) \mid}\right]^{\alpha+1}}\left\{\int_{1}^{\sqrt{\frac{\left.b\right|^{\prime}(b) \mid}{a| |^{\prime}(a) \mid}}}(\ln u)^{\alpha} d u+\int_{\sqrt{\frac{b\left|j^{\prime}(b)\right|}{\left.a\right|^{\prime}(a) \mid}}}^{\frac{b f^{\prime}(b) \mid}{\left.a\right|^{\prime}(a) \mid}}\left[\left(\ln \frac{b\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|}{a\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|}\right)^{\alpha}-(\ln u)^{\alpha}\right] d u\right\} \\
& +\frac{b}{2} \frac{\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|}{\left[\ln \frac{\left.a\right|^{\prime}(a) \mid}{b f^{\prime}(b) \mid}\right]^{\alpha+1}} \ln \frac{b}{a}\left\{\int_{1}^{\sqrt{\frac{\left.a\right|^{\prime}(a) \mid}{b\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|}}}(\ln u)^{\alpha} d u+\int_{\sqrt{\frac{a\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|}{\left|\left.\right|^{\prime}(b)\right|}}}^{\frac{\left.a\right|^{\prime}(a) \mid}{\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|}}\left[\left(\ln \frac{a\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|}{b\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|}\right)^{\alpha}-(\ln u)^{\alpha}\right] d u\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves the inequality (2.6).
Corollary 2. Under the conditions of the Theorem 4 with $\alpha=1$, we have the following inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left|f(\sqrt{a b})-\frac{1}{\ln b-\ln a} \int_{a}^{b} f(x) \frac{d x}{x}\right| \leq \frac{1}{4} \ln \frac{b}{a} L^{2}\left(\sqrt{b \mid f^{\prime}(b)}, \sqrt{a \mid f^{\prime}(a)}\right)\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. In Theorem 4, if we choose $\alpha=1$, then the inequality (2.6) becomes the following inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|f(\sqrt{a b})-\frac{1}{\ln b-\ln a} \int_{a}^{b} f(x) \frac{d x}{x}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{b}{a}\left\{a\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|\left[\frac{1}{\left[\ln \frac{b f^{\prime}(b) \mid}{a f^{\prime}(a) \mid}\right]^{2}} \int_{1}^{\sqrt{\frac{b f^{\prime}(b) \mid}{a f^{\prime}(a) \mid}}}(\ln u) d u-\frac{1}{\left[\ln \frac{b f^{\prime}(b) \mid}{a\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|}\right]^{2}} \int_{\sqrt{\frac{\left.b\right|^{\prime}(b) \mid}{\left.a\right|^{\prime}(a) \mid}}}^{\frac{b\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|}{a f^{\prime}(a) \mid}}(\ln u) d u\right]\right. \\
& \left.+b\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|\left[\frac{1}{\left[\ln \frac{a f^{\prime}(a) \mid}{\left.b\right|^{\prime}(b) \mid}\right]^{2}} \int_{1}^{\sqrt{\frac{a\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|}{b\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|}}}(\ln u) d u-\frac{1}{\left[\ln \frac{a f^{\prime}(a) \mid}{b f^{\prime}(b) \mid}\right]^{2}} \int_{\sqrt{\frac{a\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|}{b\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|}}}^{\frac{a| |^{\prime}(a) \mid}{b f^{\prime}(b) \mid}}(\ln u) d u\right]\right\} \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{b}{a}\left[\frac{b\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|-a\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|}{\ln b\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|-\ln a\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{b}{a}\left\{\frac{a\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|}{\left[\ln \frac{b f^{\prime}(b) \mid}{a\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|}\right]^{2}}\left[2 \sqrt{\frac{b\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|}{a\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|}}\left(\ln \sqrt{\frac{b\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|}{a\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|}}-1\right)+1-\frac{b\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|}{a\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|}\left(\ln \frac{b\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|}{a\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|}-1\right)\right]\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{b\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|}{\left[\ln \frac{a f^{\prime}(a) \mid}{b\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|}\right]^{2}}\left[2 \sqrt{\frac{a\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|}{b\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|}}\left(\ln \sqrt{\frac{a\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|}{b\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|}}-1\right)+1-\frac{a\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|}{b\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|}\left(\ln \frac{a\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|}{b\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|}-1\right)\right]\right\} \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{b}{a}\left[\frac{b\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|-a\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|}{\ln b\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|-\ln a\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|}\right] \\
& ==\ln \frac{b}{a}\left[\frac{\sqrt{b\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|}-\sqrt{a\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|}}{\ln b\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|-\ln a\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|}\right]^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is proved the inequality (2.7).
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#### Abstract

Our research investigates a stochastic SIR epidemic model characterized by a saturation effect in the incidence rate. The core of our analysis is the threshold dynamics, revealing that a negative $\lambda<0$ enhances the probability of disease eradication. In contrast, a positive $\lambda>0$ results in the model exhibiting a singular positive stationary distribution.


## 1. INTRODUCTION

In the early 1900s, epidemiology experienced a pivotal shift due to the influential work of renowned scientists like Anderson Gray McKendrick and Janet Leigh. They were instrumental in introducing mathematical modeling to epidemiology, an essential technique in the discipline. Mathematical models have significantly influenced how outbreaks and epidemics are managed, becoming a key factor in shaping data-driven public health strategies. The development of epidemiology, notably its transition into a formal scientific discipline, is marked by significant contributions from several key figures. Among them, Quinto Tiberio Angelerio is noteworthy for his adept handling of the plague in Alghero, Sardinia, in 1582. However, the birth of modern epidemiology is primarily attributed to the 19th century. John Snow, often hailed as the "father of modern epidemiology," played a crucial role in his detailed analysis of a cholera outbreak in London, tracing its source to the contaminated water of the Broad Street pump. This seminal investigation marks a turning point in epidemiology, establishing the foundations of the rigorous, data-driven discipline we know today. Mathematical models have become instrumental in revealing the complex dynamics of disease development and spread. A significant advancement in this area was the development of the SIR (Susceptible-InfectedRemoved) epidemic model by Kermack and McKendrick in 1927 [1]. The incidence rate, a critical metric, quantifies new infections over a defined period. Various incidence rates have been explored to reflect the nuances of transmission dynamics accurately. These include the bilinear incidence rate (see, e.g., [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7] for more information and the references cited therein), saturation infection rate [8], and several nonlinear incidence rates (refer to [9, 10, 11] for further insights), each contributing to a more nuanced understanding of epidemiological patterns. Infectious diseases are sensitive to environmental variations, including temperature shifts, humidity, and broader climatic conditions. As a result, using stochastic differential
equations has gained prominence in modeling disease transmission. These equations offer a more authentic portrayal of how stochastic elements influence the dynamics of infectious diseases. Our study focuses on a stochastic SIR epidemic model that incorporates a saturated incidence rate, offering insights into the complex interplay of disease transmission under stochastic influences.

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
d S & =\left[\Lambda-\mu S-\frac{\beta S I}{1+\alpha S}\right] d t+\sigma_{1} S d B_{1}  \tag{1.1}\\
d I & =\left[-(\mu+\gamma) I+\frac{\beta S I}{1+\alpha S}\right] d t+\sigma_{2} I d B_{2} \\
d R & =[\gamma I-\mu R] d t+\sigma_{3} R d B_{3}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

At a given moment, the variables $S(t), I(t)$, and $R(t)$ represent the number of susceptible, infected, and recovered individuals, respectively, in the population. Within this model, the positive parameters have specific interpretations: $\gamma$ is the rate at which infected individuals recover, $\beta S /(1+\alpha S)$ describes the incidence rate with $\beta$ as the rate of disease transmission, and $\alpha$ as the half-saturation constant. Additionally, $\mu$ signifies the natural mortality rate of the population, while $\Lambda$ indicates the rate at which new individuals are added to the people. In our model, there are independent Brownian motions, represented by $B_{i}(t)$ where $i=1,2,3$, each accompanied by a corresponding intensity of white noise, denoted as $\sigma_{i}$, and all these intensities are positive. Given that the final equation in system (1.1) operates independently of the first two, our analysis is concentrated exclusively on the subsequent equations:

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
d S & =\left[\Lambda-\mu S-\frac{\beta S I}{1+\alpha S}\right] d t+\sigma_{1} S d B_{1}  \tag{1.2}\\
d I & =\left[-(\mu+\gamma) I+\frac{\beta S I}{1+\alpha S}\right] d t+\sigma_{2} I d B_{2}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper, we consider the following notations: $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}=\{(s, i): s \geq 0, i \geq 0\}$, $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2, o}=\{(s, i): s>0, i>0\}$. We establish $\left(\Omega, \mathcal{T},\left\{\mathcal{T}_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P}\right)$ as a complete probability space, accompanied by a filtration $\left\{\mathcal{T}_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ that adheres to standard conditions. Our research focuses on the analysis of a $d$-dimensional Itô process governed by the following stochastic differential equation (SDE):

$$
\begin{equation*}
d X=f(X) d t+g(X) d B, \quad \text { for each } \quad t \geq t_{0} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $B(t)$ represents a $d$ - dimensional white noise, and the starting value $X(0) \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$. According to Itô formula, the stochastic equation verified by $V(X(t), t)$ where $V$ is a function that is continuously twice differentiable and defined on $\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}$, is given by:

$$
d V(X)=\mathcal{L} V(X) d t+\nabla V(X) g(X) d B(t)
$$

where $\nabla V=\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{d}}\right)$ is gradient of $V$ and $\mathcal{L}$ is the differential operator with system (2.1), defined by

$$
\left.\mathcal{L}=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\sum_{i=1}^{d} f_{i}(X) \frac{\partial}{\partial X_{i}}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j=1}^{d}\left[g^{T}(X) \cdot g(X)\right)\right]_{i j} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial X_{i} \partial X_{j}} .
$$

Theorem 2.1. For each $X(0) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}$, there exists a unique positive solution $X$ of $\operatorname{SDE}$ (1.2) for every $t \geq 0$ such that

$$
\mathbb{P}_{s, i}\left\{X(t) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}\right\}=1
$$

Proof. The proof of positivity of solutions follows a methodology similar to that in [11], which we omit for brevity.
Next, we establish the threshold that governs the dynamics of the model (1.2). To proceed, we consider the following equation obtained by setting $I(t)=0$ in the first equation of model (1.2) and denote the solution of this equation as $\hat{S}(t)$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \hat{S}(t)=[\Lambda-\mu \hat{S}(t)] d t+\sigma_{1} \hat{S}(t) d B_{1} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with initial value $S(0)$. It follows from the comparison theorem [12] that for all $t \leq 0$

$$
S(t) \leq \hat{S}(t), \quad \text { a. s. }
$$

As shown in [13], the process $\hat{S}(t)$ exhibits a unique stationary distribution $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ where the expression of its density is given, using Fokker-Planck equation by

$$
f^{*}(x)=\frac{\left(2 \Lambda \sigma_{1}^{-2}\right)^{2 \mu \sigma_{1}^{-2}+1}}{\Gamma\left(1+\frac{2 \mu}{\sigma_{1}^{2}}\right)} x^{-\left(2+2 \mu \sigma_{1}^{-2}\right)} \exp \left(\frac{-2 \Lambda}{\sigma_{1}^{2} x}\right), \quad x \in(0, \infty),
$$

where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is a Gamma function. Therefore, one has

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{t} \hat{S}(x) \mathrm{d} x=\int_{0}^{\infty} s f^{*}(s) \mathrm{d} s=\frac{\Lambda}{\mu} \quad \text { a.s.. }
$$

In the following, we shall show that the long-time behavior of $I(t)$ is determined by

$$
\lambda=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\beta s}{1+\alpha s} \pi(\mathrm{~d} s)-\mu-\gamma-\frac{\sigma_{2}^{2}}{2} .
$$

## 3. MAIN RESULTS

The focal outcome of this section is the identification of nearly necessary and sufficient conditions determining the persistence and extinction of the disease.

Theorem 3.1. Consider $X$ as the solution to $\operatorname{SDE}$ (1.2) with an initial value $X_{0}$. Assume that $\lambda<0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \ln I(t) \leq \lambda<0, \quad \text { a.s.. } \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\lambda>0$, then, the model (1.2) possesses a unique stationary distribution $v^{*}($.$) and is ergodic.$ Moreover,
(i) for any $v^{*}$-integrable $f: \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2, o} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$
\mathbb{P}\left\{\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{t} f(X(\tau)) \mathrm{d} \tau=\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}^{2, o}} f(x) v^{*}(\mathrm{~d} x)\right\}=1
$$

(ii) For each $(s, i) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2, o}, \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left\|P(t,(s, i), \cdot)-v^{*}(\cdot)\right\|=0$, where $P(t,(s, i), \cdot)$ is the transition probability.

Proof. The case $\lambda<0$. Using the Itô formula to function $\ln I(t)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
d(\ln I) & =\left[\frac{\beta S}{1+\alpha S}-\left(\gamma+\mu+\frac{\sigma_{2}^{2}}{2}\right)\right] d t+\sigma_{2} d B_{2} \\
& \leq\left[\frac{\beta \hat{S}}{1+\alpha \hat{S}}-\left(\gamma+\mu+\frac{\sigma_{2}^{2}}{2}\right)\right] d t+\sigma_{2} d B_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

By applying the strong law of large numbers and from the ergodicity of $\hat{S}(t)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \ln I(t) & \leq \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\beta \hat{S}}{1+\alpha \hat{S}} \mathrm{~d} x-\mu-\gamma-\frac{\sigma_{2}^{2}}{2} \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\beta s}{1+\alpha s} \pi(\mathrm{~d} s)-\mu-\gamma-\frac{\sigma_{2}^{2}}{2} \\
& :=\lambda \text { a.s.. }
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} I(t)=0 \quad \text { a.s.. }
$$

The proof is completed.

Proof. The case $\lambda>0$. In view of system (1.2), we define

$$
V_{1}=-\ln I+\frac{\beta}{\mu}(\hat{S}-S)-\frac{\beta}{\mu} I
$$

Applying the Itô formula, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L} V_{1} & =\left(\mu+\gamma+\frac{\sigma_{2}^{2}}{2}\right)-\frac{\beta S}{1+\alpha S}-\beta(\hat{S}-S)+\frac{\beta(\mu+\gamma)}{\mu} I \\
& \leq-\lambda+\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\beta s}{1+\alpha s} \pi(\mathrm{~d} s)-\frac{\beta \hat{S}}{1+\alpha \hat{S}}+\frac{\beta \hat{S}}{1+\alpha \hat{S}}-\frac{\beta S}{1+\alpha S}-\beta(\hat{S}-S)+\frac{\beta(\mu+\gamma)}{\mu} I, \\
& \leq-\lambda+\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\beta s}{1+\alpha s} \pi(\mathrm{~d} s)-\frac{\beta \hat{S}}{1+\alpha \hat{S}}+\frac{\beta(\mu+\gamma)}{\mu} I . \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Define

$$
V_{2}(S)=-\ln S, \quad V_{3}(X)=\frac{1}{m+1}(S+I)^{m+1}
$$

where $m>0$ satisfying

$$
\theta:=\mu-\frac{m}{2}\left(\sigma_{1}^{2} \vee \sigma_{2}^{2}\right)>0
$$

Applying the Itô formula to $V_{2}$ and $V_{3}$, respectively, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L} V_{2} \leq-\frac{\Lambda}{s}+\beta I+\mu+\frac{\sigma_{1}^{2}}{2} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L} V_{3} & =(S+I)^{m}[\Lambda-\mu S-(\mu+\gamma) I]+\frac{m}{2}(S+I)^{m-1}\left(\sigma_{1}^{2} S^{2}+\sigma_{2}^{2} I^{2}\right) \\
& \leq(S+I)^{m} \Lambda-\mu(S+I)^{m+1}+\frac{m}{2}(S+I)^{m+1}\left(\sigma_{1}^{2} \vee \sigma_{2}^{2}\right), \\
& \leq B-\frac{\theta}{2}(S+I)^{m+1} \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
B=\sup _{X \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2, o}}\left\{(S+I)^{m} \Lambda-\frac{\theta}{2}(S+I)^{m+1}\right\} .
$$

We define

$$
\tilde{V}(S, I)=C V_{1}(S, I)+V_{2}(S)+V_{3}(X),
$$

where $C>0$ is adequately large to fulfill the following condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-C \lambda+F \leq-2, \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
F=\sup _{S \in(0, \infty)}\left\{\beta I-\frac{\Lambda}{S}+B+\mu+\frac{\sigma_{1}^{2}}{2}-\frac{\theta}{2} S^{m+1}-\frac{\theta}{2} I^{m+1}\right\} .
$$

Furthermore, it is essential to remark that $\tilde{V}(S, I)$ has a minimum value point $\left(S^{*}, I^{*}\right)$. Then, we consider a non-negative $\mathcal{C}^{2}$-function $W(S, I)$ as follows:

$$
W(S, I)=\tilde{V}(S, I)-\tilde{V}\left(S^{*}, I^{*}\right) .
$$

In view of (3.2), (3.3) and (3.4), we obtain

B-

$$
\mathcal{L} W \leq-C \lambda+C\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\beta s}{1+\alpha s} \boldsymbol{\pi}(\mathrm{~d} s)-\frac{\beta \hat{s}}{1+\alpha \hat{S}}\right)+\frac{C \beta(\mu+\gamma)}{\mu} I-\frac{\Lambda}{s}+\beta I+\mu+\frac{\sigma_{1}^{2}}{2}+
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\theta}{2} S^{m+1}-\frac{\theta}{2} I^{m+1} \\
=G(S, I)+C\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\beta s}{1+\alpha s} \boldsymbol{\pi}(\mathrm{~d} s)-\frac{\beta \hat{S}}{1+\alpha \hat{S}}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
G(S, I)=-C \lambda+\frac{C \beta(\mu+\gamma)}{\mu} I-\frac{\Lambda}{S}+\beta I+\mu+\frac{\sigma_{1}^{2}}{2}+B-\frac{\theta}{2} S^{m+1}-\frac{\theta}{2} I^{m+1} .
$$

Now, we can formulate a bounded open set $\mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon}$ as follows:

$$
\mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon}=\left\{(S, I) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2, o} / \quad \varepsilon<S<\frac{1}{\varepsilon}, \quad \varepsilon<I<\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right\}
$$

where $0<\varepsilon<1$ is a sufficiently small. Furthermore, by using the explicit formulation of $G(S, I)$, one has
Case 1. Letting $S \rightarrow 0^{+}$or $S \rightarrow \infty$ or $I \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
G(S, I) & =-C \lambda+\frac{C \beta(\mu+\gamma)}{\mu} I-\frac{\Lambda}{S}+\beta I+\mu+\frac{\sigma_{1}^{2}}{2}+B-\frac{\theta}{2} S^{m+1}-\frac{\theta}{2} I^{m+1} \\
& \leq-\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Case 2. Assume that $I \rightarrow 0^{+}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
G(S, I) & =-C \lambda-\frac{\Lambda}{S}+\beta I+\frac{C \beta(\mu+\gamma)}{\mu} I+\mu+\frac{\sigma_{1}^{2}}{2}+B-\frac{\theta}{2} S^{m+1}-\frac{\theta}{2} I^{m+1} \\
& \leq-C \lambda+\frac{C \beta(\mu+\gamma)}{\mu} I+F \rightarrow-C \lambda+F \\
& \leq-2
\end{aligned}
$$

which follows from (3.5).
As a result, for a sufficiently small $\varepsilon>0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(X) \leq-1, \quad \text { for all } \quad(S, I) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2, o} \backslash u_{\varepsilon} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, there is also $T>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(X) \leq T, \quad \text { for each } \quad(S, I) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2, o} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, by the ergodicity of $\hat{S}$, combining (3.6) and (3.7), we obtain

$$
0 \leq \liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{t} \mathbb{E}(G(X(\tau))) \mathrm{d} \tau
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{t}\left[\mathbb{E}\left(G(X(\tau)) \mathbb{I}_{\left\{X(\tau) \in \mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon} c^{c}\right\}}\right)+\mathbb{E}\left(G(X(\tau)) \mathbb{I}_{\left\{X(\tau) \in \mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon}\right\}}\right)\right] \mathrm{d} \tau \\
& \leq \liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{t}\left[T P\left(X(\tau) \in \mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon}\right)-P\left(X(\tau) \in \mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon}^{c}\right)\right] \mathrm{d} \tau \\
& =-1+(T+1) \liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{t} P\left(X(\tau) \in U_{\varepsilon}\right) \mathrm{d} \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{t} P\left(\tau,(s, i), U_{\varepsilon}\right) \mathrm{d} \tau \geq \frac{1}{T+1}, \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $X_{0}=(s, i) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2, o}$. Given that $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2, o}$ is an invariant set according to (1.2), we can examine $X(t)$ within the state space $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2, o}$. Additionally, leveraging the invariance of $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2, o}$ and the inequality (3.8), it follows that there exists an invariant probability measure $v^{*}$ on $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2, o}$, as established in [14]. Moreover, the independence of $B_{i}(t), i=1,2$, as indicated in [15, 16], implies that $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2, o}$ serves as the support of $v^{*}$. In consideration of the results presented in references [17, 18, 19], it can be demonstrated that assertions (i) and (ii) are substantiated. This completes the proof.

## 4. CONCLUSION

In epidemiological research, exploring stochastic dynamics offers a nuanced perspective on disease spread and control. Our study, "Stochastic Dynamics in Epidemic Modeling: LongTerm Analysis of a SIR Model Featuring Incidence Capping," delves into the intricate interplay between random environmental factors and disease transmission. By integrating stochastic elements into the classical SIR (Susceptible-Infected-Recovered) model, this research sheds light on the long-term behavior of infectious diseases under varying conditions. A key feature of our model is the incorporation of incidence capping, which provides a more realistic representation of how external limitations and behavioral changes in a population may influence disease transmission. This approach allows for a deeper understanding of the unpredictable nature of epidemics and aids in developing more effective, data-driven public health strategies.
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#### Abstract

This study deals with obtaining an optimal solution for the optimal control of the parabolic initial-boundary value problem. We show that the optimal control problem is well-posed and prove that the cost functional is differentiable. In this paper, a variational method for the optimal control problem is suggested. A necessary condition for the optimal solution is given.


## 1. INTRODUCTION

The control problems of the parabolic equations have attracted many mathematicians since these problems are of paramount importance in heat conduction processes. Bushuyev [15] has controlled the function $f(x)$ in the parabolic problem $u_{t}+A u=\sigma(x, t) f(x)$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions. Münch and Periago [4] have studied the optimal distribution of the support of the internal null control of minimal $L_{2}$-norm for the 1-D heat equation. In [5], the numerical approximation of an optimal control problem for a linear heat equation has been presented. Yu [14] has established the equivalence of minimal time and minimal norm control problems for the semi-linear heat equations. Zheng, Guo and Ali [12] have investigated the stability of the optimization problem for a multidimensional heat equation. Zheng and Yin [13] have studied the optimal time for the time optimal control problem governed by an internally controlled semi-linear heat equation.

There are some studies about the initial control for parabolic problems [7-8,16,20] and for hyperbolic problems [2-3,23]. Klibanov [20] obtained logarithmic stability estimates for the unknown initial condition. Lions [16] has examined the optimal control problem of the initial condition for the parabolic systems from the measured temperature at the final time when the control function belongs to the space $L_{2}$. Hao and Oanh worked on the determination of the initial condition in parabolic equations from boundary observations in [7] and from integral observations in [8]. In both studies, the control function belongs to the space $L_{2}$.
In this study, we consider a thin rod of length $l$ with an initial temperature $v(x)$, and a heat source $f(x, t)$. We control the initial temperature in a parabolic problem from a given target function $y(x, t)$. Moreover, the initial data is an element of the space $H^{1}$. More precisely, we consider the following optimal control problem:

Choose a control $v(x) \in H^{1}(0, l)$ and a corresponding $u$ such that the pair $(v, u)$ minimizes the functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\alpha}(v)=\int_{0}^{T} \int_{0}^{L}[u(x, t ; v)-y(x, t)]^{2} d x d t+\alpha\|v-w\|_{H^{1}(0, l)}^{2} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

subject to the parabolic problem:

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{t}-k u_{x x}=f(x, t), \quad(x, t) \in \Omega:=(0, l) \times(0, T] \\
& u(x, 0)=v(x), \quad x \in(0, l)  \tag{1.2}\\
& u_{x}(0, t)=0, \quad u_{x}(l, t)=0, \quad t \in(0, T]
\end{align*}
$$

where $k>0$ is a constant, $y \in L_{2}(\Omega)$ is a given target function, $f$ is a given function and $w \in H^{1}(0, l)$ is an initial guess for the optimal control.
$J_{\alpha}(v)$ is called the objective function. With the choice of the functional in (1.1), we mention the observation of $u(x, t ; v)$ in $L_{2}(\Omega)$ for the control $v(x) \in H^{1}(0, l)$. In (1), $\alpha>0$ is the parameter of regularization and it can be found by the Tikhonov regularization method [6]. Let admissible set $V_{a d}$ be closed, convex and bounded subset of the space $H^{1}(0, l)$. We denote by $u(x, t ; v)$ the solution of the parabolic problem (1.2), corresponding to the given element $\mathrm{v} \in$ $V_{a d}$.
The parabolic boundary value problem (1.2) admits a unique solution $u \in H^{1,1}(\Omega)$ for every $v(x) \in H^{1}(0, l)$ and $f \in L_{2}(\Omega)$. This solution holds the following estimate [9,17]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{H^{1,1}}^{2} \leq c_{1}\left(\|f\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}+\|v\|_{H^{1}(0, l)}^{2}\right) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{1}$ is a constant independent from $f$ and $v$.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we show the existence and uniqueness of the optimal solution and get the gradient of the cost functional (1.2). Then we give a necessary condition in the integral form for the optimal solution.

Now, we give the difference problem of the optimal control problem (1.1)-(1.2). Let's give the increment $\Delta v$ to $v$ such that $v+\Delta v \in V_{a d}$ and show the solution of (1.2) corresponding $v+$ $\Delta v$ by $u_{\Delta}=u(x, t ; v+\Delta v)$. Then the function $\Delta u=u_{\Delta}-u$ will be the solution of the following difference problem:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta u_{t}-k \Delta u_{x x}=0, \quad(x, t) \in \Omega \\
& \Delta u(x, 0)=\Delta v(x), \quad x \in(0, l)  \tag{2.1}\\
& \Delta u_{x}(0, t)=0, \quad \Delta u_{x}(l, t)=0, \quad t \in(0, T]
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 1. Let $\Delta u$ be the solution of the problem (2.1). Then the following estimate is valid:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\Delta u(x, t)\|_{L_{2}(0, T)}^{2} \leq c_{2}\|\Delta v\|_{H^{1}(0, l)}^{2} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $c_{2}$ is independent from $\Delta v$.

Theorem 1 (Goebel Theorem). Let $H$ be a uniformly convex Banach space and the set $V$ be a closed, bounded and convex subset of $H$. If $\alpha>0$ and $\beta \geq 1$ are given numbers and the functional $J(v)$ is lower semi continuous and bounded from below on the set $V$ then there is $a$ dense set $G$ of $H$ that the functional

$$
J_{\alpha}(v)=J(v)+\alpha\|v\|_{H}^{\beta}
$$

takes its minimum on the set $V$. If $\beta>1$ then minimum is unique [19].

We can easily prove that the optimal control problem (1.1)-(1.2) holds the conditions of the Theorem 1. So, we have a unique optimal solution for the optimal control problem (1.1)-(1.2).

Let us introduce the Lagrangian $L(u, v, \psi)$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(u, v, \psi)=J_{\alpha}(v)+\left\langle\psi, u_{t}-k u_{x x}-f(x, t)\right\rangle_{L_{2}(\Omega)} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the functional $J_{\alpha}(v)$ is defined by (1.1) and the function $\psi(x, t)$ is the Lagrange multiplier.

Using the $\delta L=0$ stationarity condition, we have the following adjoint problem:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \psi_{t}-k \psi_{x x}=2[u(x, t)-y(x, t)], \quad(x, t) \in \Omega \\
& \psi(x, 0)=0, \quad x \in(0, l)  \tag{2.4}\\
& \psi_{x}(0, t)=0, \quad \psi_{x}(l, t)=0, \quad t \in(0, T]
\end{align*}
$$

Now, we investigate the variation of the functional $J_{\alpha}(v)$. The difference functional $\Delta J_{\alpha}(v)=$ $J_{\alpha}(v+\Delta v)-J_{\alpha}(v)$ is such as

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta J_{\alpha}(v) & =\int_{0}^{T} \int_{0}^{L}[2 u(x, t ; v)-2 y(x, t)] \Delta u(x, t) d x d t \\
& +\int_{0}^{T} \int_{0}^{L}[\Delta u(x, t)]^{2} d x d t+\alpha \int_{0}^{l}(2 v-2 w+\Delta v) \Delta v d x  \tag{2.5}\\
& +\alpha \int_{0}^{l}\left(2 v^{\prime}-2 w^{\prime}+\Delta v^{\prime}\right) \Delta v^{\prime} d x
\end{align*}
$$

Using the identity between the difference problem and the adjoint problem, the equation (2.5) can be rewritten as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta J_{\alpha}(v) & =\int_{0}^{l}-\psi(x, 0) \Delta v d x+2 \alpha \int_{0}^{l}\left((v-w) \Delta v+\left(v^{\prime}-w^{\prime}\right) \Delta v^{\prime}\right) d x \\
& +\int_{0}^{T} \int_{0}^{L}[\Delta u(x, t)]^{2} d x d t+\alpha \int_{0}^{l}\left\{(\Delta v)^{2}+\left(\Delta v^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right\} d x \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

In order to obtain the inner product in the space $H^{1}(0, l)$ we consider the function $\eta(x)$. Here, the function $\eta(x)$ is the solution to the following second adjoint problem:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \eta^{\prime \prime}-\eta=\psi(x, 0) \\
& \eta^{\prime}(0)=\eta^{\prime}(l)=0 . \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

We can write that

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta J_{\alpha}(v) & =\int_{0}^{l} \eta^{\prime} \Delta v^{\prime} d x+\int_{0}^{l} \eta \Delta v d x \\
& +2 \alpha \int_{0}^{l}\left((v-w) \Delta v+\left(v^{\prime}-w^{\prime}\right) \Delta v^{\prime}\right) d x  \tag{2.8}\\
& +\int_{0}^{l}[\Delta u(x, T)]^{2} d x+\alpha \int_{0}^{l}\left\{(\Delta v)^{2}+\left(\Delta v^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right\} d x
\end{align*}
$$

After some transformation, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta J_{\alpha}(v) & =\int_{0}^{l}\{\eta+2 \alpha(v-w)\} \Delta v d x \\
& +\int_{0}^{l}\left\{\eta^{\prime}+2 \alpha\left(v^{\prime}-w^{\prime}\right)\right\} \Delta v^{\prime} d x  \tag{2.9}\\
& +\int_{0}^{l}[\Delta u(x, T)]^{2} d x+\alpha \int_{0}^{l}\left\{(\Delta v)^{2}+\left(\Delta v^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right\} d x
\end{align*}
$$

From the estimate (2.2), we get the Frechet derivation for the cost functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\alpha}^{\prime}(v)=\eta+2 \alpha(v-w) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2. Let the assumptions of Theorem 1 remain valid and $v^{*}$ of $V_{a d}$ is the solution of the optimal control problem (1.1)-(1.2). In this case, the following inequality is provided

$$
\left\langle\eta^{*}+2 \alpha\left(v^{*}-w\right), v-v^{*}\right\rangle_{H^{1}(0, l)} \geq 0
$$

for $\forall v \in V_{\text {ad }}$. Here $\eta^{*}$ is the solution to the second adjoint problem (2.7) corresponding to the optimal solution $v^{*}$ [10].
We use the conjugate gradient method that is known to be very successful in linear optimization problems in order to compute a numerical approximation of the optimal control. According to this method the minimizing sequence is set by

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{k+1}=v_{k}-\beta_{k} J_{\alpha}^{\prime}\left(v_{k}\right), \quad k=0,1,2, \cdots \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v_{0} \in V_{a d}$ is a given initial iteration and $\beta_{k}$ is a small enough relaxation parameter and assures that

$$
J_{\alpha}\left(v_{k+1}\right)<J_{\alpha}\left(v_{k}\right)
$$

Concerning the choice of the parameter $\beta_{k}$, there are several possibilities and these can be found in any optimization books.
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#### Abstract

In this paper, we obtained some integral inequalities via Atangana-Baleanu fractional integral operators for s-convex functions and P -functions using the identity by proved Set et al.. Some of the inequalities proved are reduced to existing inequalities in the literature for some special values of the parameters. And also, the inequalities obtained produce new results for some special values of the parameters.


## 1. INTRODUCTION

The convex function, whose definition is based on the condition that an inequality is satisfied, has made important contributions to the development of inequality theory. The definition of this function is as follows.

Definition 1.1 The function $f:[a, b] \subseteq \mathrm{R} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}$, is said to be convex if the following inequality holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\lambda x+(1-\lambda) y) \leq \lambda f(x)+(1-\lambda) f(y) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in[a, b]$ and $\lambda \in[0,1]$. We say that $f$ is concave if $(-f)$ is convex.
One of the most important results obtained for convex functions is the Hermite-Hadamard inequality and this inequality has helped researchers to obtain many new results in inequality theory. This important inequality is given follow.

Assume that $f: I \subseteq \mathrm{R} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}$ is a convex function defined on the interval $I$ of R where $a<b$. The following statement;

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x \leq \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds and known as Hermite-Hadamard inequality. Both inequalities hold in the reversed direction if $f$ is concave.

We recall the definitions of $s$-convex function in the second sense.
Definition 1.2 (see [4],[7]) Let $0<s \leq 1$. A function $f:[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}$, is said to be $s$-Breckner convex or s-convex in the second sense, if for every $x, y \in[0, \infty)$ and $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ with $\alpha+\beta=1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\alpha x+\beta y) \leq \alpha^{s} f(x)+\beta^{s} f(y) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The set of all s-convex functions in the second sense is denoted by $K_{s}^{2}$.
It can be easily seen that for $s=1$, $s$-convexity reduces to the ordinary convexity of functions defined on $[0, \infty)$.

In [6] Dragomir and Fitzpatrick proved a variant of Hadamard; ${ }_{i}$ 's inequality which holds for sconvex functions in the second sense.

Theorem 1.1 Suppose that $f:[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}$ is an s-convex function in the second sense, where $s \in(0,1]$, and let $a, b \in[0, \infty), a<b$. If $f \in L[a, b]$, then the following inequalities hold

$$
2^{s-1} f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x \leq \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{s+1}
$$

The definition of $P$-function is given as follow.
Definition 1.3 [8] A function $f: I \subseteq \mathrm{R} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}$ is $P$-function or that $f$ belongs to the class of $P(I)$, if it is nonnegative and, for all $x, y \in I$ and $\lambda \in[0,1]$, satisfies the following inequality;

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\lambda x+(1-\lambda) y) \leq f(x)+f(y) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The use of operators defined in fractional analysis in inequality theory has brought a new perspective to the field. Researchers have obtained many new results using these operators and one of the operators defined recently is the Atangana-Baleanu fractional integral operators. Atangana and Baleanu described this fractional integral operator with the help of Laplace transform and convolution theorem as follows.

Definition 1.4 [2] The fractional integral associate to the new fractional derivative with nonlocal kernel of a function $f \in H^{1}(a, b)$ as defined:

$$
{ }_{a}^{A B} I^{\alpha}\{f(t)\}=\frac{1-\alpha}{B(\alpha)} f(t)+\frac{\alpha}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{a}^{t} f(y)(t-y)^{\alpha-1} d y
$$

where $b>a, \alpha \in[0,1]$.
In [1], Abdeljawad and Baleanu introduced right hand side of integral operator as following; The right fractional new integral with Mittag-Leffler kernel of order $\alpha \in[0,1]$ is defined by

$$
{ }^{A B} I_{b}^{\alpha}\{f(t)\}=\frac{1-\alpha}{B(\alpha)} f(t)+\frac{\alpha}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t}^{b} f(y)(y-t)^{\alpha-1} d y .
$$

For more results related to different kinds of fractional operators, we suggest to the interested readers the papers $[3,5,9,10,11,12,13,14]$.

In this paper, we will denote normalization function as $B(\alpha)$ with $B(0)=B(1)=1$.
The Gamma function $\Gamma(z)$ developed by Euler is usually defined as follow.
Definition 1.5 [16] Assume that $\mathfrak{R}(z)>0$, the Gamma function is denoted by $\Gamma(z)$ and defined as follow.

$$
\Gamma(z)=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t} t^{z-1} d t
$$

The definition of Beta function is as follow.
Definition 1.6 [16] Assume that $\mathfrak{R}(\eta)>0$ and $\mathfrak{R}(\rho)>0$, the Beta function is denoted by $\beta(\eta, \rho)$ and defined as

$$
\beta(\eta, \rho)=\int_{0}^{1} t^{\eta-1}(1-t)^{\rho-1} d t .
$$

Set et al. in [15] proved identity that we using to obtained our main results via AtanganaBaleanu fractional integral operators as following.

Lemma $1.1 f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathrm{R}$ be differentiable function on $(a, b)$ with $a<b$. Then we have the following identity for Atangana-Baleanu fractional integral operators

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }_{a}^{A B} I^{\alpha}\{f(t)\}+{ }^{A B} I_{b}^{\alpha}\{f(t)\}-\frac{(t-a)^{\alpha} f(a)+(b-t)^{\alpha} f(b)}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}-\frac{2(1-\alpha) f(t)}{B(\alpha)} \\
& =\frac{(t-a)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{1}(1-k)^{\alpha} f^{\prime}(k t+(1-k) a) d k-\frac{(b-t)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{1} k^{\alpha} f^{\prime}(k b+(1-k) t) d k
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\alpha \in(0,1], t \in[a, b], k \in[0,1], B(\alpha)>0$ is normalization function and $\Gamma($.$) is gamma$ function.

The main purpose of this article is to obtain some integral inequalities that includes the Atangana-Baleanu fractional integral operators for $s$ - convex functions and $P$-functions with the help of the identity by proved Set et al. in [15].

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

In this part, we obtained some fractional integral inequalities for $s$-convex functions in the second sense and $P$-functions with help of identity by proved Set et al. in [15] as following:

Theorem 2.1 Let $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathrm{R}$ be differentiable function on $(a, b)$ with $a<b$ and $f^{\prime} \in L_{1}[a, b]$. If $\left|f^{\prime}\right|$ is a $s$-convex function in the second sense, then the following inequality for Atangana-Baleanu fractional integral operators hold

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|{ }_{a}^{A B} I^{\alpha}\{f(t)\}+{ }^{A B} I_{b}^{\alpha}\{f(t)\}-\frac{(t-a)^{\alpha} f(a)+(b-t)^{\alpha} f(b)}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}-\frac{2(1-\alpha) f(t)}{B(\alpha)}\right|  \tag{2.1}\\
& \leq \frac{(t-a)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}\left(\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right| B(s+1, \alpha+1)+\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|}{\alpha+s+1}\right) \\
& +\frac{(b-t)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}\left(\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|}{\alpha+s+1}+\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right| B(\alpha+1, s+1)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $t \in[a, b], \alpha \in(0,1], s \in(0,1], B(\alpha)>0$ is normalization function and $\Gamma($.$) is gamma$ function.

Proof. By using the identity that is given in Lemma 1.1, we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|{ }_{a}^{A B} I^{\alpha}\{f(t)\}+{ }^{A B} I_{b}^{\alpha}\{f(t)\}-\frac{(t-a)^{\alpha} f(a)+(b-t)^{\alpha} f(b)}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}-\frac{2(1-\alpha) f(t)}{B(\alpha)}\right| \\
& =\left|\frac{(t-a)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{1}(1-k)^{\alpha} f^{\prime}(k t+(1-k) a) d k-\frac{(b-t)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{1} k^{\alpha} f^{\prime}(k b+(1-k) t) d k\right| \\
& \leq \frac{(t-a)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{1}(1-k)^{\alpha}\left|f^{\prime}(k t+(1-k) a)\right| d k+\frac{(b-t)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{1} k^{\alpha}\left|f^{\prime}(k b+(1-k) t)\right| d k .
\end{aligned}
$$

By using $s$-convexity in the second sense of $\left|f^{\prime}\right|$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|{ }_{a}^{A B} I_{t}^{\alpha}\{f(t)\}+{ }_{b}^{A B} I_{t}^{\alpha}\{f(t)\}-\frac{(t-a)^{\alpha} f(a)+(b-t)^{\alpha} f(b)}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}-\frac{2(1-\alpha) f(t)}{B(\alpha)}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{(t-a)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{1}(1-k)^{\alpha}\left[k^{s}\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right|+(1-k)^{s}\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|\right] d k \\
& +\frac{(b-t)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{1} k^{\alpha}\left[k^{s}\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|+(1-k)^{s}\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right|\right] d k \\
& =\frac{(t-a)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{1}\left[(1-k)^{\alpha} k^{s}\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right|+(1-k)^{\alpha+s}\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|\right] d k \\
& +\frac{(b-t)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{1}\left[k^{\alpha+s}\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|+k^{\alpha}(1-k)^{s}\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right|\right] d k \\
& =\frac{(t-a)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}\left(\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right| B(s+1, \alpha+1)+\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|}{\alpha+s+1}\right) \\
& +\frac{(b-t)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}\left(\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|}{\alpha+s+1}+\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right| B(\alpha+1, s+1)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and the proof is completed.

Corollary 2.1 In Theorem 2.1, if we choose $t=\frac{a+b}{2}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.{ }_{a}^{A B} I^{\alpha} f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)+{ }^{A B} I_{b}^{\alpha} f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)-\frac{(b-a)^{\alpha}}{2^{\alpha} B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}[f(a)+f(b)]-\frac{2(1-\alpha) f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)}{B(\alpha)} \right\rvert\, \\
& \leq \frac{(b-a)^{\alpha+1}}{2^{\alpha+1} B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}\left(2\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right| B(s+1, \alpha+1)+\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|+\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|}{\alpha+s+1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 2.1 In Theorem 2.1, if we choose $s=1$, the inequality (2.1) reduces to the inequality in Theorem 2.2 in [15].

Theorem 2.2 Let $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathrm{R}$ be differentiable function on $(a, b)$ with $a<b$ and $f^{\prime} \in L_{1}[a, b]$. If $\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{q}$ is a $s$-convexfunction in the second sense, then the following inequality for Atangana-Baleanu fractional integral operators hold

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.{ }_{a}^{A B} I^{\alpha}\{f(t)\}+{ }^{A B} I_{b}^{\alpha}\{f(t)\}-\frac{(t-a)^{\alpha} f(a)+(b-t)^{\alpha} f(b)}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}-\frac{2(1-\alpha) f(t)}{B(\alpha)} \right\rvert\, \\
& \leq \frac{(t-a)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha p+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}+\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right|^{q}}{s+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
& +\frac{(b-t)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha p+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right|^{q}+\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}}{s+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $p^{-1}+q^{-1}=1, t \in[a, b], \alpha \in(0,1], s \in(0,1], q>1, B(\alpha)>0$ is normalization function and $\Gamma($.$) is gamma function.$

Proof. By using Lemma 1.1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|{ }_{a}^{A B} I^{\alpha}\{f(t)\}+{ }^{A B} I_{b}^{\alpha}\{f(t)\}-\frac{(t-a)^{\alpha} f(a)+(b-t)^{\alpha} f(b)}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}-\frac{2(1-\alpha) f(t)}{B(\alpha)}\right| \\
& \left.\leq \frac{(t-a)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{1}(1-k)^{\alpha}\left|f^{\prime}(k t+(1-k) a) d d k+\frac{(b-t)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{1} k^{\alpha}\right| f^{\prime}(k b+(1-k) t) \right\rvert\, d k .
\end{aligned}
$$

By applying Hölder inequality, we have

$$
\left|{ }_{a}^{A B} I^{\alpha}\{f(t)\}+{ }^{A B} I_{b}^{\alpha}\{f(t)\}-\frac{(t-a)^{\alpha} f(a)+(b-t)^{\alpha} f(b)}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}-\frac{2(1-\alpha) f(t)}{B(\alpha)}\right|
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq \frac{(t-a)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}\left[\left(\int_{0}^{1}(1-k)^{\alpha p} d k\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{0}^{1} \mid f^{\prime}(k t+(1-k) a)^{q} d k\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right] \\
& +\frac{(b-t)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}\left[\left(\int_{0}^{1} k^{\alpha p} d k\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{0}^{1} \mid f^{\prime}(k b+(1-k) t)^{q} d k\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

By using $s$ - convexity in the second sense of $\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{q}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|{ }_{a}^{A B} I^{\alpha}\{f(t)\}+{ }^{A B} I_{b}^{\alpha}\{f(t)\}-\frac{(t-a)^{\alpha} f(a)+(b-t)^{\alpha} f(b)}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}-\frac{2(1-\alpha) f(t)}{B(\alpha)}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{(t-a)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}\left[\left(\int_{0}^{1}(1-k)^{\alpha p} d k\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left[k^{s}\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right|^{q}+(1-k)^{s}\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}\right] d k\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right] \\
& +\frac{(b-t)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}\left[\left(\int_{0}^{1} k^{\alpha p} d k\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left[k^{s}\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}+(1-k)^{s}\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right|^{q}\right] d k\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right] \\
& =\frac{(t-a)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha p+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right|^{q}}{s+1}+\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}}{s+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
& +\frac{(b-t)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha p+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}}{s+1}+\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right|^{q}}{s+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, the proof is completed.
Corollary 2.2 In Theorem 2.2, if we choose $t=\frac{a+b}{2}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|{ }_{a}^{A B} I^{\alpha} f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)+{ }^{A B} I_{b}^{\alpha} f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)-\frac{(b-a)^{\alpha}}{2^{\alpha} B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}[f(a)+f(b)]-\frac{2(1-\alpha) f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)}{B(\alpha)}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{(b-a)^{\alpha+1}}{2^{\alpha+1} B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha p+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left[\left(\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}+\left|f^{\prime}\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right|^{q}}{s+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}+\left(\frac{\left|f^{\prime}\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right|^{q}+\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}}{s+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 2.2 In Theorem 2.2, if we choose $s=1$, the inequality (2.2) reduces to the inequality in Theorem 2.5 in [15].

Theorem 2.3 Let $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathrm{R}$ be differentiable function on $(a, b)$ with $a<b$ and $f^{\prime} \in L_{1}[a, b]$. If $\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{q}$ is a $s$-convex function in the second sense, then the following inequality for Atangana-Baleanu fractional integral operators hold

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|{ }_{a}^{A B} I^{\alpha}\{f(t)\}+{ }^{A B} I_{b}^{\alpha}\{f(t)\}-\frac{(t-a)^{\alpha} f(a)+(b-t)^{\alpha} f(b)}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}-\frac{2(1-\alpha) f(t)}{B(\alpha)}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{(t-a)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha+1}\right)^{1-\frac{1}{q}}\left(\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right|^{q} B(s+1, \alpha+1)+\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}}{\alpha+s+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
& +\frac{(b-t)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha+1}\right)^{1-\frac{1}{q}}\left(\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}}{\alpha+s+1}+\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right|^{q} B(\alpha+1, s+1)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $t \in[a, b], \alpha \in(0,1], s \in(0,1], q \geq 1, B(\alpha)>0$ is normalization function and $\Gamma($.$) is$ gamma function.

Proof. By using the identity that is given in Lemma 1.1, we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|{ }_{a}^{A B} I^{\alpha}\{f(t)\}+{ }^{A B} I_{b}^{\alpha}\{f(t)\}-\frac{(t-a)^{\alpha} f(a)+(b-t)^{\alpha} f(b)}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}-\frac{2(1-\alpha) f(t)}{B(\alpha)}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{(t-a)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{1}(1-k)^{\alpha}\left|f^{\prime}(k t+(1-k) a)\right| d k+\frac{(b-t)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{1} k^{\alpha}\left|f^{\prime}(k b+(1-k) t)\right| d k .
\end{aligned}
$$

By applying power mean inequality, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.{ }_{a}^{A B} I^{\alpha}\{f(t)\}+{ }^{A B} I_{b}^{\alpha}\{f(t)\}-\frac{(t-a)^{\alpha} f(a)+(b-t)^{\alpha} f(b)}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}-\frac{2(1-\alpha) f(t)}{B(\alpha)} \right\rvert\, \\
& \leq \frac{(t-a)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}\left[\left(\int_{0}^{1}(1-k)^{\alpha} d k\right)^{1-\frac{1}{q}}\left(\int_{0}^{1}(1-k)^{\alpha}\left|f^{\prime}(k t+(1-k) a)\right|^{q} d k\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right] \\
& +\frac{(b-t)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}\left[\left(\int_{0}^{1} k^{\alpha} d k\right)^{1-\frac{1}{q}}\left(\int_{0}^{1} k^{\alpha}\left|f^{\prime}(k b+(1-k) t)\right|^{q} d k\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

By using $s$ - convexity in the second sense of $\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{q}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|{ }_{a}^{A B} I^{\alpha}\{f(t)\}+{ }^{A B} I_{b}^{\alpha}\{f(t)\}-\frac{(t-a)^{\alpha} f(a)+(b-t)^{\alpha} f(b)}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}-\frac{2(1-\alpha) f(t)}{B(\alpha)}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{(t-a)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}\left[\left(\int_{0}^{1}(1-k)^{\alpha} d k\right)^{1-\frac{1}{q}}\left(\int_{0}^{1}(1-k)^{\alpha}\left[k^{s}\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right|^{q}+(1-k)^{s}\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}\right] d k\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right] \\
& +\frac{(b-t)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}\left[\left(\int_{0}^{1} k^{\alpha} d k\right)^{1-\frac{1}{q}}\left(\int_{0}^{1} k^{\alpha}\left[k^{s}\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}+(1-k)^{s}\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right|^{q}\right] d k\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{(t-a)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}\left[\left(\int_{0}^{1}(1-k)^{\alpha} d k\right)^{1-\frac{1}{q}}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left[(1-k)^{\alpha} k^{s}\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right|^{q}+(1-k)^{\alpha+s}\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}\right] d k\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right] \\
& +\frac{(b-t)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}\left[\left(\int_{0}^{1} k^{\alpha} d k\right)^{1-\frac{1}{q}}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left[k^{\alpha+s}\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}+k^{\alpha}(1-k)^{s}\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right|^{q}\right] d k\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right] \\
& =\frac{(t-a)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha+1}\right)^{1-\frac{1}{q}}\left(\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right|^{q} B(s+1, \alpha+1)+\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}}{\alpha+s+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
& +\frac{(b-t)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha+1}\right)^{1--\frac{1}{q}}\left[\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}}{\alpha+s+1}+\mid f^{\prime}(t)^{q} B(\alpha+1, s+1)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the proof is completed.
Corollary 2.3 In Theorem 2.3, if we choose $t=\frac{a+b}{2}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|{ }_{a}^{A B} I^{\alpha} f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)+{ }^{A B} I_{b}^{\alpha} f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)-\frac{(b-a)^{\alpha}}{2^{\alpha} B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}[f(a)+f(b)]-\frac{2(1-\alpha) f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)}{B(\alpha)}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{(b-a)^{\alpha+1}}{2^{\alpha+1} B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha+1}\right)^{1-\frac{1}{q}}\left[\left.| | f^{\prime}\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right|^{q} B(s+1, \alpha+1)+\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}}{\alpha+s+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
& \left.+\left(\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}}{\alpha+s+1}+\left|f^{\prime}\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right|^{q} B(\alpha+1, s+1)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 2.3 In Theorem 2.3, if we choose $s=1$, the inequality (2.3) reduces to the inequality in Theorem 2.10 in [15].

Theorem 2.4 Let $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathrm{R}$ be differentiable function on $(a, b)$ with $a<b$ and $f^{\prime} \in L_{1}[a, b]$. If $\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{q}$ is a $s$-convex function in the second sense, then the following inequality for Atangana-Baleanu fractional integral operators hold

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|{ }_{a}^{A B} I^{\alpha}\{f(t)\}+{ }^{A B} I_{b}^{\alpha}\{f(t)\}-\frac{(t-a)^{\alpha} f(a)+(b-t)^{\alpha} f(b)}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}-\frac{2(1-\alpha) f(t)}{B(\alpha)}\right| \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq \frac{(t-a)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}\left(\frac{1}{p(\alpha p+1)}+\frac{\left[\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}+\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right|^{q}\right]}{q(s+1)}\right) \\
& +\frac{(b-t)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}\left(\frac{1}{p(\alpha p+1)}+\frac{\left[\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right|^{q}+\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}\right]}{q(s+1)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $p^{-1}+q^{-1}=1, t \in[a, b], \alpha \in(0,1], s \in(0,1] q>1, B(\alpha)>0$ is normalization function and $\Gamma($.$) is gamma function.$

Proof. By using Lemma 1.1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|{ }_{a}^{A B} I^{\alpha}\{f(t)\}+{ }^{A B} I_{b}^{\alpha}\{f(t)\}-\frac{(t-a)^{\alpha} f(a)+(b-t)^{\alpha} f(b)}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}-\frac{2(1-\alpha) f(t)}{B(\alpha)}\right| \\
& \left.\leq \frac{(t-a)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{1}(1-k)^{\alpha}\left|f^{\prime}(k t+(1-k) a) d k+\frac{(b-t)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{1} k^{\alpha}\right| f^{\prime}(k b+(1-k) t) \right\rvert\, d k .
\end{aligned}
$$

By applying the Young inequality, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|{ }_{a}^{A B} I^{\alpha}\{f(t)\}+{ }^{A B} I_{b}^{\alpha}\{f(t)\}-\frac{(t-a)^{\alpha} f(a)+(b-t)^{\alpha} f(b)}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}-\frac{2(1-\alpha) f(t)}{B(\alpha)}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{(t-a)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}\left[\left.\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{1}(1-k)^{\alpha p} d k+\frac{1}{q} \int_{0}^{1} \right\rvert\, f^{\prime}(k t+(1-k) a)^{q} d k\right] \\
& +\frac{(b-t)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}\left[\left.\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{1} k^{\alpha p} d k+\frac{1}{q} \int_{0}^{1} \right\rvert\, f^{\prime}(k b+(1-k) t)^{q} d k\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

By using $s$-convexity in the second sense of $\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{q}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|{ }_{a}^{A B} I^{\alpha}\{f(t)\}+{ }^{A B} I_{b}^{\alpha}\{f(t)\}-\frac{(t-a)^{\alpha} f(a)+(b-t)^{\alpha} f(b)}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}-\frac{2(1-\alpha) f(t)}{B(\alpha)}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{(t-a)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}\left[\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{1}(1-k)^{\alpha p} d k+\frac{1}{q} \int_{0}^{1}\left[k^{s}\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right|^{q}+(1-k)^{s}\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}\right] d k\right] \\
& +\frac{(b-t)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}\left[\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{1} k^{\alpha p} d k+\frac{1}{q} \int_{0}^{1}\left[k^{s}\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}+(1-k)^{s}\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}\right] d k\right] \\
& =\frac{(t-a)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}\left(\frac{1}{p(\alpha p+1)}+\frac{\left[\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}+\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right|^{q}\right]}{q(s+1)}\right) \\
& +\frac{(b-t)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}\left(\frac{1}{p(\alpha p+1)}+\frac{\left[\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right|^{q}+\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}\right]}{q(s+1)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and the proof is completed.

Corollary 2.4 In Theorem 2.4, if we choose $t=\frac{a+b}{2}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|{ }_{a}^{A B} I^{\alpha} f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)+{ }^{A B} I_{b}^{\alpha} f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)-\frac{(b-a)^{\alpha}}{2^{\alpha} B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}[f(a)+f(b)]-\frac{2(1-\alpha) f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)}{B(\alpha)}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{(b-a)^{\alpha+1}}{2^{\alpha+1} B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}\left(\frac{2}{p(\alpha p+1)}+\frac{2\left|f^{\prime}\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right|^{q}+\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}+\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}}{q(s+1)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 2.4 In Theorem 2.4, if we choose $s=1$, the inequality (2.4) reduces to the inequality in Theorem 2.8 in [15].

Theorem 2.5 Let $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathrm{R}$ be differentiable function on $(a, b)$ with $a<b$ and $f^{\prime} \in L_{1}[a, b]$. If $\left|f^{\prime}\right|$ is a P-function, then the following inequality for Atangana-Baleanu fractional integral operators hold

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.{ }_{a}^{A B} I^{\alpha}\{f(t)\}+{ }^{A B} I_{b}^{\alpha}\{f(t)\}-\frac{(t-a)^{\alpha} f(a)+(b-t)^{\alpha} f(b)}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}-\frac{2(1-\alpha) f(t)}{B(\alpha)} \right\rvert\, \\
& \leq \frac{(t-a)^{\alpha+1}\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right|+\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|\left|+(b-t)^{\alpha+1}\right| f^{\prime}(b)\left|+\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right|\right|}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)(\alpha+1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $t \in[a, b], \alpha \in(0,1], B(\alpha)>0$ is normalization function and $\Gamma($.$) is gamma function.$
Proof. By using the identity that is given in Lemma 1.1, we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|{ }_{a}^{A B} I^{\alpha}\{f(t)\}+{ }^{A B} I_{b}^{\alpha}\{f(t)\}-\frac{(t-a)^{\alpha} f(a)+(b-t)^{\alpha} f(b)}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}-\frac{2(1-\alpha) f(t)}{B(\alpha)}\right| \\
& =\left|\frac{(t-a)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{1}(1-k)^{\alpha} f^{\prime}(k t+(1-k) a) d k-\frac{(b-t)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{1} k^{\alpha} f^{\prime}(k b+(1-k) t) d k\right| \\
& \leq \frac{(t-a)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{1}(1-k)^{\alpha}\left|f^{\prime}(k t+(1-k) a)\right| d k+\frac{(b-t)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{1} k^{\alpha}\left|f^{\prime}(k b+(1-k) t)\right| d k .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left|f^{\prime}\right|$ is $P$-function, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|{ }_{a}^{A B} I_{t}^{\alpha}\{f(t)\}+{ }_{b}^{A B} I_{t}^{\alpha}\{f(t)\}-\frac{(t-a)^{\alpha} f(a)+(b-t)^{\alpha} f(b)}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}-\frac{2(1-\alpha) f(t)}{B(\alpha)}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{(t-a)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{1}(1-k)^{\alpha}\left[\left\lfloorf^{\prime}(t)\left|+\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|\right] d k+\frac{(b-t)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{1} k^{\alpha}\left[\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|+\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right|\right] d k\right.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\frac{\left.(t-a)^{\alpha+1}| | f^{\prime}(t)\left|+\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|\right|+(b-t)^{\alpha+1}\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|+\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right|\right\rfloor}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)(\alpha+1)}
$$

and the proof is completed.
Corollary 2.5 In Theorem 2.5, if we choose $t=\frac{a+b}{2}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|{ }_{a}^{A B} I^{\alpha} f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)+{ }^{A B} I_{b}^{\alpha} f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)-\frac{(b-a)^{\alpha}}{2^{\alpha} B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}[f(a)+f(b)]-\frac{2(1-\alpha) f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)}{B(\alpha)}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{(b-a)^{\alpha+1}}{2^{\alpha+1} B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}\left[\frac{2\left|f^{\prime}\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right|+\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|+\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|}{\alpha+1}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 2.6 In Theorem 2.5, if we choose $\alpha=1$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mid \int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x-[(t-a) f(a)+(b-t) f(b)] \\
& \leq(t-a)^{2}\left[\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right|+\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|}{2}\right]+(b-t)^{2}\left[\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|+\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right|}{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 2.6 Let $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathrm{R}$ be differentiable function on $(a, b)$ with $a<b$ and $f^{\prime} \in L_{1}[a, b]$. If $\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{q}$ is a P-function, then the following inequality for Atangana-Baleanu fractional integral operators hold

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|{ }_{a}^{A B} I^{\alpha}\{f(t)\}+{ }^{A B} I_{b}^{\alpha}\{f(t)\}-\frac{(t-a)^{\alpha} f(a)+(b-t)^{\alpha} f(b)}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}-\frac{2(1-\alpha) f(t)}{B(\alpha)}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{(t-a)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha p+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right|^{q}+\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
& +\frac{(b-t)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha p+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}+\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right|^{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $p^{-1}+q^{-1}=1, t \in[a, b], \alpha \in(0,1], q>1, B(\alpha)>0$ is normalization function and $\Gamma($. is gamma function.

Proof. By using Lemma 1.1, we have

$$
\left|{ }_{a}^{A B} I^{\alpha}\{f(t)\}+{ }^{A B} I_{b}^{\alpha}\{f(t)\}-\frac{(t-a)^{\alpha} f(a)+(b-t)^{\alpha} f(b)}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}-\frac{2(1-\alpha) f(t)}{B(\alpha)}\right|
$$

$$
\leq \frac{(t-a)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{1}(1-k)^{\alpha}\left|f^{\prime}(k t+(1-k) a)\right| d k+\frac{(b-t)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{1} k^{\alpha}\left|f^{\prime}(k b+(1-k) t)\right| d k
$$

By applying Hölder inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|{ }_{a}^{A B} I^{\alpha}\{f(t)\}+{ }^{A B} I_{b}^{\alpha}\{f(t)\}-\frac{(t-a)^{\alpha} f(a)+(b-t)^{\alpha} f(b)}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}-\frac{2(1-\alpha) f(t)}{B(\alpha)}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{(t-a)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}\left[\left(\int_{0}^{1}(1-k)^{\alpha p} d k\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{0}^{1} \mid f^{\prime}(k t+(1-k) a)^{q} d k\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right] \\
& +\frac{(b-t)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}\left[\left(\int_{0}^{1} k^{\alpha p} d k\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{0}^{1} \mid f^{\prime}(k b+(1-k) t)^{q} d k\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{q}$ is a $P$-function, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.{ }_{a}^{A B} I^{\alpha}\{f(t)\}+{ }^{A B} I_{b}^{\alpha}\{f(t)\}-\frac{(t-a)^{\alpha} f(a)+(b-t)^{\alpha} f(b)}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}-\frac{2(1-\alpha) f(t)}{B(\alpha)} \right\rvert\, \\
& \leq \frac{(t-a)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}\left[\left(\int_{0}^{1}(1-k)^{\alpha p} d k\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left[\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right|^{q}+\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}\right] d k\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right] \\
& +\frac{(b-t)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}\left[\left(\int_{0}^{1} k^{\alpha p} d k\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left[\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}+\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right|^{q}\right] d k\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right] \\
& =\frac{(t-a)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha p+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right|^{q}+\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
& +\frac{(b-t)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha p+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}+\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right|^{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So the proof is completed.
Corollary 2.7 In Theorem 2.6, if we choose $t=\frac{a+b}{2}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|{ }_{a}^{A B} I^{\alpha} f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)+{ }^{A B} I_{b}^{\alpha} f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)-\frac{(b-a)^{\alpha}}{2^{\alpha} B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}[f(a)+f(b)]-\frac{2(1-\alpha) f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)}{B(\alpha)}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{(b-a)^{\alpha+1}}{2^{\alpha+1} B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha p+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left[\left(\left|f^{\prime}\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right|^{q}+\mid f^{\prime}(a)^{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}+\left(\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}+\left|f^{\prime}\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right|^{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 2.8 In Theorem 2.6, if we choose $\alpha=1$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mid \int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x-[(t-a) f(a)+(b-t) f(b)] \\
& \leq\left(\frac{1}{p+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}(t-a)^{2}\left(\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right|^{q}+\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
& +\left(\frac{1}{p+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}(b-t)^{2}\left(\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}+\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right|^{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 2.7 Let $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathrm{R}$ be differentiable function on ( $a, b$ ) with $a<b$ and $f^{\prime} \in L_{1}[a, b]$. If $\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{q}$ is a $P$-function, then the following inequality for Atangana-Baleanu fractional integral operators hold

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|{ }_{a}^{A B} I^{\alpha}\{f(t)\}+{ }^{A B} I_{b}^{\alpha}\{f(t)\}-\frac{(t-a)^{\alpha} f(a)+(b-t)^{\alpha} f(b)}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}-\frac{2(1-\alpha) f(t)}{B(\alpha)}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{(t-a)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha+1}\right)^{1-\frac{1}{q}}\left(\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right|^{q}+\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}}{\alpha+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
& +\frac{(b-t)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha+1}\right)^{1-\frac{1}{q}}\left(\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}+\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right|^{q}}{\alpha+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $t \in[a, b], \alpha \in(0,1], q \geq 1, B(\alpha)>0$ is normalization function and $\Gamma($.$) is gamma$ function.

Proof. By using the identity that is given in Lemma 1.1, we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|{ }_{a}^{A B} I^{\alpha}\{f(t)\}+{ }^{A B} I_{b}^{\alpha}\{f(t)\}-\frac{(t-a)^{\alpha} f(a)+(b-t)^{\alpha} f(b)}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}-\frac{2(1-\alpha) f(t)}{B(\alpha)}\right| \\
& \left.\leq \frac{(t-a)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{1}(1-k)^{\alpha}\left|f^{\prime}(k t+(1-k) a) d k+\frac{(b-t)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{1} k^{\alpha}\right| f^{\prime}(k b+(1-k) t) \right\rvert\, d k .
\end{aligned}
$$

By applying power mean inequality, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.{ }_{a}^{A B} I^{\alpha}\{f(t)\}+{ }^{A B} I_{b}^{\alpha}\{f(t)\}-\frac{(t-a)^{\alpha} f(a)+(b-t)^{\alpha} f(b)}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}-\frac{2(1-\alpha) f(t)}{B(\alpha)} \right\rvert\, \\
& \leq \frac{(t-a)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}\left[\left(\int_{0}^{1}(1-k)^{\alpha} d k\right)^{1--\frac{1}{q}}\left(\int_{0}^{1}(1-k)^{\alpha}\left|f^{\prime}(k t+(1-k) a)\right|^{q} d k\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right] \\
& +\frac{(b-t)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}\left[\left(\int_{0}^{1} k^{\alpha} d k\right)^{1-\frac{1}{q}}\left(\int_{0}^{1} k^{\alpha}\left|f^{\prime}(k b+(1-k) t)\right|^{q} d k\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{q}$ is $P$ - function, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.{ }_{a}^{A B} I^{\alpha}\{f(t)\}+{ }^{A B} I_{b}^{\alpha}\{f(t)\}-\frac{(t-a)^{\alpha} f(a)+(b-t)^{\alpha} f(b)}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}-\frac{2(1-\alpha) f(t)}{B(\alpha)} \right\rvert\, \\
& \leq \frac{(t-a)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}\left[\left(\int_{0}^{1}(1-k)^{\alpha} d k\right)^{1-\frac{1}{q}}\left(\int_{0}^{1}(1-k)^{\alpha}\left[\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right|^{q}+\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}\right] d k\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right] \\
& +\frac{(b-t)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}\left[\left(\int_{0}^{1} k^{\alpha} d k\right)^{1-\frac{1}{q}}\left(\int_{0}^{1} k^{\alpha}\left[\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}+\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right|^{q}\right] d k\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right] \\
& =\frac{(t-a)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha+1}\right)^{1-\frac{1}{q}}\left(\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right|^{q}+\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}}{\alpha+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
& +\frac{(b-t)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha+1}\right)^{1-\frac{1}{q}}\left(\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}+\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right|^{q}}{\alpha+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, the proof is completed.
Corollary 2.9 In Theorem 2.7, if we choose $t=\frac{a+b}{2}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|{ }_{a}^{A B} I^{\alpha} f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)+{ }^{A B} I_{b}^{\alpha} f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)-\frac{(b-a)^{\alpha}}{2^{\alpha} B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}[f(a)+f(b)]-\frac{2(1-\alpha) f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)}{B(\alpha)}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{(b-a)^{\alpha+1}}{2^{\alpha+1} B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha+1}\right)^{1-\frac{1}{q}}\left[\left\lvert\, \frac{\left|f^{\prime}\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right|^{q}+\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}}{\alpha+1}\right.\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}+\left(\left.\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}+\left|f^{\prime}\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right|^{q}}{\alpha+1}\right|^{\frac{1}{q}}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 2.10 In Theorem 2.7, if we choose $\alpha=1$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mid \int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x-[(t-a) f(a)+(b-t) f(b)] \\
& \leq\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{1-\frac{1}{q}}(t-a)^{2}\left(\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right|^{q}+\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
& +\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{1-\frac{1}{q}}(b-t)^{2}\left(\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}+\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right|^{q}}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 2.8 Let $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathrm{R}$ be differentiable function on $(a, b)$ with $a<b$ and $f^{\prime} \in L_{1}[a, b]$. If $\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{q}$ is a $P$-function, then the following inequality for Atangana-Baleanu fractional integral operators hold

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|{ }_{a}^{A B} I^{\alpha}\{f(t)\}+{ }^{A B} I_{b}^{\alpha}\{f(t)\}-\frac{(t-a)^{\alpha} f(a)+(b-t)^{\alpha} f(b)}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}-\frac{2(1-\alpha) f(t)}{B(\alpha)}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{(t-a)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}\left(\frac{1}{p(\alpha p+1)}+\frac{\left[\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right|^{q}+\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}\right]}{q}\right) \\
& +\frac{(b-t)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}\left(\frac{1}{p(\alpha p+1)}+\frac{\left[\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}+\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right|^{q}\right]}{q}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $p^{-1}+q^{-1}=1, t \in[a, b], \alpha \in(0,1], q>1, B(\alpha)>0$ is normalization function and $\Gamma($. is gamma function.

Proof. By using Lemma 1.1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|{ }_{a}^{A B} I^{\alpha}\{f(t)\}+{ }^{A B} I_{b}^{\alpha}\{f(t)\}-\frac{(t-a)^{\alpha} f(a)+(b-t)^{\alpha} f(b)}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}-\frac{2(1-\alpha) f(t)}{B(\alpha)}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{(t-a)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{1}(1-k)^{\alpha}\left|f^{\prime}(k t+(1-k) a)\right| d k+\frac{(b-t)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{1} k^{\alpha}\left|f^{\prime}(k b+(1-k) t)\right| d k .
\end{aligned}
$$

By using the Young inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|{ }_{a}^{A B} I^{\alpha}\{f(t)\}+{ }^{A B} I_{b}^{\alpha}\{f(t)\}-\frac{(t-a)^{\alpha} f(a)+(b-t)^{\alpha} f(b)}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}-\frac{2(1-\alpha) f(t)}{B(\alpha)}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{(t-a)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}\left[\left.\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{1}(1-k)^{\alpha p} d k+\frac{1}{q} \int_{0}^{1} \right\rvert\, f^{\prime}(k t+(1-k) a)^{q} d k\right] \\
& +\frac{(b-t)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}\left[\left.\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{1} k^{\alpha p} d k+\frac{1}{q} \int_{0}^{1} \right\rvert\, f^{\prime}(k b+(1-k) t)^{q} d k\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{q}$ is $P$-function, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|{ }_{a}^{A B} I^{\alpha}\{f(t)\}+{ }^{A B} I_{b}^{\alpha}\{f(t)\}-\frac{(t-a)^{\alpha} f(a)+(b-t)^{\alpha} f(b)}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}-\frac{2(1-\alpha) f(t)}{B(\alpha)}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{(t-a)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}\left[\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{1}(1-k)^{\alpha p} d k+\frac{1}{q} \int_{0}^{1}\left[\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right|^{q}+\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}\right] d k\right] \\
& +\frac{(b-t)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}\left[\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{1} k^{\alpha p} d k+\frac{1}{q} \int_{0}^{1}\left[\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}+\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}\right] d k\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{(t-a)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}\left(\frac{1}{p(\alpha p+1)}+\frac{\left[\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right|^{q}+\mid f^{\prime}(a)^{q}\right]}{q}\right) \\
& +\frac{(b-t)^{\alpha+1}}{B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}\left(\frac{1}{p(\alpha p+1)}+\frac{\left[\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}+\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right|^{q}\right]}{q}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and the proof is completed.
Corollary 2.11 In Theorem 2.8, if we choose $t=\frac{a+b}{2}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|{ }_{a}^{A B} I^{\alpha} f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)+{ }^{A B} I_{b}^{\alpha} f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)-\frac{(b-a)^{\alpha}}{2^{\alpha} B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}[f(a)+f(b)]-\frac{2(1-\alpha) f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)}{B(\alpha)}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{(b-a)^{\alpha+1}}{2^{\alpha+1} B(\alpha) \Gamma(\alpha)}\left(\frac{2}{p(\alpha p+1)}+\frac{2\left|f^{\prime}\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right|^{q}+\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}+\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}}{q}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 2.12 In Theorem 2.8, if we choose $\alpha=1$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mid \int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x-[(t-a) f(a)+(b-t) f(b)] \\
& \leq(t-a)^{2}\left(\frac{1}{p(p+1)}+\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right|^{q}+\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}}{q}\right) \\
& +(b-t)^{2}\left(\frac{1}{p(p+1)}+\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}+\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right|^{q}}{q}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$
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#### Abstract

The study examines special Viviani's curve and its Darboux vector to construct corresponding Sabban frame for the curve. Then, as an application, new Smarandache curves are derived with respect to these Sabban vectors. The geodesic curvature for each curve is expressed by the curvature and the torsion of Viviani's curve. Graphical illustrations for each curve and their geodesic curvatures are presented to support their relationships.


## 1. INTRODUCTION

In differential geometry, it is often interest to derive new curves and discuss their characteristics. Smarandache geometry is a useful method of deriving new curves from a given specific curve. It exploits the orthonormal vectors moving along the given curve according to a specific frame. Thus, a curve whose position vector is a linear combination of for example Frenet vectors is called a Smarandache curve [3, 20]. By using different frames apart from Frenet, various curves are constructed by using this method, and their properties are well studied in many research papers (see e.g. [2-5, 18-19]). Sabban frame, as defined in [8], is one of these frames that can be used to generate new curves. By its definition which depends on a specific spherical indicatrix curve, different types of Sabban frames can be formed. By considering these forms of Sabban frame, new Smarandache curves are defined and their geodesic curvatures are examined in [14-15]. The Smarandache curves of some special curves such as Mannheim, Salkowski, involute-evolute, etc. are also discussed with respect to different frames in [6, 913]. More recently, the Smarandache curves that is obtained by Sabban frame according to the tangent indicatrix of the helix curve has been examined in [16], while Viviani's curve is discussed in [17]. Furthermore, by considering the principal normal vector of the special Viviani's curve, new Smarandache curves are introduced in [achakara]. Motivated by these papers, in this study, a new form of Sabban frame is constructed by using the unit Darboux vector of Viviani's curve as a spherical indicatrix curve. Then, according to this frame, new Smarandache curves are obtained. Before starting, we recall some basic notations:
Let $\alpha: I \subset R \rightarrow R^{3}$ be a differentiable curve and denote the set of its Frenet frame as $\{T, N, B\}$. The calculation of the very famous Frenet formulas is given as following

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\frac{\alpha^{\prime}}{\left\|\alpha^{\prime}\right\|}, \quad N=B \wedge T, \quad B=\frac{\alpha^{\prime} \wedge \alpha^{\prime \prime}}{\left\|\alpha^{\prime} \wedge \alpha^{\prime \prime}\right\|}, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \kappa=\frac{\left\|\alpha^{\prime} \wedge \alpha^{\prime \prime}\right\|}{\left\|\alpha^{\prime}\right\|^{3}}, \quad \tau=\frac{\operatorname{det}\left(\alpha^{\prime}, \alpha^{\prime \prime}, \alpha^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)}{\left\|\alpha^{\prime} \wedge \alpha^{\prime \prime}\right\|^{2}},  \tag{1.2}\\
& T^{\prime}(s)=\eta \kappa N, \quad \mathrm{~N}^{\prime}(s)=\eta(-\kappa T+\tau B), \quad B^{\prime}(s)=-\eta \tau N, \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where ' is for the derivative operator $\wedge$ is vector product sign, $\eta=\left\|\alpha^{\prime}(s)\right\|, \kappa$ is the curvature function, and $\tau$ is the torsion of the curve. The motion of the Frenet frame along the curve $\alpha=\alpha(s)$ follows an instantaneous rotation vector which is called Darboux vector defined by Gaston Darboux as follows [1, 7]

$$
W=\tau T+\kappa B .
$$

If $\square(B, W)=\varphi$, then the unit Darboux vector denoted by $C$ can be given as

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=\sin (\varphi) T+\cos (\varphi) B, \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\cos (\varphi)=\frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}}, \sin (\varphi)=\frac{\tau}{\sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}}$, and $\varphi^{\prime}=\left(\frac{\tau}{\kappa}\right)^{\prime}\left(1+\frac{\tau^{2}}{\kappa^{2}}\right)$ [7].
Frenet frame is not the only frame to characterize the curves. There are other frames, one of which is known to be Sabban frame. The frame is defined as follows:
Let $\lambda(s): R \rightarrow R^{3}$ be a unit vector with its arclength parameter $s$. Denote $\mathrm{I}=\lambda^{\prime}$ as the tangent vector of the curve whose position vector $\lambda(s)$ on $S^{2}$. By using vector product, another unit vector is computed as $\Pi=\lambda \wedge I$. These three vectors construct an orthonormal frame denoted by $\{\lambda, I, \Pi\}$. This new frame is known as Sabban frame [8]. The following relations are Frenet like formulas for Sabban frame

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{\prime}=\mathrm{I}, \quad \mathrm{I}^{\prime}=-\lambda+\aleph_{g} \Pi, \quad \Pi^{\prime}=-\aleph_{g} \mathrm{I}, \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\aleph_{g}=\left\langle\mathrm{I}^{\prime}, \Pi\right\rangle[8,19] . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, the locus of the intersection points of a unit sphere centered at origin with a given cylinder tangent to this sphere form a special curve namely Viviani's curve (see Figure 1).


Figure 1: The special Viviani's curve as an intersection of sphere and cylinder

The parametric representation of the curve is as follows

$$
\alpha(s)=\left(\cos ^{2} s, \cos s \sin s, \sin s\right), \quad s \in[-\pi, \pi][1] .
$$

The Frenet apparatus and the corresponding unit Darboux vector for Viviani's curve is given as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T(s)=\frac{2(-\sin 2 s, \cos 2 s, \cos s)}{\sqrt{2 \cos 2 s+6}}, \quad N(s)=-\frac{(\cos 4 s+12 \cos 2 s+3, \sin 4 s+12 \sin 2 s, 4 \sin s)}{\sqrt{6 \cos 4 s+88 \cos 2 s+162}}, \\
& B(s)=\frac{(\sin 3 s+3 \sin s,-\cos 3 s-3 \cos s, 4)}{\sqrt{6 \cos 2 s+26}}, \\
& \kappa(s)=\frac{\sqrt{3 \cos ^{2} s+5}}{\left(\cos ^{2} s+1\right) \sqrt{\cos ^{2} s+1}}, \tau(s)=\frac{6 \cos s}{3 \cos ^{2} s+5}, \\
& C(s)=\frac{\left(2 \sqrt{2} \sin (s)^{3}\left(6 \cos (s)^{2}+5\right), 4 \sqrt{2} \cos (s)\left(3 \cos (s)^{4}-2 \cos (s)^{2}-3\right), \sqrt{2}\left(3 \cos (2 s)^{2}+18 \cos (2 s)+35\right)\right)}{\sqrt{18 \cos (2 s)^{4}+207 \cos (2 s)^{3}+999 \cos (2 s)^{2}+2493 \cos (2 s)+2683}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 2. ON SABBAN FRAME OF VIVIANI'S CURVE BY UNIT DARBOUX VECTOR AND SOME APPLICATIONS

This is the main section of the paper where we first construct Sabban frame by considering the pol-indicatrix curve drawn by the unit Darboux vector of the Viviani's curve. Then, we provide the relationship among the Frenet and Sabban vectors. Second, by using the vectors of Sabban frame as position vectors, some special Smarandache curves are defined. Finally, the geodesic curvatures of new curves are expressed by the curvature and the torsion of Viviani's curve.

Definition 1. Let $\alpha: s \in I \subset R \rightarrow R^{3}$ be a space curve twice differentiable everywhere in its domain and denote its unit Darboux vector by $C(s)$. The curve traced out by the vector $C$ of $\alpha$ centered at unit sphere is called pol- or Darboux-indicatrix curve $\alpha_{C}(s)=C(s)($ see Figure 2).

Theorem 1. Let $\alpha_{C}(s)=C(s)$ be the pol-indicatrix curve of $\alpha$ and denote $\left\{T_{C}, N_{C}, B_{C}\right\}$ as the Frenet frame of it. Then, the relationship among the actual Frenet frame of $\alpha$ and its polindicatrix curve can be given as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& T_{C}=\cos \varphi T-\sin \varphi B, \\
& N_{C}=\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(\sin \varphi T+\cos \varphi B)+\eta \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}} N}{\sqrt{2 \eta^{2}\left(\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}\right)+\varphi^{\prime 2}}},  \tag{2.1}\\
& B_{C}=\frac{\eta \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}(\sin \varphi T+\cos \varphi B)+\varphi^{\prime} N}{\sqrt{2 \eta^{2}\left(\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}\right)+\varphi^{\prime 2}}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. From Definition 1. of pol-indicatrix curve as $\alpha_{C}(s)=C(s)$, by taking derivatives with consideration to the relations (1.3), we compute the followings

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{C}^{\prime}=\varphi^{\prime}(\cos \varphi T-\sin \varphi B), \\
& \alpha_{C}^{\prime \prime}=\left(\varphi^{\prime} \cos \varphi-\varphi^{\prime 2} \sin \varphi\right) T+\varphi^{\prime} \eta \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}} N-\left(\varphi^{\prime} \sin \varphi+\varphi^{\prime 2} \cos \varphi\right) B, \\
& \alpha_{C}^{\prime} \wedge \alpha_{C}^{\prime \prime}=\varphi^{\prime 2} \eta \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}} \sin \varphi T+\varphi^{\prime 2} \eta \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}} \cos \varphi B+\varphi^{\prime 3} N,  \tag{2.2}\\
& \left\|\alpha_{C}^{\prime} \wedge \alpha_{C}^{\prime \prime}\right\|=\varphi^{\prime 2} \sqrt{2 \eta^{2}\left(\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}\right)+\varphi^{\prime 2}} .
\end{align*}
$$

By referring the relations (1.1) to substitute these relations, the proof is completed.
Theorem 2. The curvatures of the pol-indicatrix curve $\alpha_{C}(s)=C(s)$ denoted by $\kappa_{C}$ and $\tau_{c}$, respectively can be expressed by the curvatures of $\alpha$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa_{C}=\frac{\sqrt{2 \eta^{2}\left(\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}\right)+\varphi^{\prime 2}}}{\varphi^{\prime}}, \quad \tau_{C}=\frac{\eta^{\prime} \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}-\eta\left(\sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}\right)^{\prime}}{2 \eta^{2}\left(\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}\right)+\varphi^{\prime 2}} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By taking the third derivative of the pol-indicatrix curve and using triple product, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{C}^{\prime \prime \prime}=\left(\left(\varphi^{\prime} \cos \varphi-\varphi^{\prime 2} \sin \varphi\right)^{\prime}-\varphi^{\prime} \kappa \eta^{2} \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}\right) T+\left(\varphi^{\prime} \eta \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}+\left(\varphi^{\prime} \eta \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}\right)^{\prime}\right) N \\
&-\left(\left(\varphi^{\prime} \sin \varphi+\varphi^{\prime 2} \cos \varphi\right)^{\prime}-\varphi^{\prime} \tau \eta^{2} \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}\right) B, \\
& \operatorname{det}\left(\alpha_{C}^{\prime}, \alpha_{C}^{\prime \prime}, \alpha_{C}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)=\varphi^{\prime 3}\left(\left(\varphi^{\prime} \eta \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}\right)^{\prime}-\varphi^{\prime \prime} \eta \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

As we refer the relations given in (1.2) and (2.2), and by using the latter ones above, the proof is completed.

The following Figure 2 illustrates the pol-indicatrix curve, its view on unit sphere and its curvatures, respectively.



Figure 2. The pol-indicatrix curve and its curvatures

Now, let $T_{C}$ denote the tangent vector of pol-indicatrix curve $\alpha_{C}(s)=C(s)$ and compute $D_{C}=\alpha_{C} \wedge T_{C}$. Then the corresponding Sabban vectors can be obtained as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{C}=\sin \varphi T+\cos \varphi B, \quad T_{C}=\cos \varphi T-\sin \varphi B, \quad D_{C}=N . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, by using (1.5), Sabban derivative formulas can be given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{c}^{\prime}=\varphi^{\prime}(\cos \varphi T-\sin \varphi B), \\
& T_{C}^{\prime}=-\varphi^{\prime}(\sin \varphi T+\cos \varphi B)+\eta \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}} N,  \tag{2.5}\\
& D_{C}^{\prime}=\eta(-\kappa T+\tau N) .
\end{align*}
$$

Definition 2. The curve whose position vector is the unit combination of $\alpha_{c}$ and $T_{c}$ is called $\sigma_{1}-$ Smarandache curve which is given by the following parameterization

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{1}(s)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\alpha_{C}+T_{C}\right) . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that, from (2.4), we can re-express the $\sigma_{1}-$ Smarandache curve as

$$
\sigma_{1}(s)=\frac{(\cos \varphi+\sin \varphi) T+(\cos \varphi-\sin \varphi) B}{\sqrt{2}} .
$$

Theorem 2. The geodesic curvature $K_{g}{ }^{\sigma_{1}(s)}$ of the $\sigma_{1}-$ Smarandache curve is given by the following relation

$$
K_{g}^{\sigma_{1}}=\frac{2 \varphi^{\prime} \eta\left(\sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}\right)^{\prime}+\left(\eta^{3}\left(\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}\right)+2 \varphi^{\prime} \eta^{\prime}+2 \varphi^{\prime 2} \eta-2 \eta \varphi^{\prime \prime}\right) \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}}{\sqrt{2}\left(\eta^{2}\left(\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}\right)-2 \varphi^{\prime 2} \sin 2 \varphi\right)} .
$$

Proof. From Definition 2, by taking the derivative of (2.6) and considering the given relations (2.5), the tangent of the $\sigma_{1}-$ Smarandache curve denoted by $T_{\sigma_{1}}$ can be obtained as
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$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{\sigma_{1}} & =\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(\cos \varphi-\sin \varphi) T+\eta \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}} N-\varphi^{\prime}(\cos \varphi+\sin \varphi) B}{\sqrt{\eta^{2}\left(\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}\right)-2 \varphi^{\prime 2} \sin 2 \varphi}} \\
& =\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(\cos \varphi-\sin \varphi) T+\eta \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}} N-\varphi^{\prime}(\cos \varphi+\sin \varphi) B}{X}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $X=\sqrt{\eta^{2}\left(\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}\right)-2 \varphi^{\prime 2} \sin 2 \varphi}$. By using the cross product of $\sigma_{1}$ and $T_{\sigma_{1}}$, we have

$$
\sigma_{1} \wedge T_{\sigma_{1}}=\frac{\eta \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}(\sin \varphi-\cos \varphi) T+2 \varphi^{\prime} N+\eta \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}(\sin \varphi+\cos \varphi) B}{\sqrt{2} X}
$$

Moreover, the derivative of $T_{\sigma_{1}}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{\sigma_{1}}^{\prime}= & \left(\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(\cos \varphi-\sin \varphi)}{X}\right)^{\prime}-\frac{\eta^{2} \kappa \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}}{X}\right) T+\left(\left(\frac{\eta \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}}{X}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{\varphi^{\prime} \eta \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}}{X}\right) N-\left(\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(\cos \varphi+\sin \varphi)}{X}\right)^{\prime}-\frac{\eta^{2} \tau \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}}{X}\right) B \\
& =\left(\frac{\left(\varphi^{\prime \prime} X-\varphi^{\prime} X^{\prime}\right)(\cos \varphi-\sin \varphi)-\varphi^{\prime 2} X(\cos \varphi+\sin \varphi)-\eta^{2} \kappa X \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}}{X^{2}}\right) T \\
& +\left(\frac{\left(\eta^{\prime} X-\eta X^{\prime}\right) \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}+\eta X\left(\sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}\right)^{\prime}+X \varphi^{\prime} \eta \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}}{X^{2}}\right) N \\
& -\left(\frac{\left(\varphi^{\prime \prime} X-\varphi^{\prime} X^{\prime}\right)(\cos \varphi+\sin \varphi)+\varphi^{\prime 2} X(\cos \varphi-\sin \varphi)-X \eta^{2} \tau \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}}{X^{2}}\right) B
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, by recalling (1.6), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{g}^{\sigma_{1}} & =\left(\frac{\eta \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}\left(\varphi^{\prime \prime} X-\varphi^{\prime} X^{\prime}\right)(\sin \varphi-1)+\varphi^{\prime 2} \eta X \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}} \cos 2 \varphi}{\sqrt{2} X^{3}}-\frac{\eta^{3} \kappa\left(\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}\right)(\sin \varphi-\cos \varphi)}{\sqrt{2} X^{2}}\right) \\
& +\left(\frac{-\eta \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}\left(\varphi^{\prime \prime} X-\varphi^{\prime} X^{\prime}\right)(\sin \varphi+1)-\varphi^{\prime 2} \eta X \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}} \cos 2 \varphi}{\sqrt{2} X^{3}}+\frac{\eta^{3} \tau\left(\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}\right)(\sin \varphi+\cos \varphi)}{\sqrt{2} X^{2}}\right) \\
& +\left(\frac{2 \varphi^{\prime}\left(\eta^{\prime} X-\eta X^{\prime}\right) \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}+2 \varphi^{\prime} \eta X\left(\sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}\right)^{\prime}}{\sqrt{2} X^{3}}+\frac{2 \varphi^{\prime 2} \eta \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}}{\sqrt{2} X^{2}}\right) \\
& =\frac{\left(\eta^{3}\left(\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}\right)+2 \varphi^{\prime} \eta^{\prime}+2 \varphi^{\prime 2} \eta-2 \eta \varphi^{\prime \prime}\right) \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}+2 \varphi^{\prime} \eta\left(\sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}\right)^{\prime}}{\sqrt{2} X^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Upon substitution $X$ into the latter, we complete the proof.


Figure 3. The $\sigma_{1}-$ Smarandache curve (left) and its geodesic curvature (right) for

$$
s \in[-2 \pi, 2 \pi]
$$

Definition 3. The curve whose position vector is the unit combination of $\alpha_{c}$ and $D_{c}$ is called $\sigma_{2}-$ Smarandache curve which is given by the following parameterization

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{2}(s)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\alpha_{C}+D_{C}\right) . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that, from (2.4), we can re-express the $\sigma_{2}$-Smarandache curve as

$$
\sigma_{2}(s)=\frac{\sin \varphi T+N+\cos \varphi B}{\sqrt{2}} .
$$

Theorem 3. The geodesic curvature $K_{g}{ }^{\sigma_{2}(s)}$ of the $\sigma_{2}$-Smarandache curve is given by the following relation

$$
\kappa_{g}^{\sigma_{2}}=\frac{\left(\eta-\eta \varphi^{\prime 2}\right)\left(\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}\right)+\varphi^{\prime 3} \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}+\left(\eta^{2} \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}-\eta \varphi^{\prime}\right)\left(\tau^{\prime} \kappa-\tau \kappa^{\prime}\right)}{\sqrt{2} \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}}
$$

Proof. From Definition 3, by taking the derivative of (2.7) and considering the given relations (2.5), the tangent of the $\sigma_{2}$-Smarandache curve denoted by $T_{\sigma_{2}}$ can be obtained as

$$
T_{\sigma_{2}}=\frac{\left(\varphi^{\prime} \cos \varphi-\eta \kappa\right) T+\left(-\varphi^{\prime} \sin \varphi+\eta \tau\right) B}{\sqrt{\varphi^{\prime 2}+\eta^{2}\left(\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}\right)-2 \eta \varphi^{\prime} \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}}} .
$$

By using the cross product of $\sigma_{2}$ and $T_{\sigma_{2}}$, we have

$$
\sigma_{2} \wedge T_{\sigma_{2}}=\frac{\left(\eta \tau-\varphi^{\prime} \sin \varphi\right) T+\left(\varphi^{\prime}-\eta \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}\right) N+\left(\eta \kappa-\varphi^{\prime} \cos \varphi\right) B}{\sqrt{2} \sqrt{\varphi^{\prime 2}+\eta^{2}\left(\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}\right)-2 \eta \varphi^{\prime} \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}}} .
$$

Besides, the derivative of $T_{\sigma_{2}}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{\sigma_{2}}^{\prime}= & \left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime} \cos \varphi-\eta \kappa}{\sqrt{\varphi^{\prime 2}+\eta^{2}\left(\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}\right)-2 \eta \varphi^{\prime} \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}}}\right)^{\prime} T+\left(\frac{-\varphi^{\prime} \sin \varphi+\eta \tau}{\sqrt{\varphi^{\prime 2}+\eta^{2}\left(\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}\right)-2 \eta \varphi^{\prime} \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}}}\right)^{\prime} B \\
& +\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime} \eta \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}-\eta^{2}\left(\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\varphi^{\prime 2}+\eta^{2}\left(\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}\right)-2 \eta \varphi^{\prime} \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}}}\right) N .
\end{aligned}
$$

By using (1.6), we have

$$
K_{g}^{\sigma_{2}}=\frac{\left(\eta-\eta \varphi^{\prime 2}\right)\left(\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}\right)+\varphi^{\prime 3} \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}+\left(\eta^{2} \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}-\eta \varphi^{\prime}\right)\left(\tau^{\prime} \kappa-\tau \kappa^{\prime}\right)}{\sqrt{2} \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}}
$$

which completes the proof.


Figure 4. The $\sigma_{2}$ - Smarandache curve (left) and its geodesic curvature (right) for

$$
s \in[-2 \pi, 2 \pi]
$$

Definition 4. The curve whose position vector is the unit combination of $T_{C}$ and $D_{C}$ is called $\sigma_{3}$-Smarandache curve which is given by the following parameterization

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{3}(s)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(T_{C}+D_{C}\right) . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that, from (2.4), we can re-express the $\sigma_{3}$-Smarandache curve as

$$
\sigma_{3}(s)=\frac{\cos \varphi T+N-\sin \varphi B}{\sqrt{2}} .
$$

Theorem 4. The geodesic curvature $K_{g}{ }^{\sigma_{3}(s)}$ of the $\sigma_{3}$-Smarandache curve is given by the following relation

$$
K_{g}^{\sigma_{3}}=\frac{\varphi^{\prime 3}+2 \eta^{2}\left(\tau^{\prime} \kappa-\tau \kappa\right)+2\left(\varphi^{\prime} \eta^{\prime}-\varphi^{\prime \prime} \eta\right) \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}+2 \varphi^{\prime} \eta \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}}{\sqrt{2}\left(\varphi^{\prime 2}+\eta^{2}\left(\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}\right)\right)}
$$

Proof. By taking the derivative of (2.8) from Definition 4, and by considering the given relations (2.5), the tangent of the $\sigma_{3}-$ Smarandache curve denoted by $T_{\sigma_{3}}$ can be obtained as

$$
T_{\sigma_{3}}=\frac{\left(-\varphi^{\prime} \sin \varphi-\eta \kappa\right) T+\eta \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}} N+\left(-\varphi^{\prime} \cos \varphi+\eta \tau\right) B}{Y}
$$

where $Y=\sqrt{\varphi^{\prime 2}+\eta^{2}\left(\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}\right)}$. By using the cross product of $\sigma_{3}$ and $T_{\sigma_{3}}$, we have

$$
\sigma_{3} \wedge T_{\sigma_{3}}=\frac{\left(-\varphi^{\prime} \cos \varphi+\eta \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}} \sin \varphi+\eta \tau\right) T+\varphi^{\prime} N+\left(\varphi^{\prime} \sin \varphi+\eta \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}} \cos \varphi+\eta \kappa\right) B}{\sqrt{2} Y} .
$$

Furthermore, the derivative of $T_{\sigma_{3}}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{\sigma_{3}}^{\prime}= & -\left(\frac{\eta^{2} \kappa \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}}{Y}+\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime} \sin \varphi+\eta \kappa}{Y}\right)^{\prime}\right) T+\left(-\frac{\eta^{2}\left(\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}\right)}{Y}+\left(\frac{\eta \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}}{Y}\right)^{\prime}\right) N+\left(\frac{\eta^{2} \tau \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}}{Y}+\left(\frac{-\varphi^{\prime} \cos \varphi+\eta \tau}{Y}\right)^{\prime}\right) B \\
& =-\left(\frac{\eta^{2} \kappa \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}}{Y}+\frac{Y\left(\varphi^{\prime \prime} \sin \varphi+\varphi^{\prime 2} \cos \varphi+(\eta \kappa)^{\prime}\right)-Y^{\prime}\left(\varphi^{\prime} \sin \varphi+\eta \kappa\right)}{Y^{2}}\right) T \\
& +\left(-\frac{\eta^{2}\left(\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}\right)}{Y}+\frac{Y\left(\eta^{\prime} \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}+\eta \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}\right)-Y^{\prime} \eta \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}}{Y^{2}}\right) N \\
& +\left(\frac{\eta^{2} \tau \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}}{Y}+\frac{Y\left(-\varphi^{\prime \prime} \cos \varphi+\varphi^{\prime 2} \sin \varphi+(\eta \tau)^{\prime}\right)-Y^{\prime}\left(-\varphi^{\prime} \cos \varphi+\eta \tau\right)}{Y^{2}}\right) B .
\end{aligned}
$$

Last, from (1.6), we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(-\varphi^{\prime \prime} \cos \varphi+\varphi^{\prime 2} \sin \varphi+(\eta \tau)^{\prime}\right)\left(\varphi^{\prime} \sin \varphi+\eta \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}} \cos \varphi+\eta \kappa\right) \\
K_{g}^{\sigma_{3}}=\frac{-\left(\varphi^{\prime \prime} \sin \varphi+\varphi^{\prime 2} \cos \varphi+(\eta \kappa)^{\prime}\right)\left(-\varphi^{\prime} \cos \varphi+\eta \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}} \sin \varphi+\eta \tau\right)+\varphi^{\prime}\left(\eta^{\prime} \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}+\eta \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}{ }^{\prime}\right)}{\sqrt{2} Y^{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

and therefore

$$
K_{g}^{\sigma_{3}}=\frac{\varphi^{\prime 3}+2 \eta^{2}\left(\tau^{\prime} \kappa-\tau \kappa\right)+2\left(\varphi^{\prime} \eta^{\prime}-\varphi^{\prime \prime} \eta\right) \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}+2 \varphi^{\prime} \eta \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}}{\sqrt{2} Y^{2}} .
$$

Upon substitution of $Y$ into above relation we complete the proof.


Figure 5. The $\sigma_{3}$-Smarandache curve (left) and its geodesic curvature (right) for

$$
s \in[-2 \pi, 2 \pi]
$$

Definition 5. The curve whose position vector is the unit combination of $\alpha_{C}, T_{C}$ and $D_{C}$ is called $\sigma_{4}$-Smarandache curve which is given by the following parameterization

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{4}(s)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left(\alpha_{C}+T_{C}+D_{C}\right) . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that, from (2.4), we can re-express the $\sigma_{3}$-Smarandache curve as

$$
\sigma_{4}(s)=\frac{(\cos \varphi+\sin \varphi) T+N+(\cos \varphi-\sin \varphi) B}{\sqrt{3}} .
$$

Theorem 5. The geodesic curvature $K_{g}^{\sigma_{4}(s)}$ of the $\sigma_{4}$-Smarandache curve is given by the following relation

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{g}^{\delta_{4}} & =\left(\frac{\left(\Sigma \varphi^{\prime \prime}-\mathrm{P} \varphi^{\prime 2}-(\eta \kappa)^{\prime}-\eta^{2} \kappa W\right) F-\left(\Sigma \varphi^{\prime}-\eta \kappa\right) F^{\prime}}{2 \sqrt{3} F^{3}}\right)\left(\eta \tau-\mathrm{P} \varphi^{\prime}-\eta W \Sigma\right) \\
& +\left(\frac{\left(F\left(\eta \varphi^{\prime}-\eta^{2}\right) W^{2}+\eta^{\prime} W+\eta W^{\prime}\right) F-\eta W F^{\prime}}{2 \sqrt{3} F^{3}}\right)\left(2 \varphi^{\prime}-\eta W\right) \\
& -\left(\frac{\left(\mathrm{P} \varphi^{\prime \prime}+\Sigma \varphi^{\prime 2}-(\eta \tau)^{\prime}-\eta^{2} \tau W\right) F-\left(\mathrm{P} \varphi^{\prime}-\eta \tau\right) F^{\prime}}{2 \sqrt{3} F^{3}}\right)\left(\eta \kappa-\Sigma \varphi^{\prime}+\eta W \mathrm{P}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathrm{P}=\cos \varphi+\sin \varphi, \Sigma=\cos \varphi-\sin \varphi, W=\sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}, F=\sqrt{\varphi^{\prime 2}+\eta^{2} W^{2}-\varphi^{\prime} \eta W}$.
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Proof. By differentiating (2.9) of Definition 5, and by referring the relations (2.5), the tangent vector $T_{\sigma_{4}}$ of the $\sigma_{4}$-Smarandache curve is obtained

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{\sigma_{4}} & =\frac{\left((\cos \varphi-\sin \varphi) \varphi^{\prime}-\eta \kappa\right) T+\eta \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}} N-\left((\cos \varphi+\sin \varphi) \varphi^{\prime}-\eta \tau\right) B}{\sqrt{2} \sqrt{\varphi^{\prime 2}+\eta^{2}\left(\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}\right)-\varphi^{\prime} \eta \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}}}, \\
& =\frac{\left(\Sigma \varphi^{\prime}-\eta \kappa\right) T+\eta W N-\left(\mathrm{P} \varphi^{\prime}-\eta \tau\right) B}{\sqrt{2} F} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By using the cross product of $\sigma_{4}$ and $T_{\sigma_{4}}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{4} \wedge T_{\sigma_{4}}= & \frac{\left(\eta \tau-\varphi^{\prime}(\cos \varphi+\sin \varphi)+\eta(\sin \varphi-\cos \varphi) \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}\right) T+\left(2 \varphi^{\prime}-\eta \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}\right) N}{\sqrt{6} F} . \sqrt{\left.\kappa^{\prime}(\cos \varphi-\sin \varphi)+\eta(\cos \varphi+\sin \varphi) \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}\right) B} \\
= & \frac{\left(\eta \tau-\mathrm{P} \varphi^{\prime}-\eta W \Sigma\right) T+\left(2 \varphi^{\prime}-\eta W\right) N+\left(\eta \kappa-\Sigma \varphi^{\prime}+\eta W \mathrm{P}\right) B}{\sqrt{6} F} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, the derivative of $T_{\sigma_{4}}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{\sigma_{4}}^{\prime}= & \left(\left(\frac{\Sigma \varphi^{\prime}-\eta \kappa}{\sqrt{2} F}\right)^{\prime}-\frac{\eta^{2} \kappa W}{\sqrt{2} F}\right) T+\left(\left(\frac{\eta W}{\sqrt{2} F}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{\left(\eta \varphi^{\prime}-\eta^{2}\right) W^{2}}{\sqrt{2} F}\right) N-\left(\left(\frac{\mathrm{P} \varphi^{\prime}-\eta \tau}{\sqrt{2} F}\right)^{\prime}-\frac{\eta^{2} \tau W}{\sqrt{2} F}\right) B \\
& =\frac{\left(\varphi^{\prime \prime}(\cos \varphi-\sin \varphi)-\varphi^{\prime 2}(\cos \varphi+\sin \varphi)-(\eta \kappa)^{\prime}-\eta^{2} \kappa \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}\right) F-\left(\varphi^{\prime}(\cos \varphi-\sin \varphi)-\eta \kappa\right) F^{\prime}}{\sqrt{2} F^{2}} T \\
& +\frac{\left(F\left(\eta \varphi^{\prime}-\eta^{2}\right)\left(\tau^{2}+\kappa^{2}\right)+\eta^{\prime} \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}+\eta \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}\right) F-\eta \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}} F^{\prime}}{\sqrt{2} F^{2}} N \\
& -\frac{\left(\varphi^{\prime \prime}(\cos \varphi+\sin \varphi)+\varphi^{\prime 2}(\cos \varphi-\sin \varphi)-(\eta \tau)^{\prime}-\eta^{2} \tau \sqrt{\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}}\right) F-\left(\varphi^{\prime}(\cos \varphi+\sin \varphi)-\eta \tau\right) F^{\prime}}{\sqrt{2} F^{2}} B,
\end{aligned}
$$

or equivalently

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{\sigma_{4}}^{\prime}= & \frac{\left(\Sigma \varphi^{\prime \prime}-\mathrm{P} \varphi^{\prime 2}-(\eta \kappa)^{\prime}-\eta^{2} \kappa W\right) F-\left(\Sigma \varphi^{\prime}-\eta \kappa\right) F^{\prime}}{\sqrt{2} F^{2}} T+\frac{\left(F\left(\eta \varphi^{\prime}-\eta^{2}\right) W^{2}+\eta^{\prime} W+\eta W^{\prime}\right) F-\eta W F^{\prime}}{\sqrt{2} F^{2}} N \\
& -\frac{\left(\mathrm{P} \varphi^{\prime \prime}+\Sigma \varphi^{\prime 2}-(\eta \tau)^{\prime}-\eta^{2} \tau W\right) F-\left(\mathrm{P} \varphi^{\prime}-\eta \tau\right) F^{\prime}}{\sqrt{2} F^{2}} B .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, from (1.6), we can obtain the expression given in theorem, which completes the proof.


Figure 6. The $\sigma_{4}$ - Smarandache curve (left) and its geodesic curvature (right) for

$$
s \in[-2 \pi, 2 \pi]
$$
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#### Abstract

In this study, we first prove two new identities for differentiable functions. Then by using these equalities, we obtain some Simpson type inequalities involving fractional integrals with respect to another function. For this aim, we use the functions whose derivatives in absolute value are convex and Hölder inequality.


## 1. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that the considerable number of inequalities have been established in the case of convex functions but the most famous is Simpson's inequality. The classical Simpson's inequality for four times continuously differentiable functions are expressed as follows:

Theorem 1. Let us note that $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a four times continuously differentiable function on ( $a, b$ ), and let us consider $\left\|f^{(4)}\right\|_{\infty}=\sup _{x \in(a, b)}\left|f^{(4)}(x)\right|<\infty$. Then, the following inequality holds:

$$
\left|\frac{1}{6}\left[f(a)+4 f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)+f(b)\right]-\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x\right| \leq \frac{1}{2880}\left\|f^{(4)}\right\|_{\infty}(b-a)^{4} .
$$

Since the convex theory is an effective and useful way to solve a large number of problems from different branches of mathematics, many mathematicians have investigated the Simpsontype inequalities the case of convex function. More precisely, some inequalities of Simpson's type for $s$-convex functions are established by using differentiable functions in the paper [3]. Furthermore, the new variants of Simpson's type inequalities based on differentiable convex functions are established in the papers [34, 36]. The reader is referred to [8, 14, 19, 21, 24-26, 35] and the references therein for more information and unexplained subjects about Simpson type inequalities for various convex classes.
Many mathematicians have investigated the twice differentiable convex functions for obtaining significant inequalities. For example, Sarikaya et al. proved several Simpson-type inequalities for functions whose second derivatives are convex in the paper [33]. In addition, some

Simpson's type inequalities are given for functions whose absolute values of derivatives are convex in the paper [31]. Moreover, it is proved new estimates on the generalization of Hadamard, Ostrowski, and Simpson type inequalities in the case of functions whose second derivatives in absolute value at certain powers are convex and quasi-convex functions in the paper [29]. Furthermore, Simpson type inequalities are established for $P$-convex functions in the paper [28]. It can be referred to [2,11,13,37,38] for further pieces of informations and unexplained subjects about these type of inequalities including twice differentiable functions. Mathematical preliminaries about fractional calculus theory, which will be used throughout this paper, will be given as follows:

Definition 1. Let us consider $f \in L_{1}[a, b]$. The Riemann-Liouville integrals $J_{a+}^{\alpha} f$ and $J_{b-}^{\alpha} f$ of order $\alpha>0$ with $a \geq 0$ are defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{a+}^{\alpha} f(x)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{a}^{x}(x-t)^{\alpha-1} f(t) d t, x>a \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{b-}^{\alpha} f(x)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{x}^{b}(t-x)^{\alpha-1} f(t) d t, x<b \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. Here, $\Gamma(\alpha)$ is the Gamma function and its described as follows:

$$
\Gamma(\alpha)=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-u} u^{\alpha-1} d u
$$

Let us also note that $J_{a+}^{0} f(x)=J_{b-}^{0} f(x)=f(x)$.
Remark 1. If we choose $\alpha=1$ in Definition 1, then the fractional integral reduces to the classical integral.

Definition 2. Let $f \in L_{1}[a, b]$. The Hadamard fractional integrals $\mathbf{J}_{a+}^{\alpha} f$ and $\mathbf{J}_{b-}^{\alpha} f$ of order $\alpha>0$ with $a \geq 0$ are defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{J}_{a^{+}}^{\alpha} f(x)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{a}^{x}\left(\ln \frac{x}{t}\right)^{\alpha-1} f(t) \frac{d t}{t}, x>a \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{J}_{b^{-}}^{\alpha} f(x)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{x}^{b}\left(\ln \frac{t}{x}\right)^{\alpha-1} f(t) \frac{d t}{t}, x<b \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively.
In the paper [15], the Simpson inequalities for differentiable functions are extended to RiemannLiouville fractional integrals. In addition to these, several papers are focused on fractional

Simpson inequalities for fractional and various fractional integral operators [1, 7, 12, 17, 20, 22, 30, 32]. For further information and several properties of Riemann-Liouville fractional integrals, please refer to [4, 5, 9, 18, 23, 27].

In the paper [6], the authors proved some new inequalities of Simpson's type based on $s$-convexity by fractional integrals. If it is chosen $s=1$ in the paper [6, Theorem 2.3], then it yields:

Theorem 2. [6] Let $f: I \subset 0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ denote a differentiable mapping on $I^{0}$ so that $f^{\prime} \in$ $L_{1}[a, b]$. Here, $a, b \in I$ with $a<b$. and if $\left|f^{\prime}\right|$ is convex on $[a, b]$. Then, the following inequality for Riemann-Liouville fractional integrals holds:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\frac{1}{6}\left[f(a)+4 f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)+f(b)\right]-\frac{2^{\alpha-1} \Gamma(\alpha+1)}{(b-a)^{\alpha}}\left[J_{a+}^{\alpha} f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)+J_{b-}^{\alpha} f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right] \right\rvert\, \\
& \leq \frac{b-a}{2} \varphi(\alpha)| | f^{\prime}(a)\left|+\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here,

$$
\varphi(\alpha)=\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}+1}\left(\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}\right)+\frac{1}{2(1+\alpha)}-\frac{1}{3} .
$$

Theorem 3. [10] If we consider the assumptions of Theorem 2, then the following inequalities hold:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\frac{1}{6}\left[f(a)+4 f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)+f(b)\right]-\frac{2^{\alpha-1} \Gamma(\alpha+1)}{(b-a)^{\alpha}}\left[J_{\frac{a+b}{2}+}^{\alpha} f(b)+J_{\frac{a+b}{2-}}^{\alpha} f(a)\right]\right| \\
& \leq \frac{b-a}{12}\left[C_{2}(\alpha)\left(\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|+\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|\right)+2 C_{1}(\alpha)\left|f^{\prime}\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right|\right] \\
& \leq \frac{b-a}{12}\left(C_{1}(\alpha)+C_{2}(\alpha)\right)| | f^{\prime}(a)\left|+\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{1}(\alpha)=2\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}\left[\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\alpha+2}\right]+\frac{3}{\alpha+2}-\frac{1}{2}, \\
& C_{2}(\alpha)=2\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\left[1-\frac{1}{\alpha+1}\right]-2\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}\left[\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\alpha+2}\right]+\frac{3}{(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)}-\frac{1}{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Iqbal et. al. [15] established new Simpson's type inequalities for Riemann-Liouville fractional integral using the convexity for the class of functions whose derivatives in absolute value at certain powers are convex functions proposed in the following theorem.

Theorem 4. [15] Let us note that the assumptions of Theorem 2 are valid. Then, the following inequality holds:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\frac{1}{6} f(a)+\frac{2}{3} f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{6} f(b)\right]-\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{2(b-a)^{\alpha}}\left[J_{a+}^{\alpha} f(b)+J_{b-}^{\alpha} f(a)\right]} \\
& \leq \frac{b-a}{2^{\alpha}}\left(K_{1}+K_{2}\right)| | f^{\prime}(a)\left|+\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

is valid. Here, $d^{\alpha}=\frac{2\left(2^{\alpha}-1\right)}{3}+1$ and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
K_{1}=\frac{1}{6}-\frac{1}{3}\left(1-\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}\right)-\frac{1}{(\alpha+1)}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{1+\frac{1}{\alpha}}+\frac{1}{2(\alpha+1)} \\
K_{2}=2\left[\frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{2\left(2^{\alpha}-1\right)}\right](d-1)+\frac{1+2^{\alpha+1}-2 d^{\alpha+1}}{2\left(2^{\alpha}-1\right)(\alpha+1)}-\left(\frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{2\left(2^{\alpha}-1\right)}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

The definitions of the following $\psi$-Hilfer fractional integrals are given in [18].
Definition 3. Let $\psi:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an monotone increasing function on ( $a, b]$, having $a$ continuous derivative $\psi^{\prime}(x)$ on $(a, b)$. The left-sided fractional integral of $f$ with respect to the function $\psi$ on $[a, b]$ of order $\alpha>0$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{a+; \psi}^{\alpha} f(x)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{a}^{x}(\psi(x)-\psi(t))^{\alpha-1} \psi^{\prime}(t) f(t) d t, x>a \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided that the integral exists. The right-sided fractional integral of $f$ with respect to the function $\psi$ on $[a, b]$ of order $\alpha>0$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{b-; \psi}^{\alpha} f(x)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{x}^{b}(\psi(t)-\psi(x))^{\alpha-1} \psi^{\prime}(t) f(t) d t, x<b \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. Here, $\Gamma(\alpha)$ is the Gamma function.
Remark 2. If we choose $\psi(t)=t$, then the operators (1.5) and (1.6) reduce the RiemannLiouville fractional operators (1.1) and (1.2), respectively.

Remark 3. If we choose $\psi(t)=\ln t, t>0$, then the operators (1.5) and (1.6) reduce the Hadamard fractional operators (1.3) and (1.4), respectively.

Jlelli and Samet gave the following Hermite-Hadamard inequality for $\psi$-Hilfer fractional integrals in [16].

Theorem 5. Let $\psi:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an monotone increasing function on $(a, b]$, having $a$ continuous derivative $\psi^{\prime}(x)$ on $(a, b)$. Let $f$ is a convex function on $[a, b]$ and $\alpha>0$, then the following inequalities hold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \leq \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{4[\psi(b)-\psi(a)]^{\alpha}}\left[I_{a+; \psi}^{\alpha} F(b)+I_{b-; \psi}^{\alpha} F(a)\right] \leq \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F(t)=f(t)+f(a+b-t)$.
The purpose of this paper is to show that some Simpson's type inequalities for $\psi$-Hilfer fractional integrals by convex functions. The general outline of the paper consists of three sections including the introduction. The remaining part of the paper proceeds as follows: In Section 2, we will establish two types of the Simpson's inequalities for $\psi$-Hilfer fractional integrals fractional integral operators by using functions whose derivatives are convex. Moreover, we also give the relations of our main findings and previous studies. In the last section, some conclusions and further directions of research are presented.

## 2. SIMPSON TYPE INEQUALITIES TYPE FOR $\Psi$-HILFER FRACTIONAL INTEGRALS

For the sake of brevity, we denote

$$
M_{\psi}^{\alpha}(a, b)=\left[\psi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)-\psi(a)\right]^{\alpha}
$$

and

$$
N_{\psi}^{\alpha}(a, b)=\left[\psi(b)-\psi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right]^{\alpha}
$$

Lemma 1. Let $\psi:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an monotone increasing function on ( $a, b]$, having $a$ continuous derivative $\psi^{\prime}(x)$ on $(a, b)$. Let $f:[a, b] \rightarrow R$ be a differentiable function on $(a, b)$. Then we have the following identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{6}\left[f(a)+4 f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)+f(b)\right] \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{2}\left[\frac{1}{M_{\psi}^{\alpha}(a, b)} I_{a+; \psi}^{\alpha} f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{N_{\psi}^{\alpha}(a, b)} I_{b-; \psi}^{\alpha} f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{b-a}{2}\left[\frac{1}{N_{\psi}^{\alpha}(a, b)} \int_{0}^{1} \Xi_{\psi, \alpha}(t) f^{\prime}\left(\frac{1+t}{2} b+\frac{1-t}{2} a\right) d t\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{M_{\psi}^{\alpha}(a, b)} \int_{0}^{1} \hat{o}_{\psi, \alpha}(t) f^{\prime}\left(\frac{1+t}{2} a+\frac{1-t}{2} b\right) d t\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\Xi_{\psi, \alpha}(t)=\frac{1}{2}\left[\psi\left(\frac{1+t}{2} b+\frac{1-t}{2} a\right)-\psi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right]^{\alpha}-\frac{1}{3} N_{\psi}^{\alpha}(a, b)
$$

and

$$
\hat{\mathrm{o}}_{\psi, \alpha}(t)=\frac{1}{2}\left[\psi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)-\psi\left(\frac{1+t}{2} a+\frac{1-t}{2} b\right)\right]^{\alpha}-\frac{1}{3} M_{\psi}^{\alpha}(a, b) .
$$

Proof. By using integration by parts, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{1} \Xi_{\psi, \alpha}(t) f\left(\frac{1+t}{2} b+\frac{1-t}{2} a\right) d t  \tag{2.2}\\
&=\left.\frac{2}{b-a} \Xi_{\psi, \alpha}(t) f\left(\frac{1+t}{2} b+\frac{1-t}{2} a\right)\right|_{0} ^{1} \\
&-\frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{0}^{1}\left[\psi \left(\frac{1+t}{2} b+\right.\right.\left.\left.\frac{1-t}{2} a\right)-\psi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right]^{\alpha-1} \psi\left(\frac{1+t}{2} b+\frac{1-t}{2} a\right) f\left(\frac{1+t}{2} b+\frac{1-t}{2} a\right) d t \\
&=\frac{2}{b-a}\left[\frac{1}{6} N_{\psi}^{\alpha}(a, b) f(b)+\frac{1}{3} N_{\psi}^{\alpha}(a, b) f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right] \\
&-\frac{\alpha}{b-a} \int_{\frac{a+b}{2}}^{b}\left[\psi(x)-\psi\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right]^{\alpha-1} \psi(x) f(x) d x \\
&=\frac{2 N_{\psi}^{\alpha}(a, b)}{b-a}\left[\frac{1}{6} f(b)+\frac{1}{3} f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right]-\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{b-a} I_{b-; \psi}^{\alpha} f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{1} \hat{\mathbf{o}}_{\psi, \alpha}(t) f^{\prime}\left(\frac{1+t}{2} a+\frac{1-t}{2} b\right) d t  \tag{2.3}\\
& =\frac{2 M_{\psi}^{\alpha}(a, b)}{b-a}\left[\frac{1}{6} f(a)+\frac{1}{3} f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right]-\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{b-a} I_{a+; \psi}^{\alpha} f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

If we multiply both sides of (2.2) and (2.3) by $\frac{b-a}{2 N_{\psi}^{\alpha}(a, b)}$ and $\frac{-(b-a)}{2 N_{\psi}^{\alpha}(a, b)}$, respectively, then by adding the resultant equalities, the equality (2.1) is obtained. This finishes the proof of Lemma 1.

Theorem 6. Let consider that the assumptions of Lemma 1 hold. If the function $\left|f^{\prime}\right|$ is convex on $[a, b]$, then one has the following Simpson inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\lvert\, \frac{1}{6}\left[f(a)+4 f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)+f(b)\right]\right. \\
& -\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{2}\left[\frac{1}{M_{\psi}^{\alpha}(a, b)} I_{a+; \psi}^{\alpha} f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{N_{\psi}^{\alpha}(a, b)} I_{b-\psi \psi}^{\alpha} f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right] \\
& \leq \frac{b-a}{4}\left[\frac{A_{1}(\psi, \alpha)+A_{2}(\psi, \alpha)}{N_{\psi}^{\alpha}(a, b)}\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|+\frac{A_{1}(\psi, \alpha)-A_{2}(\psi, \alpha)}{N_{\psi}^{\alpha}(a, b)}\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{B_{1}(\psi, \alpha)+B_{2}(\psi, \alpha)}{M_{\psi}^{\alpha}(a, b)}\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|+\frac{B_{1}(\psi, \alpha)-B_{2}(\psi, \alpha)}{M_{\psi}^{\alpha}(a, b)}\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{1}(\psi, \alpha)=\int_{0}^{1}\left|\Xi_{\psi, \alpha}(t)\right| d t \\
& A_{2}(\psi, \alpha)=\int_{0}^{1} t\left|\Xi_{\psi, \alpha}(t)\right| d t \\
& B_{1}(\psi, \alpha)=\int_{0}^{1}\left|\hat{o}_{\psi, \alpha}(t)\right| d t
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
B_{2}(\psi, \alpha)=\int_{0}^{1} t\left|\hat{\mid}_{\psi, \alpha}(t)\right| d t .
$$

Proof. Let us take modulus in Lemma 1. Then, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\lvert\, \frac{1}{6}\left[f(a)+4 f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)+f(b)\right]\right.  \tag{2.4}\\
& \left.-\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{2}\left[\frac{1}{M_{\psi}^{\alpha}(a, b)} I_{a+; \psi}^{\alpha} f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{N_{\psi}^{\alpha}(a, b)} I_{b-; \psi}^{\alpha} f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right] \right\rvert\, \\
& \leq \frac{b-a}{2}\left[\frac{1}{N_{\psi}^{\alpha}(a, b)} \int_{0}^{1}\left|\Xi_{\psi, \alpha}(t)\right|\left|f^{\prime}\left(\frac{1+t}{2} b+\frac{1-t}{2} a\right)\right| d t\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{M_{\psi}^{\alpha}(a, b)} \int_{0}^{1}\left|\hat{o}_{\psi, \alpha}(t)\right|\left|f^{\prime}\left(\frac{1+t}{2} a+\frac{1-t}{2} b\right)\right| d t\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Using the fact that $\left|f^{\prime}\right|$ is convex, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\lvert\, \frac{1}{6}\left[f(a)+4 f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)+f(b)\right]\right. \\
& -\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{2}\left[\frac{1}{M_{\psi}^{\alpha}(a, b)} I_{a+; \psi}^{\alpha} f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{N_{\psi}^{\alpha}(a, b)} I_{b-; \psi}^{\alpha} f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right] \\
& \leq \frac{b-a}{2}\left[\frac{1}{N_{\psi}^{\alpha}(a, b)} \int_{0}^{1} \left\lvert\, \Xi_{\psi, \alpha}(t)\left[\frac{1+t}{2}\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|+\frac{1-t}{2}\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|\right] d t\right.\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{M_{\psi}^{\alpha}(a, b)} \int_{0}^{1}\left|\hat{o}_{\psi, \alpha}(t)\right|\left[\frac{1+t}{2}\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|+\frac{1-t}{2}\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|\right] d t\right] \\
& =\frac{b-a}{4}\left[\frac{A_{1}(\psi, \alpha)+A_{2}(\psi, \alpha)}{N_{\psi}^{\alpha}(a, b)}\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|+\frac{A_{1}(\psi, \alpha)-A_{2}(\psi, \alpha)}{N_{\psi}^{\alpha}(a, b)}\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{B_{1}(\psi, \alpha)+B_{2}(\psi, \alpha)}{M_{\psi}^{\alpha}(a, b)}\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|+\frac{B_{1}(\psi, \alpha)-B_{2}(\psi, \alpha)}{M_{\psi}^{\alpha}(a, b)}\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

This finishes the proof of Theorem 6 .
Remark 4. Let us consider $\psi(t)=t$ for all $t \in[a, b]$ in Theorem 6. Then, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{1}(\psi, \alpha)=B_{1}(\psi, \alpha)=\left(\frac{b-a}{2}\right)^{\alpha} \int_{0}^{1}\left|\frac{t^{\alpha}}{2}-\frac{1}{3}\right| d t \\
& =\left(\frac{b-a}{2}\right)^{\alpha}\left[\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{1+\frac{1}{\alpha}}+\frac{1-2 \alpha}{6(\alpha+1)}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{2}(\psi, \alpha)=B_{2}(\psi, \alpha)=\left(\frac{b-a}{2}\right)^{\alpha} \int_{0}^{1}\left|\frac{t^{\alpha}}{2}-\frac{1}{3}\right| d t \\
& =\left(\frac{b-a}{2}\right)^{\alpha}\left[\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+2}\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{1+\frac{2}{\alpha}}+\frac{1-\alpha}{6(\alpha+2)}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\frac{1}{6}\left[f(a)+4 f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)+f(b)\right]-\frac{2^{\alpha-1} \Gamma(\alpha+1)}{(b-a)^{\alpha}}\left[J_{a+}^{\alpha} f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)+J_{b-}^{\alpha} f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right]\right| \\
& \leq \frac{b-a}{2}\left[\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{1+\frac{1}{\alpha}}+\frac{1-2 \alpha}{6(\alpha+1)}\right]\left[\left[f^{\prime}(a)\left|+\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|\right]\right.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

This result equivalent to Theorem 2.
Theorem 7. Let consider that the assumptions of Lemma 1 hold. If the function $\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{q}, q>1$, is convex on $[a, b]$ then one has the following Simpson inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\lvert\, \frac{1}{6}\left[f(a)+4 f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)+f(b)\right]\right.  \tag{2.5}\\
& -\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{2}\left[\frac{1}{M_{\psi}^{\alpha}(a, b)} I_{a+;, \psi}^{\alpha} f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{N_{\psi}^{\alpha}(a, b)} I_{b-; \psi}^{\alpha} f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right] \\
& \leq \frac{b-a}{2 N_{\psi}^{\alpha}(a, b)}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|\Xi_{\psi, \alpha}(t)\right|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\frac{3\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}+\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
& +\frac{b-a}{2 M_{\psi}^{\alpha}(a, b)}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|\Xi_{\psi, \alpha}(t)\right|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}+3 \mid f^{\prime}(a)^{q}}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
\leq & {\left[\frac{b-a}{2 N_{\psi}^{\alpha}(a, b)}\left(4 \int_{0}^{1}\left|\Xi_{\psi, \alpha}(t)\right|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+\frac{b-a}{2 M_{\psi}^{\alpha}(a, b)}\left(4 \int_{0}^{1}\left|\Xi_{\psi, \alpha}(t)\right|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right]\left[f^{\prime}(b)\left|+\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|\right]\right.}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$.
Proof. By well-known Hölder inequality and convexity of $\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{q}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\int_{0}^{1}\left|\Xi_{\psi, \alpha}(t)\right| f^{\prime}\left(\frac{1+t}{2} b+\frac{1-t}{2} a\right) \right\rvert\, d t  \tag{2.6}\\
& \leq\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|\Xi_{\psi, \alpha}(t)\right|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{0}^{1} \left\lvert\, f^{\prime}\left(\frac{1+t}{2} b+\frac{1-t}{2} a\right)^{q} d t\right.\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
& \leq\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|\Xi_{\psi, \alpha}(t)\right|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left[\frac{1+t}{2}\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}+\frac{1-t}{2}\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}\right] d t\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
& =\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|\Xi_{\psi, \alpha}(t)\right|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\frac{3\left|f^{\prime}(b)^{q}+\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}\right.}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly,
we
get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\int_{0}^{1}\left|\hat{\mathbf{o}}_{\psi, \alpha}(t)\right| f^{\prime}\left(\frac{1+t}{2} a+\frac{1-t}{2} b\right) \right\rvert\, d t  \tag{2.7}\\
& \leq\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|\hat{\hat{o}}_{\psi, \alpha}(t)\right|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}+3\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}
\end{align*}
$$

By substituting the inequalities (2.6) and (2.7) in (2.4), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\lvert\, \frac{1}{6}\left[f(a)+4 f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)+f(b)\right]\right. \\
& -\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{2}\left[\frac{1}{M_{\psi}^{\alpha}(a, b)} I_{a+; \psi}^{\alpha} f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{N_{\psi}^{\alpha}(a, b)} I_{b-; \psi}^{\alpha} f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right] \\
& \leq \frac{b-a}{2}\left[\frac{1}{N_{\psi}^{\alpha}(a, b)}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|\Xi_{\psi, \alpha}(t)\right|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\frac{3\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}+\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{M_{\psi}^{\alpha}(a, b)}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|\Xi_{\psi, \alpha}(t)\right|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}+3\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

which finish the proof of first inequaty in (2.5). For the proof of second inequality, let $a_{1}=$ $\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}, \quad b_{1}=3\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}, \quad a_{2}=3\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}$ and $b_{2}=\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}$. Using the facts that,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(a_{k}+b_{k}\right)^{s} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}^{s}+\sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{k}^{s}, 0 \leq s<1 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $1+3^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq 4$, then the desired result can be obtained straightforwardly. This completes the proof of Theorem 7.

## 3. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have delved into the concept of $\psi$-Hilfer fractional integrals, which serves as a generalized framework encompassing well-known fractional integrals such as RiemannLiouville and Hadamard fractional integrals, among others. Our main objective was to establish Simpson-type inequalities for $\psi$-Hilfer fractional integrals, focusing on functions with convex derivatives.
Throughout the course of our study, we successfully proved two fundamental identities concerning $\psi$-Hilfer fractional integrals for differentiable functions. These identities played a crucial role in enabling us to derive the Simpson-type inequalities. The tools we employed in our investigation included the concept of convexity and the well-known Hölder inequality.
Our findings contribute to the growing body of knowledge surrounding fractional integrals and their properties, particularly in the context of $\psi$-Hilfer fractional integrals. The established Simpson-type inequalities not only deepen our understanding of this mathematical concept but also open avenues for future research in this area.
Furthermore, we emphasized the significance of connections between our main discoveries and prior studies. By doing so, we ensured that our work was firmly grounded in the existing literature and built upon previous contributions to the field.
The three sections of this paper have been dedicated to introducing the topic, establishing the Simpson-type inequalities for $\psi$-Hilfer fractional integrals based on functions with convex derivatives, and finally, discussing the implications of our results in relation to prior research. In conclusion, our study has shed light on the fascinating world of $\psi$-Hilfer fractional integrals and their associated Simpson-type inequalities. We hope that this work will inspire further exploration and research in this area, potentially leading to new applications and insights in various scientific disciplines and real-world problem-solving.
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#### Abstract

This research presents a new refinement method for Hermite-Hadamard inclusions in the context of interval-valued convex functions, utilizing the weighted Jensen inclusion approach. Furthermore, the study demonstrates that special choices can lead to extensions of the Hermite-Hadamard inclusion. A practical example is provided to illustrate the principal outcomes of this approach. The proposed method offers a more accurate refinement of Hermite-Hadamard inclusions, which can facilitate the development of new mathematical techniques for interval-valued convex functions.


## 1. INTRODUCTION

Over the last century, integral inequalities have attracted the interest of a good many researchers because of the importance of applied and pure mathematics. For instance, Hermite-Hadamard inequalities, based on convex mappings, have an important place in many areas of mathematics, specifically optimization theory. These inequalities, described by C. Hermite and J. Hadamard, express that if $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a convex mapping on the interval $I$ of real numbers and $a, b \in I$ with $a<b$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x \leq \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\varpi$ is concave, both of the inequalities hold in the opposite direction. The best-known results associated with these inequalities are Midpoint and Trapezoid inequalities which are frequently used in special means and estimation errors (see [10, 16]). Afterward, many authors acquired new results related to these inequalities under various conditions of the functions. Besides, some researchers examined generalizations, refinements and counterparts, and generalizations of the inequalities (1.1).
This article consists of four sections, including the introduction. In this section, we give Hermite-Hadamard inequality for real-valued functions and Hermite-Hadamard inclusion for interval-valued functions. We also mention some related works in the literature. In Section 2, we present some fundamental information about interval-valued functions. Before we begin our main conclusions, we clarify the definitions required and the concepts needed. In Section 3, we derive some new improvements in weighted inclusions of the Hermite-Hadamard type through
interval-valued convex functions. We acquire new results in the case of special choices. An example is given to illustrate these results. The correctness of the inequality obtained with this example is clearly demonstrated. In the last section, we note that the opinion and technique of this work may inspire new research in this area.
On the other side, interval analysis handled as one of the methods of solving interval uncertainty is an important material that is used in mathematical and computer models. Although this theory has a long history which may be dated back to Archimedes' calculation of the circumference of a circle, a considerable study was not published in this field until the 1950s. The first book [19] about interval analysis was published by Ramon E. Moore known as the pioneer of interval calculus in 1966. Thereafter, a great many researchers started to investigate theories and applications of interval analysis. Recently, many authors have focused on integral inequalities obtained by using interval-valued functions. For example, Sadowska [25] established HermiteHadamard inequality for set-valued functions which is the more general version of intervalvalued mappings as follows:

Theorem 1.1 [25] Suppose that $F:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{I}^{+}$is interval-valued convex function such that $F(t)=[\underline{F}(t), \bar{F}(t)]$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \supseteq \frac{1}{b-a}(I R) \int_{a}^{b} F(x) d x \supseteq \frac{F(a)+F(b)}{2} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, well-known inequalities such as Ostrowski, Minkowski Beckenbach, and their applications were presented by considering interval-valued mappings in [5, 6, 11, 12]. More precisely, some inequalities including interval-valued Riemann-Liouville fractional integrals were derived by Budak et al. in [1]. In [17], Liu et al. gave the description of interval-valued harmonically convex mappings, and so they established some Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities including interval-valued fractional integrals. On the other hand, Budak et al. prove some weighted Fejer type inclusions in [3]. For more details about this topic, one can refer to $[2,7,8,13,14,15,18,20,21,22,27,28,26]$

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Before we begin our principal outcomes, the following definitions and concepts need to be clarified. The notion of integral of the interval-valued mappings is mentioned. Before we can understand the definition of integrals of interval-valued functions, we need to give some concepts in the following.
A function $\phi$ is said to be an interval-valued function of $t$ on $[a, b]$ if it assigns a non-empty interval to each $t \in[a, b]$.

$$
\varphi(t)=\lfloor\underline{\varphi}(t), \bar{\varphi}(t)\rfloor
$$

A partition of $[a, b]$ is any finite ordered subset $D$ having the form

$$
D: a=t_{0}<t_{1}<\ldots<t_{n}=b .
$$

The mesh of a partition $D$ is indicated by

$$
\operatorname{mesh}(D)=\max \left\{t_{i}-t_{i-1}: i=1,2, \ldots, n\right\} .
$$

We denote by $D([a, b])$ the set of all partition of $[a, b]$. Suppose that $D(\delta,[a, b])$ is the set of all $D \in D([a, b])$ such that $\operatorname{mesh}(D)<\delta$. We take an arbitrary point $\xi_{i}$ in interval $\left[t_{i-1}, t_{i}\right]$, $i=1,2, \ldots, n$, and we define the sum

$$
S(\varphi, D, \delta)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \varphi\left(\xi_{i}\right)\left[t_{i}-t_{i-1}\right]
$$

where $\phi:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{I}$. The sum $S(\phi, D, \delta)$ is said to be a Riemann sum of $\phi$ corresponding to $D \in D(\delta,[a, b])$.

Definition 2.1. ([9],[23],[24]) $\phi:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{I}$ is said to be an interval Riemann integrable function (IR-integrable) on $[a, b]$ if there exist $A \in P$ and $\delta>0$, for each $\varepsilon>0$, such that

$$
d(S(\varphi, D, \delta), A)<\varepsilon
$$

for every Riemann sum $S$ of $\phi$ corresponding to each $D \in D(\delta,[a, b])$ and independent of choice of $\xi_{i} \in\left[t_{i-1}, t_{i}\right], 1 \leq i \leq n$. In this case, $A$ is called as the $I R$-integral of $\phi$ on $[a, b]$ and is denoted by

$$
A=(I R) \int_{a}^{b} \varphi(t) d t
$$

The collection of all functions that are $I R$-integrable on $[a, b]$ will be denote by $I R_{([a, b])}$.
The next theorem explains connection between $I R$-integrable and Riemann integrable ( $R$ integrable):

Theorem 2.2. Assume that $\phi:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{I}$ is an interval-valued function such that $\phi(t)=$ $[\underline{\phi}(t), \bar{\phi}(t)] . \phi \in I R_{([a, b])}$ if and only if $\underline{\phi}(t), \bar{\phi}(t) \in R_{([a, b])}$ and

$$
(I R) \int_{a}^{b} \varphi(t) d t=\left[(R) \int_{a}^{b} \underline{\varphi}(t) d t,(R) \int_{a}^{b} \varphi(t) d t\right],
$$

where $R_{([a, b])}$ denotes the all $R$-integrable function.
It is easy to see that if $\phi(t) \subseteq \psi(t)$ for all $t \in[a, b]$, then $(I R) \int_{a}^{b} \phi(t) d t \subseteq(I R) \int_{a}^{b} \psi(t) d t$. The inequality obtained by Budak and Kara to establish the theorem in the section on principal
outcomes is as follows:
Theorem 2.3 (Weighted Jensen Inclusion). [4] Let $g:[a, b] \rightarrow[a, b]$ be a function from $L^{\infty}[a, b]$ and $w:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be non-negative functions from $L^{1}[a, b]$ such that $\int_{a}^{b} w(t) d t \neq 0$. If $F:[a, b] \rightarrow R_{I}$ is an interval-valued convex function such that $F(t)=[\underline{F}(t), \bar{F}(t)]$, then we have,

$$
F\left(\frac{1}{\int_{a}^{b} w(t) d t} \int_{a}^{b} w(t) g(t) d t\right) \supseteq \frac{1}{\int_{a}^{b} w(t) d t}(I R) \int_{a}^{b} F(g(t)) w(t) d t
$$

## 3. PRINCIPAL OUTCOMES

In this part, we establish some weighted Hermite-Hadamard type inclusions with the help of the interval valued convex functions.
Theorem 3.1. Let $F:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{I}^{+}$be an interval-valued convex function, such that $F(t)=$ $[\underline{F}(t), \bar{F}(t)]$. Then we acquire

$$
F\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \supseteq A_{n} \supseteq \frac{1}{b-a}(I R) \int_{a}^{b} F(x) d x \supseteq B_{n} \supseteq \frac{F(a)+F(b)}{2} .
$$

where

$$
A_{n}=\frac{1}{2^{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n}} F\left(a+i \frac{b-a}{2^{n}}-\frac{b-a}{2^{n+1}}\right)
$$

and

$$
B_{n}=\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\left[F(a)+F(b)+2 \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n}-1} F\left(\left(1-\frac{i}{2^{n}}\right) F(a)+\frac{i}{2^{n}} F(b)\right)\right] .
$$

Proof. With the aid of the right side of Hermite-Hadamard inclusion (1.2), we derive

$$
\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} F(t) d t=\frac{1}{b-a} \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n}} \int_{a+(i-1) \frac{b-a}{2^{n}}}^{a+i \frac{b-a}{2^{n}}} F(x) d x
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \supseteq \frac{1}{b-a} \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n}}\left(a+i \frac{b-a}{2^{n}}-a-(i-1) \frac{b-a}{2^{n}}\right) \frac{F\left(a+i \frac{b-a}{2^{n}}\right)+F\left(a+(i-1) \frac{b-a}{2^{n}}\right)}{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{2^{n}} F\left[\left(1-\frac{i}{2^{n}}\right) a+\frac{i}{2^{n}} b\right]+F\left[\left(1-\frac{i-1}{2^{n}}\right) a+\frac{i-1}{2^{n}} b\right]\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\left[F(a)+F(b)+2 \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n-1}} F\left(\left(1-\frac{i}{2^{n}}\right) F(a)+\frac{i}{2^{n}} F(b)\right)\right] \\
& =B_{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking into account the interval-valued convexity of $F$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{n} \supseteq \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{2^{n}}\left(1-\frac{i}{2^{n}}\right) F(a)+\frac{i}{2^{n}} F(b)+\left(1-\frac{i-1}{2^{n}}\right) F(a)+\frac{i-1}{2^{n}} F(b)\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\left[F(a) \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n}}\left(2-\frac{i}{2^{n-1}}+\frac{1}{2^{n}}\right)+F(b) \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n}}\left(\frac{i}{2^{n-1}}-\frac{1}{2^{n}}\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\left[F(a)\left(2^{n+1}-\frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \cdot \frac{2^{n}\left(2^{n}+1\right)}{2}+\frac{2^{n}}{2^{n}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+F(b)\left(\frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \cdot \frac{2^{n}\left(2^{n}+1\right)}{2}-\frac{2^{n}}{2^{n}}\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\left[F(a)\left(2^{n+1}-2^{n}\right)+F(b)\left(2^{n}\right)\right]=\frac{F(a)+F(b)}{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

So

$$
\frac{1}{(b-a)} \int_{a}^{b} F(x) d x \supseteq B_{n} \supseteq \frac{F(a)+F(b)}{2} .
$$

On the other hand, by the left side of inclusion (1.2), we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{(b-a)} \int_{a}^{b} F(x) d x=\frac{1}{b-a} \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n}} \int_{a+(i-1)}^{a+i \frac{b-a}{2^{n}}} F(x) d x
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \supseteq \frac{1}{b-a} \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n}} \frac{b-a}{2^{n}} F\left(\frac{a+i \frac{b-a}{2^{n}}+a+(i-1) \frac{b-a}{2^{n}}}{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2^{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n}} F\left(a+i \frac{b-a}{2^{n}}-\frac{b-a}{2^{n+1}}\right)=A_{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By utilizing the interval-valued convexity property of the function $F$ and Jensen inclusion (2.3), we establish

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{n}=\frac{1}{2^{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n}} F\left(a+i \frac{b-a}{2^{n}}-\frac{b-a}{2^{n+1}}\right) \\
& \subseteq F\left[\frac{1}{2^{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n}}\left(a+i \frac{b-a}{2^{n}}-\frac{b-a}{2^{n+1}}\right)\right] \\
& =F\left[\frac{1}{2^{n}}\left(2^{n} a+\frac{b-a}{2^{n}} \cdot \frac{2^{n}\left(2^{n}+1\right)}{2}-\frac{b-a}{2^{n+1}} 2^{n}\right)\right] \\
& =F\left(a+\frac{b-a}{2}\right)=F\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

So, the proof is accomplished.
Remark 3.1. Clearly, for $n=0$, Theorem 3.1 corresponds to (1.2).
Corollary 3.2. In Theorem 3.1, if we assign $n=1$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& F\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \supseteq \frac{1}{2}\left[F\left(\frac{3 a+b}{4}\right)+F\left(\frac{a+3 b}{4}\right)\right]  \tag{3.1}\\
& \supseteq \frac{1}{b-a}(I R) \int_{a}^{b} F(t) d t \\
& \supseteq \frac{1}{4}\left[F(a)+2 F\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)+F(b)\right] \\
& \supseteq \frac{F(a)+F(b)}{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Let's take the following example to demonstrate the correctness of the Corollary 3.2.
Example 3.3. Define a function $F:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{I}^{+}$by $F(t)=\left[t^{2}, 2-t^{2}\right]$, Then $F(t)$ is an intervalvalued convex function such that $F(t)=[\underline{F}(t), \bar{F}(t)]$ for $t \in[0,1]$. By applying Corollary 3.2,
the first expression of (3.1) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)=F\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=\left[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{7}{4}\right], \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second expression of (3.1) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2}\left[F\left(\frac{3 a+b}{4}\right)+F\left(\frac{a+3 b}{4}\right)\right]  \tag{3.3}\\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left[\left[\frac{1}{16}, \frac{31}{6}\right]+\left[\frac{9}{16}, \frac{23}{16}\right]\right]=\left[\frac{5}{16}, \frac{27}{16}\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

By using definition of integral for interval valued function, the third expression of (3.1), we acquire

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{b-a}(I R) \int_{a}^{b} F(t) d t=\left[\int_{0}^{1} t^{2} d t, \int_{0}^{1}\left(2-t^{2}\right) d t\right]=\left[\frac{1}{3}, \frac{5}{3}\right] . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

And the fourth expression of (3.1), we obtain,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{4}\left[F(a)+2 F\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)+F(b)\right]  \tag{3.5}\\
& =\frac{1}{4}\left[[0,2]+\left[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{7}{2}\right]+[1,1]\right]=\left[\frac{3}{8}, \frac{13}{8}\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

And then fifth expression of (3.1), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{F(a)+F(b)}{2}=\frac{[0,2]+[1,1]}{2}=\left[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\right] . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\left[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{7}{4}\right] \supseteq\left[\frac{5}{16}, \frac{27}{16}\right] \supseteq\left[\frac{1}{3}, \frac{5}{3}\right] \supseteq\left[\frac{3}{8}, \frac{13}{8}\right] \supseteq\left[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\right],
$$

which demonstrates the result described in Corollary 3.2.

## 4. CONCLUSION

We have investigated a new extension of the Hermite-Hadamard inclusion in this paper. In this version we obtained, we showed that it turns into classic Hermite-Hadamard inclusion by special choices. In the other of these special choices, we got a new corollary. To demonstrate
the correctness of the corollary, we have supplemented it with an example. In the future, the authors may examine fractional approaches to these inclusions. Also, interested researchers can obtain new inclusions with the help of different types of convexity. To the best of our knowledge, these results are new in the literature. We hope that the ideas and techniques of this paper will inspire interested readers working in this field. The ideas and techniques of this article may be the starting point for further research in this field.
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#### Abstract

The present abstract considers Milne-type inequalities for various function classes. Firstly, we give some Milne-type inequalities for bounded functions by fractional integrals. Moreover, we present several fractional Milne-type inequalities for the case of Lipschitzian functions.


## 1. INTRODUCTION

Fractional calculus is a branch of mathematics that investigates the properties of derivatives and integrals with fractional orders. It generalizes the classical calculus of integers, which is useful in in physics, engineering, and other fields. The commonly used definitions of fractional integrals include the Riemann-Liouville fractional, conformable fractional, and tempered fractional integrals, and more. The bounds of new formulas can be established by utilizing not only Hermite-Hadamard and Simpson-type inequalities but also Newton and Milne-type inequalities.
Let's introduce some initial concepts that will be utilized in the subsequent sections.

- Simpson's quadrature formula, commonly referred to as Simpson's $1 / 3$ rule, is formulated as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x \approx \frac{b-a}{6}\left[f(a)+4 f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)+f(b)\right] . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Simpson's second formula, also referred to as the Newton-Cotes quadrature formula or Simpson's $3 / 8$ rule (cf. [6]), is described as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x \approx \frac{b-a}{8}\left[f(a)+3 f\left(\frac{2 a+b}{3}\right)+3 f\left(\frac{a+2 b}{3}\right)+f(b)\right] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Formulas (1) and (2) are suitable to any function $f$ that includes a continuous fourth derivative on the closed interval $[a, b]$.
One of the most widely used Newton-Cotes quadrature methods including a three-point Simpson-type inequality is expressed as follows:

Theorem 1. If $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a four times continuously differentiable function on $(a, b)$, and $\left\|f^{(4)}\right\|_{\infty}=\sup _{x \in(a, b)}\left|f^{(4)}(x)\right|<\infty$, then one has the following inequality

$$
\left|\frac{1}{6}\left[f(a)+4 f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)+f(b)\right]-\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x\right| \leq \frac{1}{2880}\left\|f^{(4)}\right\|_{\infty}(b-a)^{4}
$$

Simpson-type inequalities and their application to quadrature inequalities in numerical analysis were presented in paper [1]. Moreover, in paper [2], some variants of Simpson-type inequalities are established for the case of differentiable convex functions by using generalized fractional integrals. Furthermore, in paper [3], fractional Simpson-type inequalities are investigated for the case of function whose second derivatives in absolute value are convex. For further information, please refer to Reference [4,5] and the references cited within that source.

One of the classical closed-type quadrature rules is the Simpson $3 / 8$ rule, which is based on the Simpson $3 / 8$ inequality, formulated as follows:

Theorem 2 (See [6]). Let us consider that $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a four times continuously differentiable function on $(a, b)$, and $\left\|f^{(4)}\right\|_{\infty}=\sup _{x \in(a, b)}\left|f^{(4)}(x)\right|<\infty$. Then, the following inequality holds:

$$
\left|\frac{1}{8}\left[f(a)+3 f\left(\frac{2 a+b}{3}\right)+3 f\left(\frac{a+2 b}{3}\right)+f(b)\right]-\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x\right| \leq \frac{1}{6480}\left\|f^{(4)}\right\|_{\infty}(b-a)^{4}
$$

Simpson's second rule is a consequence of the three-point Newton-Cotes quadrature rule, leading to the frequent designation of evaluations including three-step quadratic kernels as Newton-type results. In the literature, these results are known as Newton-type inequalities. Considerable number of mathematicians have been investigated Newton-type inequalities. For example, some Newton-type inequalities were established for the case of functions whose first derivative in absolute value at certain power are arithmetically-harmonically convex in paper [7]. In addition, some Riemann-Liouville fractional Newton-type inequalities for functions of bounded variation were presented in paper [8]. Newton-type inequalities are further discussed in papers [9-11] and the referenced works within those papers provide additional information on this topic.
The open-type Milne formula, using Newton-Cotes formulas, is similar to the closed-type Simpson formula in that it is valid under the same conditions.

Theorem 3 (See [12]). Let $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a four times continuously differentiable mapping on $(a, b)$ and $\left\|f^{(4)}\right\|_{\infty}=\sup _{x \in(a, b)}\left|f^{(4)}(x)\right|<\infty$. Then, one has the inequality

$$
\left|\frac{1}{3}\left[2 f(a)-f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)+2 f(b)\right]-\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x\right| \leq \frac{7(b-a)^{4}}{23040}\left\|f^{(4)}\right\|_{\infty}
$$

Ali et al. computed the error bounds by one of the open Newton-Cotes formulas, namely Milne's formula for differentiable convex functions in fractional and classical calculus in paper [13]. Ali et al. is proved integral equality to demonstrate the main findings as follows:

Lemma 1 (See [13]). Let us consider that $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an absolutely continuous function
$(a, b)$ so that $f^{\prime} \in L_{1}[a, b]$. Then, the following equality holds:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{3}\left[2 f\left(\frac{a+3 b}{4}\right)-f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)+2 f\left(\frac{3 a+b}{4}\right)\right]-\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{2(b-a)^{\alpha}}\left[J_{a+}^{\alpha} f(b)+J_{b-}^{\alpha} f(a)\right] \\
& =\frac{b-a}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{4} I_{i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
I_{1}=\int_{0}^{\frac{1}{4}} t^{\alpha}\left[f^{\prime}(t b+(1-t) a)-f^{\prime}(t a+(1-t) b)\right] d t \\
I_{2}=\int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(t^{\alpha}-\frac{2}{3}\right)\left[f^{\prime}(t b+(1-t) a)-f^{\prime}(t a+(1-t) b)\right] d t \\
I_{3}=\int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}}\left(t^{\alpha}-\frac{1}{3}\right)\left[f^{\prime}(t b+(1-t) a)-f^{\prime}(t a+(1-t) b)\right] d t \\
I_{4}=\int_{\frac{3}{4}}^{1}\left(t^{\alpha}-1\right)\left[f^{\prime}(t b+(1-t) a)-f^{\prime}(t a+(1-t) b)\right] d t
\end{array}\right.
$$

The well-known Riemann-Liouville fractional integrals that are given as follows:
Definition 1 (See [14]). The Riemann-Liouville integrals $J_{a+}^{\alpha} f(x)$ and $J_{b-}^{\alpha} f(x)$ of order $\alpha>0$ are presented by

$$
J_{a+}^{\alpha} f(x)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{a}^{x}(x-t)^{\alpha-1} f(t) d t, x>a
$$

and

$$
J_{b-}^{\alpha} f(x)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{x}^{b}(t-x)^{\alpha-1} f(t) d t, x<b
$$

respectively for $f \in L_{1}[a, b]$. The gamma function is defined $\Gamma(x):=\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{x-1} e^{-t} d t$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$. The Riemann-Liouville integrals are equals to the classical integrals for the case of $\alpha=1$.
Djenaoui and Meftah [15] proved some estimates of Milne's quadrature rule for the case of functions whose first derivative is $s$-convex. In addition, Alomari and Liu [16] established error estimations for Milne's rule for functions of bounded variation and for absolutely continuous mappings. Moreover, Budak et al. [17] obtained fractional versions of Milne's formula by using the differentiable convex functions.

## 2. MILNE-TYPE INEQUALITIES FOR BOUNDED FUNCTIONS INVOLVING FRACTIONAL INTEGRALS

In this section, we present some Milne-type inequalities for bounded functions by using fractional integrals.

Theorem 4. Suppose that the conditions of Lemma 1 hold. If there exist $m, M \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $m \leq f^{\prime}(t) \leq M$ for $t \in[a, b]$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\lvert\, \frac{1}{3}\left[2 f\left(\frac{a+3 b}{4}\right)-f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)+2 f\left(\frac{3 a+b}{4}\right)\right]-\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{2(b-a)^{\alpha}}\left[J_{a+}^{\alpha} f(b)+J_{b-}^{\alpha} f(a)\right]\right.  \tag{3}\\
& \leq \frac{b-a}{2}(M-m)\left\{\Omega_{1}(\alpha)+\Omega_{2}(\alpha)+\Omega_{3}(\alpha)+\Omega_{4}(\alpha)\right\},
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Omega_{1}(\alpha)=\int_{0}^{\frac{1}{4}} t^{\alpha} d t=\frac{1}{4^{\alpha+1}(\alpha+1)}, \\
\Omega_{2}(\alpha)=\int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left|t^{\alpha}-\frac{2}{3}\right| d t=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
-\frac{\left((\alpha+1) 2^{\alpha+1}-6\right) 4^{\alpha}+2^{\alpha} 3}{12(\alpha+1) 2^{\alpha} 4^{\alpha}}, & 0<\alpha \leq \frac{\ln \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)}{\ln \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)}, \\
-\frac{\left(2(\alpha+1) 3^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}-\alpha 2^{\frac{1}{\alpha}+3}\right) 4^{\alpha}-3^{\frac{1}{\alpha}+1}}{12(\alpha+1) 3^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} 4^{\alpha}} & \frac{\ln \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)}{6(\alpha+1) 3^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} 2^{\alpha}}<\alpha \leq \frac{\ln \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)}{\ln \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)}, \\
-\frac{\left.\ln (\alpha+1) 3^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}-\alpha 2^{\frac{1}{\alpha}+2}\right) 2^{\alpha}-3^{\frac{1}{\alpha}+1}}{2}, & \alpha>\frac{\ln \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)}{\ln \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)},
\end{array},\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\Omega_{3}(\alpha)=\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{3}{4}} t^{\alpha}-\frac{1}{3} \left\lvert\, d t=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{3^{\alpha+1}}{4^{\alpha+1}(\alpha+1)}-\frac{1}{2^{\alpha+1}(\alpha+1)}-\frac{1}{12}, & 0<\alpha \leq \frac{\ln \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)}{\ln \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}, \\
\frac{3^{\frac{1}{\alpha}+1}-\left((\alpha+1) 3^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}-2 \alpha\right) 2^{\alpha}}{6(\alpha+1) 3^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} 2^{\alpha}} & \ln \left(\frac{1}{3}\right) \\
-\frac{\left(3(\alpha+1) 3^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}-4 \alpha\right) 4^{\alpha}-3^{\alpha+\frac{1}{\alpha}+2}}{12(\alpha+1) 3^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} 4^{\alpha}} & \frac{\ln \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{3^{\alpha+1}}{4^{\alpha+1}(\alpha+1)}+\frac{1}{12},}
\end{array}, \quad \alpha>\frac{\ln \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)}{\ln \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)},\right.\right.
$$

and

$$
\Omega_{4}(\alpha)=\int_{\frac{3}{4}}^{1}\left|t^{\alpha}-1\right| d t=\frac{\alpha-3}{4(\alpha+1)}+\frac{1}{\alpha+1}\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{\alpha+1} .
$$

Proof. Let us first consider the Lemma 1. Then, we easily get

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{3} & {\left[2 f\left(\frac{a+3 b}{4}\right)-f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)+2 f\left(\frac{3 a+b}{4}\right)\right]-\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{(b-a)^{\alpha}}\left[J_{a+}^{\alpha} f(b)+J_{b-}^{\alpha} f(a)\right] }  \tag{4}\\
= & \frac{b-a}{2}\left\{\int_{0}^{\frac{1}{4}} t^{\alpha}\left[f^{\prime}(t b+(1-t) a)-\frac{m+M}{2}\right] d t\right. \\
& +\int_{0}^{\frac{1}{4}} t^{\alpha}\left[\frac{m+M}{2}-f^{\prime}(t a+(1-t) b)\right] d t \\
& +\int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(t^{\alpha}-\frac{2}{3}\right)\left[f^{\prime}(t b+(1-t) a)-\frac{m+M}{2}\right] d t \\
& +\int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(t^{\alpha}-\frac{2}{3}\right)\left[\frac{m+M}{2}-f^{\prime}(t a+(1-t) b)\right] d t
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{3}{4}}\left(t^{\alpha}-\frac{1}{3}\right)\left[f^{\prime}(t b+(1-t) a)-\frac{m+M}{2}\right] d t \\
& +\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{3}{4}}\left(t^{\alpha}-\frac{1}{3}\right)\left[\frac{m+M}{2}-f^{\prime}(t a+(1-t) b)\right] d t \\
& +\int_{\frac{3}{4}}^{1}\left(t^{\alpha}-1\right)\left[f^{\prime}(t b+(1-t) a)-\frac{m+M}{2}\right] d t \\
& \left.+\int_{\frac{3}{4}}^{1}\left(t^{\alpha}-1\right)\left[\frac{m+M}{2}-f^{\prime}(t a+(1-t) b)\right] d t\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

By using the absolute value of (4), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\lvert\, \frac{1}{3}\right. { \left.\left[2 f\left(\frac{a+3 b}{4}\right)-f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)+2 f\left(\frac{3 a+b}{4}\right)\right]-\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{(b-a)^{\alpha}}\left[J_{a+}^{\alpha} f(b)+J_{b-}^{\alpha} f(a)\right) \right\rvert\, } \\
& \leq \frac{b-a}{2}\left\{\int_{0}^{\frac{1}{4}} t^{\alpha}\left|f^{\prime}(t b+(1-t) a)-\frac{m+M}{2}\right| d t\right. \\
&+\int_{0}^{\frac{1}{4}} t^{\alpha} \left\lvert\, \frac{m+M}{2}-f^{\prime}(t a+(1-t) b) d t\right. \\
&+\int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left|t^{\alpha}-\frac{2}{3}\right|\left|f^{\prime}(t b+(1-t) a)-\frac{m+M}{2}\right| d t \\
& \left.\quad+\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{4}} \right\rvert\, t^{\alpha}-\frac{2}{3} \\
& \left.\quad+\frac{m+M}{2}-f^{\prime}(t a+(1-t) b) \right\rvert\, d t \\
& \left.+\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{3}{4}} t^{\alpha}-\frac{1}{3}| | f^{\prime}(t b+(1-t) a)-\frac{m+M}{2} \right\rvert\, d t \\
& \quad+\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{4} \left.t^{\alpha}-\frac{1}{3}| | \frac{m+M}{2}-f^{\prime}(t a+(1-t) b) \right\rvert\, d t
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\int_{\frac{3}{4}}^{1}\left|t^{\alpha}-1\right|\left|f^{\prime}(t b+(1-t) a)-\frac{m+M}{2}\right| d t \\
& \left.\left.+\int_{\frac{3}{4}}^{1}\left|t^{\alpha}-1\right| \frac{m+M}{2}-f^{\prime}(t a+(1-t) b) \right\rvert\, d t\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is known that $m \leq f^{\prime}(t) \leq M$ for $t \in[a, b]$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f^{\prime}(t b+(1-t) a)-\frac{m+M}{2}\right| \leq \frac{M-m}{2} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{m+M}{2}-f^{\prime}(t a+(1-t) b)\right| \leq \frac{M-m}{2} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using (5) and (6), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\lvert\, \frac{1}{3}\left[2 f\left(\frac{a+3 b}{4}\right)-f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)+2 f\left(\frac{3 a+b}{4}\right)\right]-\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{(b-a)^{\alpha}}\left[J_{a+}^{\alpha} f(b)+J_{b-}^{\alpha} f(a)\right]\right. \\
& \leq \frac{b-a}{2}(M-m)\left\{\int_{0}^{\frac{1}{4}} t^{\alpha} d t+\int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left|t^{\alpha}-\frac{2}{3}\right| d t+\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{3}{4}}\left|t^{\alpha}-\frac{1}{3}\right| d t+\int_{\frac{3}{4}}^{1}\left|t^{\alpha}-1\right| d t\right\} \\
& =\frac{b-a}{2}(M-m)\left\{\Omega_{1}(\alpha)+\Omega_{2}(\alpha)+\Omega_{3}(\alpha)+\Omega_{4}(\alpha)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 1. If we choose $\alpha=1$ in Theorem 4, then we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\frac{1}{3}\left[2 f\left(\frac{a+3 b}{4}\right)-f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)+2 f\left(\frac{3 a+b}{4}\right)\right]-\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t\right| \\
& \leq \frac{5(b-a)}{48}(M-m) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 2. Under assumption of Theorem 4, if there exist $M \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$such that $\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq M$ for all $t \in[a, b]$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{3}\left[2 f\left(\frac{a+3 b}{4}\right)-f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)+2 f\left(\frac{3 a+b}{4}\right)\right]-\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{2(b-a)^{\alpha}}\left[J_{a+}^{\alpha} f(b)+J_{b-}^{\alpha} f(a)\right] \\
& \leq(b-a) M\left\{\Omega_{1}(\alpha)+\Omega_{2}(\alpha)+\Omega_{3}(\alpha)+\Omega_{4}(\alpha)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 3. If we assign $\alpha=1$ in Corollary 2, then the following inequality holds:

$$
\left|\frac{1}{3}\left[2 f\left(\frac{a+3 b}{4}\right)-f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)+2 f\left(\frac{3 a+b}{4}\right)\right]-\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t\right| \leq \frac{5(b-a)}{24} M
$$

## 3. FRACTIONAL MILNE-TYPE INEQUALITIES BY USING LIPSCHITZIAN FUNCTIONS

In this section, we present some fractional Milne-type inequalities for Lipschitzian functions.
Theorem 5. Assume that the assumptions of Lemma 1 are valid. If $f^{\prime}$ is a L-Lipschitzian function on $[a, b]$, then the following inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\lvert\, \frac{1}{3}\left[2 f\left(\frac{a+3 b}{4}\right)-f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)+2 f\left(\frac{3 a+b}{4}\right)\right]-\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{2(b-a)^{\alpha}}\left[J_{a+}^{\alpha} f(b)+J_{b-}^{\alpha} f(a) \mid\right.\right. \\
& \leq \frac{(b-a)^{2}}{2} L\left\{\Omega_{5}(\alpha)+\Omega_{2}(\alpha)-2 \Omega_{6}(\alpha)+2 \Omega_{3}(\alpha)-\Omega_{7}(\alpha)+2 \Omega_{4}(\alpha)-\Omega_{8}(\alpha)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

is valid. Here,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Omega_{5}(\alpha)=\int_{0}^{\frac{1}{4}} t^{\alpha}(1-2 t) d t=\frac{1}{4^{\alpha+1}(\alpha+1)}-\frac{2}{4^{\alpha+2}(\alpha+2)}, \\
\frac{1}{\alpha+2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\alpha+2}-\frac{1}{\alpha+2}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{\alpha+2}-\frac{1}{16}, \\
\Omega_{6}(\alpha)=\int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{1}{2}} t t^{\alpha}-\frac{2}{3} \left\lvert\, d t= \begin{cases}\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+2}\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{1+\frac{2}{\alpha}}+\frac{1}{\alpha+2}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{\alpha+2} & \frac{\ln \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)}{\ln \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)}, \\
+\frac{1}{\alpha+2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\alpha+2}-\frac{5}{48}, & \frac{\ln \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)}{\ln \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)}<\alpha \leq \frac{\ln \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)}{\ln \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}, \\
-\frac{1}{\alpha+2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\alpha+2}+\frac{1}{\alpha+2}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{\alpha+2}+\frac{1}{16}, & \alpha>\frac{\ln \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)}{\ln \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)},\end{cases} \right.
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\Omega_{7}(\alpha)=\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{3}{4}} t t^{\alpha}-\frac{1}{3} \left\lvert\, d t= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{\alpha+2}\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{\alpha+2}-\frac{1}{\alpha+2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\alpha+2}-\frac{5}{96}, & 0<\alpha \leq \frac{\ln \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)}{\ln \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} \\ \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+2}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{1+\frac{2}{\alpha}}+\frac{1}{\alpha+2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\alpha+2} & \frac{\ln \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)}{\ln \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}<\alpha \leq \frac{\ln \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)}{\ln \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)} \\ +\frac{1}{\alpha+2}\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{\alpha+2}-\frac{13}{96}, & \\ -\frac{1}{\alpha+2}\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{\alpha+2}+\frac{1}{\alpha+2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\alpha+2}+\frac{5}{96}, & \alpha>\frac{\ln \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)}{\ln \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)}\end{cases}\right.
$$

and

$$
\Omega_{8}(\alpha)=\int_{\frac{3}{4}}^{1} t\left|t^{\alpha}-1\right| d t=\frac{7}{32}-\frac{1}{\alpha+2}+\frac{1}{\alpha+2}\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{\alpha+2} .
$$

Proof. Let us consider Lemma 1 and $f^{\prime}$ is $L$-Lipschitzian function. Then, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{3}
\end{array}\left\{2 f\left(\frac{a+3 b}{4}\right)-f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)+2 f\left(\frac{3 a+b}{4}\right)\right]-\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{2(b-a)^{\alpha}}\left[J_{a+}^{\alpha} f(b)+J_{b-}^{\alpha} f(a)\right] \\
&= \left\lvert\, \frac{b-a}{2}\left\{\int_{0}^{\frac{1}{4}} t^{\alpha}\left[f^{\prime}(t b+(1-t) a)-f^{\prime}(t a+(1-t) b)\right] d t\right.\right. \\
&+\int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(t^{\alpha}-\frac{2}{3}\right)\left[f^{\prime}(t b+(1-t) a)-f^{\prime}(t a+(1-t) b)\right] d t \\
&+\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{3}{4}}\left(t^{\alpha}-\frac{1}{3}\right)\left[f^{\prime}(t b+(1-t) a)-f^{\prime}(t a+(1-t) b)\right] d t \\
&\left.+\int_{\frac{3}{4}}^{1}\left(t^{\alpha}-1\right)\left[f^{\prime}(t b+(1-t) a)-f^{\prime}(t a+(1-t) b)\right] d t\right\} \\
& \leq \frac{b-a}{2}\left\{\left.\int_{0}^{\frac{1}{4}} t^{\alpha} \right\rvert\, f^{\prime}(t b+(1-t) a)-f^{\prime}(t a+(1-t) b) d t\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.+\int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{1}{2}} t^{\alpha}-\frac{2}{3}| | f^{\prime}(t b+(1-t) a)-f^{\prime}(t a+(1-t) b) \right\rvert\, d t \\
& \left.+\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{3}{4}}\left|t^{\alpha}-\frac{1}{3}\right| f^{\prime}(t b+(1-t) a)-f^{\prime}(t a+(1-t) b) \right\rvert\, d t \\
& \left.\quad+\int_{\frac{3}{4}}^{1}\left(1-t^{\alpha}\right)\left|f^{\prime}(t b+(1-t) a)-f^{\prime}(t a+(1-t) b)\right| d t\right\} \\
& \leq \frac{b-a}{2}\left\{\int_{0}^{\frac{1}{4}} t^{\alpha} L(1-2 t)(b-a) d t+\int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left|t^{\alpha}-\frac{2}{3}\right| L(1-2 t)(b-a) d t\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{3}{4}}\left|t^{\alpha}-\frac{1}{3}\right| L(2 t-1)(b-a) d t+\int_{\frac{3}{4}}^{1}\left(1-t^{\alpha}\right) L(2 t-1)(b-a) d t\right\} \\
& =\frac{(b-a)^{2}}{2} L\left\{\Omega_{5}(\alpha)+\Omega_{2}(\alpha)-2 \Omega_{6}(\alpha)+2 \Omega_{3}(\alpha)-\Omega_{7}(\alpha)+2 \Omega_{4}(\alpha)-\Omega_{8}(\alpha)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 4. If we choose $\alpha=1$ in Theorem 5, then the following Milne-type inequality holds:

$$
\left|\frac{1}{3}\left[2 f\left(\frac{a+3 b}{4}\right)-f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)+2 f\left(\frac{3 a+b}{4}\right)\right]-\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(t) d t\right| \leq \frac{(b-a)^{2}}{24} L .
$$

## 5. CONCLUSION

The present extended abstract considers Milne-type inequalities for various function classes. Firstly, we present several Milne-type inequalities for bounded functions by fractional integrals. Moreover, we present several fractional Milne-type inequalities for the case of Lipschitzian functions.
In future investigations, the exploration of concepts and strategies connected to our findings regarding Milne-type inequalities through Riemann-Liouville fractional integrals has the potential to pave the way for innovative pathways in the field of mathematics. Moreover, one may consider generalizing our findings by exploring alternative classes of convex functions or different types of fractional integral operators. Moreover, one can obtain Milne-type inequalities by Riemann-Liouville fractional integrals for convex functions by using quantum calculus.
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#### Abstract

Several extensions, generalizations and new variant of different types of inequalities for different kinds of convex functions obtained by researchers. In this paper, we establish the Bullen, Midpoint, Trapezoid and Simpson type inequalities, respectively, for $\eta$ convex function, with the help of identities existing in the literature.


## INTRODUCTION

Convexity theory is an astonishing and compelling methodology for contemplating the enormous and beautiful issues that arise in many different fields of the pure and applied sciences. Numerous new structures have been presented and explored, including convex sets and related functions. This theory has a rich history and has been the focus and motivation of outstanding mathematical research for more than a century. Also, convexity theory has a critical place in the advancement of the idea of inequality. Inequalities have an interesting mathematical model due to their important applications in traditional calculus, fractional calculus, quantum calculus, interval-valued, stochastic, time-scale calculus, fractal sets, etc.

One of the functions defined in the class of convex functions is the $\eta$-convex function. In [9], Gordji et al. introduced the idea of $\eta$-convex functions as generalization of ordinary convex functions and gave the following definition for $\eta$-convexity of functions.

Definition $1 A$ function $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathrm{R}$ is said to be $\eta$-convex (or convex with respect to $\eta$ ) if the inequality

$$
f(t x+(1-t) y) \leq f(y)+t \eta(f(x), f(y))
$$

holds for all $x, y \in[a, b], t \in[0,1]$ and $\eta$ is defined by $\eta: f([a, b]) \times f([a, b]) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}$.
In the above definition if we set $\eta(x, y)=x-y$, then we can directly obtain the classical definition of a convex function. Too see more results and details on $\eta$-convex functions see [3, $10,11]$.

Recently, a large number of researchers, including mathematicians, engineers and scientists, have devoted themselves to studying the inequalities and properties associated with convexity in certain different directions. Many integral inequalities have been developed so far by different researchers in the due course of time. In the literature, we have many types of inequalities that involve convex functions, such as Bullen inequality [2], Hermite-HadamardFejer inequality [8], Simpson type inequality [18], and Ostrowski type inequalities [17]. Likewise, there are a lot of well-known integral inequalities but the most notable one is the Hermite-Hadamard integral inequality.

Let $f: I \subset \mathrm{R} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}$ be an integrable convex function with $a<b$. Then, the Hermite-Hadamard inequality is expressed as follows: (see [12]):

$$
f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x \leq \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2} .
$$

In [9], Gordji et al. proved some important results but here we give only one of them in the following theorem based on the above definition, which is also known as $\eta$-convex version of Hermite-Hadamard inequality.

Theorem 1 [9] Suppose that $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathrm{R}$ is a $\eta$-convex function such that $\eta$ is bounded above on $f([a, b]) \times f([a, b])$. Then the following inequalities hold.

$$
\begin{align*}
& f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)-\frac{M_{\eta}}{2} \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}[f(a)+f(b)]+\frac{1}{4}[\eta(f(a), f(b))+\eta(f(b), f(a))]  \tag{1.1}\\
& \leq \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}+\frac{M_{\eta}}{2} \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $M_{\eta}$ is the upper bound of $\eta$.
There has also been research focusing on the Simpson-type inequality. Many researchers have studied Simpson-type inequalities in the literature (see, $[1,4,7,13,14]$ ).

Bullen [2] obtained the well-known Bullen-type inequalities. Bullen-type inequalities for generalized convex functions were obtained by SarÄ $\pm$ kaya and Budak [16]. The local fractional version of Bullen-type inequality were presented in [6]. Du et al. [5] obtained Bullen-type inequalities using fractional integrals.

In the last few decades, many mathematicians and research scholars have focused their great contributions and attention to the study of this inequality. The aim of this paper, is to establish some new Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities and Simpson-type inequalities for $\eta$-convex function.

## GENERALIZED BULLEN TYPE INEQUALITIES

Theorem 2 Suppose that $f:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is an $\eta$-convex function, where let $a, b \in[0, \infty)$, $a<b$. If $f \in L[a, b]$, then the following inequalities hold

$$
\begin{align*}
& f\left(\frac{a+x}{2}\right)+f\left(\frac{b+x}{2}\right)-M_{\eta} \leq \frac{1}{x-a} \int_{a}^{x} f(t) d t+\frac{1}{b-x} \int_{x}^{b} f(t) d t \\
& \leq \frac{f(a)+f(b)+2 f(x)}{2}+M_{\eta} \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

for $x \in(a, b)$.
Proof. Since $f$ is a $\eta$-convex function on $[a, x] \subset[a, b]$, by using the inequalities (1.1) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\frac{a+x}{2}\right)-\frac{M_{\eta}}{2} \leq \frac{1}{x-a} \int_{a}^{x} f(t) d t \leq \frac{f(a)+f(x)}{2}+\frac{M_{\eta}}{2} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By similar way for $[x, b] \subset[a, b]$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\frac{b+x}{2}\right)-\frac{M_{\eta}}{2} \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{x}^{b} f(t) d t \leq \frac{f(b)+f(x)}{2}+\frac{M_{\eta}}{2} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, by adding (2.2) and (2.3), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f\left(\frac{a+x}{2}\right)+f\left(\frac{b+x}{2}\right)-M_{\eta} \leq \frac{1}{x-a} \int_{a}^{x} f(t) d t+\frac{1}{b-x} \int_{x}^{b} f(t) d t \\
& \leq \frac{f(a)+f(b)+2 f(x)}{2}+M_{\eta}
\end{aligned}
$$

which completes the proof of (2.1).

## TRAPEZOID TYPE INEQUALITIES

Lemma 1 [15] Let $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathrm{R}$ be a differentiable mapping on $(a, b)$ with $a<b$. If $f^{\prime} \in L[a, b]$, then the following equality holds:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(x)+\frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}-\left[\frac{1}{x-a} \int_{a}^{x} f(t) d t+\frac{1}{b-x} \int_{x}^{b} f(t) d t\right] \\
& =\frac{x-a}{2} \int_{0}^{1}(1-2 \lambda) f^{\prime}(\lambda a+(1-\lambda) x) d \lambda \\
& +\frac{b-x}{2} \int_{0}^{1}(1-2 \lambda) f^{\prime}(\lambda x+(1-\lambda) b) d \lambda
\end{aligned}
$$

for $x \in[a, b]$.
Theorem 3 Let $f:[a, b] \subset[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}$ be a differentiable mapping on $(a, b)$ with $a<b$. If $\left|f^{\prime}\right|$ is $\eta$-convex, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\lvert\, f(x)+\frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}-\left[\frac{1}{x-a} \int_{a}^{x} f(t) d t+\frac{1}{b-x} \int_{x}^{b} f(t) d t\right]\right.  \tag{3.1}\\
& \leq \frac{(x-a)\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|+(b-x)\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|}{4}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
+\frac{(x-a) \eta\left(\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|,\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|\right)+(b-x) \eta\left(\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|,\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|\right)}{8}
$$

for $x \in[a, b]$.
Proof. From Lemma 1, by using the properties of modulus and $\left|f^{\prime}\right|$ is $\eta$-convex, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|f(x)+\frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}-\left[\frac{1}{x-a} \int_{a}^{x} f(t) d t+\frac{1}{b-x} \int_{x}^{b} f(t) d t\right]\right| \\
& \leq \frac{x-a}{2} \int_{0}^{1}\left|1-2 \lambda \| f^{\prime}(\lambda a+(1-\lambda) x) d \lambda\right| \\
& +\frac{b-x}{2} \int_{0}^{1}|1-2 \lambda|\left|f^{\prime}(\lambda x+(1-\lambda) b) d \lambda\right| \\
& \leq \frac{x-a}{2} \int_{0}^{1}|1-2 \lambda|\left[\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|+\lambda \eta\left(\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|,\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|\right)\right] d \lambda \\
& +\frac{b-x}{2} \int_{0}^{1}|1-2 \lambda|\left[\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|+\lambda \eta\left(\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|,\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|\right)\right] d \lambda \\
& =\frac{x-a}{2} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}}(1-2 \lambda)\left[\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|+\lambda \eta\left(\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|,\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|\right)\right] d \lambda \\
& +\frac{x-a}{2} \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1}(2 \lambda-1)\left[\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|+\lambda \eta\left(\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|,\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|\right] d \lambda\right. \\
& +\frac{b-x}{2} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}}(1-2 \lambda)\left[\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|+\lambda \eta\left(\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|,\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|\right)\right] d \lambda \\
& +\frac{b-x}{2} \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1}(2 \lambda-1)\left[\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|+\lambda \eta\left(\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|,\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|\right)\right] d \lambda \\
& =\frac{(x-a)\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|+(b-x)\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|}{4} \\
& +\frac{(x-a) \eta\left(\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|,\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|\right)+(b-x) \eta\left(\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|,\left|f f^{\prime}(b)\right|\right)}{8}
\end{aligned}
$$

which completes the proof of the inequality (3.1).
Theorem 4 Let $f:[a, b] \subset[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}$ be a differentiable mapping on ( $a, b$ ) with $a<b$. If $\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{q}$ is $\eta$-convex for some $q>1$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\lvert\, f(x)+\frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}-\left[\frac{1}{x-a} \int_{a}^{x} f(t) d t+\frac{1}{b-x} \int_{x}^{b} f(t) d t\right]\right.  \tag{3.2}\\
& \leq \frac{x-a}{2(p+1)^{\frac{1}{p}}}\left(\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|^{q}+\frac{\eta\left(\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}+\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|^{q}\right)}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
& +\frac{b-x}{2(p+1)^{\frac{1}{p}}}\left(\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}+\frac{\eta\left(\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|^{q}+\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}\right)}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}
\end{align*}
$$

where $x \in[a, b]$ and $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$.
Proof. From Lemma 1, by using Hölder inequality and $\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{q}$ is $\eta$-convex, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|f(x)+\frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}-\left[\frac{1}{x-a} \int_{a}^{x} f(t) d t+\frac{1}{b-x} \int_{x}^{b} f(t) d t\right]\right| \\
& \leq \frac{x-a}{2} \int_{0}^{1}\left|1-2 \lambda \| f^{\prime}(\lambda a+(1-\lambda) x) d \lambda\right| \\
& +\frac{b-x}{2} \int_{0}^{1}\left|1-2 \lambda \| f^{\prime}(\lambda x+(1-\lambda) b) d \lambda\right| \\
& \leq \frac{x-a}{2}\left(\int_{0}^{1}|1-2 \lambda|^{p} d \lambda\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|f^{\prime}(\lambda a+(1-\lambda) x) d \lambda\right|\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
& +\frac{b-x}{2}\left(\int_{0}^{1}|1-2 \lambda|^{p} d \lambda\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|f^{\prime}(\lambda x+(1-\lambda) b) d \lambda\right|\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
& \leq \frac{x-a}{2(p+1)^{\frac{1}{p}}}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left(\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|^{q}+\lambda \eta\left(\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q},\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|^{q}\right) d \lambda\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right. \\
& +\frac{b-x}{2(p+1)^{\frac{1}{p}}}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left(\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}+\lambda \eta\left(\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|^{q},\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}\right) d \lambda\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right. \\
& =\frac{x-a}{2(p+1)^{\frac{1}{p}}}\left(\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|^{q}+\frac{\eta\left(\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}+\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|^{q}\right)}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
& +\frac{b-x}{2(p+1)^{\frac{1}{p}}}\left(\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}+\frac{\eta\left(\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|^{q}+\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}\right)}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which completes the proof of the inequality (3.2).

## MIDPOINT TYPE INEQUALITIES

Lemma 2 [15] Let $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathrm{R}$ be a differentiable mapping on ( $a, b$ ) with $a<b . f^{\prime} \in L[a, b]$ , then the following equality holds:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f\left(\frac{a+x}{2}\right)+f\left(\frac{b+x}{2}\right)-\left[\frac{1}{x-a} \int_{a}^{x} f(t) d t+\frac{1}{b-x} \int_{x}^{b} f(t) d t\right] \\
& =(x-a) \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} \lambda\left[f^{\prime}(\lambda x+(1-\lambda) a)-f^{\prime}(\lambda a+(1-\lambda) x)\right] d \lambda \\
& +(b-x) \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1}(1-\lambda)\left[f^{\prime}(\lambda x+(1-\lambda) b)-f^{\prime}(\lambda b+(1-\lambda) x)\right] d \lambda
\end{aligned}
$$

for $x \in[a, b]$.

Theorem 5 Let $f:[a, b] \subset[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}$ be a differentiable mapping on $(a, b)$ with $a<b$. If $\left|f^{\prime}\right|$ is $\eta$-convex, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|f\left(\frac{a+x}{2}\right)+f\left(\frac{b+x}{2}\right)-\left[\frac{1}{x-a} \int_{a}^{x} f(t) d t+\frac{1}{b-x} \int_{x}^{b} f(t) d t\right]\right|  \tag{4.1}\\
& \leq \frac{(x-a)\left(\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|+\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|\right)+(b-x)\left(\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|+\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|\right)}{8} \\
& +\frac{(x-a)\left(\eta\left(\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|,\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|\right)\right)+(b-x)\left(\eta\left(\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|,\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|\right)\right)}{12}
\end{align*}
$$

for $x \in[a, b]$.
Proof. From Lemma 2, by using the properties of modulus and $\left|f^{\prime}\right|$ is $\eta$-convex, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\lvert\, f\left(\frac{a+x}{2}\right)+f\left(\frac{b+x}{2}\right)-\left[\frac{1}{x-a} \int_{a}^{x} f(t) d t+\frac{1}{b-x} \int_{x}^{b} f(t) d t\right]\right. \\
& \leq(x-a) \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} \lambda\left[\left|f^{\prime}(\lambda x+(1-\lambda) a)\right|+\left|f^{\prime}(\lambda a+(1-\lambda) x)\right|\right] d \lambda \\
& +(b-x) \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1}(1-\lambda)\left[\left|f^{\prime}(\lambda x+(1-\lambda) b)\right|+\left|f^{\prime}(\lambda b+(1-\lambda) x)\right|\right] d \lambda \\
& \leq(x-a) \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} \lambda\left[\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|+\lambda \eta\left(\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|,\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|\right)+\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|+\lambda \eta\left(\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|,\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|\right)\right] d \lambda \\
& +(b-x) \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1}(1-\lambda)\left[\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|+\lambda \eta\left(\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|,\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|\right)+\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|+\lambda \eta\left(\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|,\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|\right)\right] d \lambda \\
& =\frac{(x-a)\left(\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|+\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|\right)+(b-x)\left(\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|+\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|\right)}{8} \\
& +\frac{(x-a)\left(\eta\left(\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|,\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|\right)\right)+(b-x)\left(\eta\left(\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|,\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|\right)\right)}{12}
\end{aligned}
$$

which completes the proof of (4.1).
Theorem 6 Let $f:[a, b] \subset[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}$ be a differentiable mapping on $(a, b)$ with $a<b$. If $\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{q}$ is $\eta$-convex for some $q>1$, then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\lvert\, f\left(\frac{a+x}{2}\right)+f\left(\frac{b+x}{2}\right)-\left[\frac{1}{x-a} \int_{a}^{x} f(t) d t+\frac{1}{b-x} \int_{x}^{b} f(t) d t\right]\right. \\
\leq \frac{x-a}{(1+p)^{\frac{1}{p}} 2^{1+\frac{1}{p}}}\left[\left(\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}}{2}+\frac{\eta\left(\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|^{q},\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}\right)}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}+\left(\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|^{q}}{2}+\frac{\eta\left(\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q},\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|^{q}\right)}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right] \\
+\frac{b-x}{(1+p)^{\frac{1}{p}} 2^{1+\frac{1}{p}}}\left[\left(\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}}{2}+\frac{\eta\left(\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|^{q},\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}\right)}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}+\left(\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|^{q}}{2}+\frac{\eta\left(\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q},\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|^{q}\right)}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

where $x \in[a, b]$ and $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$.
Proof. From Lemma 2, by using Hölder inequality and $\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{q}$ is $\eta$-convex, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\lvert\, f\left(\frac{a+x}{2}\right)+f\left(\frac{b+x}{2}\right)-\left[\frac{1}{x-a} \int_{a}^{x} f(t) d t+\frac{1}{b-x} \int_{x}^{b} f(t) d t\right]\right. \\
& \leq(x-a)\left(\int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} \lambda^{p} d \lambda\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left[\left(\int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left|f^{\prime}(\lambda x+(1-\lambda) a)\right|^{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}+\left(\int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left|f^{\prime}(\lambda a+(1-\lambda) x)\right|^{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right] \\
& +(b-x)\left(\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1} \lambda^{p} d \lambda\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left[\left(\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1}\left|f^{\prime}(\lambda x+(1-\lambda) b)\right|^{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}+\left(\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1}\left|f^{\prime}(\lambda b+(1-\lambda) x)\right|^{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right] \\
& \quad \leq \frac{x-a}{(1+p)^{\frac{1}{p}} 2^{1+\frac{1}{p}}}\left[\left(\int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}+\lambda \eta\left(\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|^{q},\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}\right)\right] d \lambda\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|^{q}+\lambda \eta\left(\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|^{q},\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}\right)\right] d \lambda\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right] \\
& +\frac{b-x}{(1+p)^{\frac{1}{p}} 2^{1+\frac{1}{p}}}\left[\left(\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1}\left[\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}+\lambda \eta\left(\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|^{q},\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}\right)\right] d \lambda\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right. \\
& +\left(\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1}\left[\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|^{q}+\lambda \eta\left(\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q},\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|^{q}\right] d \lambda\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right] \\
& + \\
& =\frac{x-a}{(1+p)^{\frac{1}{p}} 2^{1+\frac{1}{p}}}\left[\left(\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}}{2}+\frac{\eta\left(\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|^{q},\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}\right)}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}+\left(\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|^{q}}{2}+\frac{\eta\left(\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q},\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|^{q}\right)}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right] \\
& +\frac{b-x}{(1+p)^{\frac{1}{p}} 2^{1+\frac{1}{p}}}\left[\left(\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}}{2}+\frac{\eta\left(\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|^{q},\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}\right)}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}+\left(\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|^{q}}{2}+\frac{\eta\left(\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q},\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|^{q}\right)}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, the proof is completed.

## SIMPSON TYPE INEQUALITIES

Lemma 3 [15] Let $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathrm{R}$ be a differentiable mapping on ( $a, b$ ) with $a<b . f^{\prime} \in L[a, b]$ , then the following equality holds:

$$
\frac{1}{3}\left[2 f\left(\frac{a+x}{2}\right)+2 f\left(\frac{b+x}{2}\right)+f(x)+\frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}\right]
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\left[\frac{1}{x-a} \int_{a}^{x} f(t) d t+\frac{1}{b-x} \int_{x}^{b} f(t) d t\right] \\
& =(x-a) \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\lambda-\frac{1}{6}\right)\left[f^{\prime}(\lambda x+(1-\lambda) a)-f^{\prime}(\lambda a+(1-\lambda) x)\right] d \lambda \\
& +(b-x) \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1}\left(\frac{5}{6}-\lambda\right)\left[f^{\prime}(\lambda x+(1-\lambda) b)-f^{\prime}(\lambda b+(1-\lambda) x)\right] d \lambda
\end{aligned}
$$

for $x \in[a, b]$.
Theorem 7 Let $f:[a, b] \subset[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}$ be a differentiable mapping on $(a, b)$ with $a<b$. If $\left|f^{\prime}\right|$ is $\eta$-convex, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\lvert\, \frac{1}{3}\left[2 f\left(\frac{a+x}{2}\right)+2 f\left(\frac{b+x}{2}\right)+f(x)+\frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}\right]\right.  \tag{5.1}\\
& \left.-\left[\frac{1}{x-a} \int_{a}^{x} f(t) d t+\frac{1}{b-x} \int_{x}^{b} f(t) d t\right] \right\rvert\, \\
& \leq \frac{5(x-a)\left[\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|+\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|\right]+5(b-x)\left[\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|,\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|\right]}{36} \\
& +\frac{29(x-a) \eta\left(\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|,\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|\right)+61(b-x) \eta\left(\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|,\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|\right)}{648}
\end{align*}
$$

for $x \in[a, b]$.
Proof. From Lemma 3, by using the properties of modulus and $\left|f^{\prime}\right|$ is $\eta$-convex, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \left\lvert\, \frac{1}{3}\left[2 f\left(\frac{a+x}{2}\right)+2 f\left(\frac{b+x}{2}\right)+f(x)+\frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}\right]\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\left[\frac{1}{x-a} \int_{a}^{x} f(t) d t+\frac{1}{b-x} \int_{x}^{b} f(t) d t\right] \right\rvert\, \\
& \quad \leq(x-a) \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\lvert\, \lambda-\frac{1}{6}\left[\left|f^{\prime}(\lambda x+(1-\lambda) a)\right|+\left|f^{\prime}(\lambda a+(1-\lambda) x)\right|\right] d \lambda\right. \\
& \left.\quad+(b-x) \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1} \frac{5}{6}-\lambda \right\rvert\,\left[\left|f^{\prime}(\lambda x+(1-\lambda) b)\right|+\left|f^{\prime}(\lambda b+(1-\lambda) x)\right|\right] d \lambda \\
& \leq(x-a) \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\lvert\, \lambda-\frac{1}{6}\left[\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|+\lambda \eta\left(\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|,\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|\right)+\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|+\lambda \eta\left(\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|,\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|\right] d \lambda\right.\right. \\
& +(b-x) \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1}\left|\frac{5}{6}-\lambda\right|\left[\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|+\lambda \eta\left(\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|,\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|\right)+\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|+\lambda \eta\left(\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|,\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|\right] d \lambda\right. \\
& \quad=\frac{5(x-a)\left[\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|+\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|\right]+5(b-x)\left[\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|,\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|\right]}{36} \\
& \quad+\frac{29(x-a) \eta\left(\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|,\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|\right)+61(b-x) \eta\left(\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|,\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|\right)}{648}
\end{aligned}
$$

which completes the proof of the inequality (5.1).

Theorem 8 Let $f:[a, b] \subset[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}$ be a differentiable mapping on $(a, b)$ with $a<b$. If $\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{q}$ is $\eta$-convex for some $q>1$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\lvert\, \frac{1}{3}\left[2 f\left(\frac{a+x}{2}\right)+2 f\left(\frac{b+x}{2}\right)+f(x)+\frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}\right]\right.  \tag{5.2}\\
& \left.-\left[\frac{1}{x-a} \int_{a}^{x} f(t) d t+\frac{1}{b-x} \int_{x}^{b} f(t) d t\right] \right\rvert\, \\
& \leq \frac{x-a}{(1+p)^{\frac{1}{p}}}\left[\frac{1}{3^{p+1}}+\frac{1}{6^{p+1}}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \times\left[\left(\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}}{2}+\frac{\eta\left(\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|^{q},\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}\right)}{8}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}+\left(\left.\frac{\left.f^{\prime}(x)\right|^{q}}{2}+\frac{\eta\left(\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q},\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|^{q}\right)}{8} \right\rvert\,\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right] \\
& +\frac{b-x}{\frac{1}{p}}\left[\frac{1}{3^{p+1}}+\frac{1}{6^{p+1}}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& (1+p)^{p} \\
& \times\left[\left(\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}}{2}+\frac{\eta\left(\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|^{q},\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}\right)}{8}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}+\left(\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|^{q}}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}+\frac{\eta\left(\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q},\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|^{q}\right)}{8}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where $x \in[a, b]$ and $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$.
Proof. From Lemma 3, by using Hölder inequality and $\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{q}$ is $\eta$-convex, we have

$$
\left|\frac{1}{3}\left[2 f\left(\frac{a+x}{2}\right)+2 f\left(\frac{b+x}{2}\right)+f(x)+\frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}\right]-\left[\frac{1}{x-a} \int_{a}^{x} f(t) d t+\frac{1}{b-x} \int_{x}^{b} f(t) d t\right]\right|
$$

$$
\leq(x-a)\left(\int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left|\lambda-\frac{1}{6}\right|^{p} d \lambda\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left[\left(\int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left|f^{\prime}(\lambda x+(1-\lambda) a)\right|^{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}+\left(\int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left|f^{\prime}(\lambda a+(1-\lambda) x)\right|^{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right]
$$

$$
+(b-x)\left(\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1} \frac{5}{6}-\left.\lambda\right|^{p} d \lambda\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left[\left(\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1}\left|f^{\prime}(\lambda x+(1-\lambda) b)\right|^{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}+\left(\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1}\left|f^{\prime}(\lambda b+(1-\lambda) x)\right|^{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right]
$$

$$
\leq \frac{1}{(1+p)^{\frac{1}{p}}}\left[\frac{1}{3^{p+1}}+\frac{1}{6^{p+1}}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \times\left\{(x-a)\left[\left(\int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}+\lambda \eta\left(\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|^{q},\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}\right)\right] d \lambda\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}+\left(\int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|^{q}+\lambda \eta\left(\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q},\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|^{q}\right)\right] d \lambda\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right]\right. \\
& \left.\left.+(b-x)\left[\left(\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1}\left[\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}+\lambda \eta\left(\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|^{q},\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}\right)\right] d \lambda\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}+\left(\left.\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1}| | f^{\prime}(x)\right|^{q}+\lambda \eta\left(\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q},\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|^{q}\right)\right] d \lambda\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right]\right\} \\
& =\frac{x-a}{(1+p)^{\frac{1}{p}}}\left[\frac{1}{3^{p+1}}+\frac{1}{6^{p+1}}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\left[\left(\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}}{2}+\frac{\eta\left(\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|^{q},\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q}\right)}{8}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}+\left(\left.\frac{\left.f^{\prime}(x)\right|^{q}}{2}+\frac{\eta\left(\left|f^{\prime}(a)\right|^{q},\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|^{q}\right)}{8} \right\rvert\,\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right] \\
& +\frac{b-x}{(1+p)^{\frac{1}{p}}}\left[\frac{1}{3^{p+1}}+\frac{1}{6^{p+1}}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\left[\left(\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}}{2}+\frac{\eta\left(\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|^{q},\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q}\right)}{8}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}+\left(\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|^{q}}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}+\frac{\eta\left(\left|f^{\prime}(b)\right|^{q},\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|^{q}\right)}{8}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, the proof is completed.
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#### Abstract

In this paper, some novel integral inequalities for different kinds of convex functions have been proved by using Caputo-Fabrizio fractional integral operators. The findings includes several new integral inequalities P-functions. We have used the properties of Caputo-Fabrizio fractional operator, definitions of different kinds of convex functions and elemantery analysis methods.


## INTRODUCTION

The concept of convexity, which has an important place in inequality theory, has been used by many researchers and has been used extensively, especially in the field of inequality theory. The definition of the convex functions can be given as follow.

Definition 1 (See [1]) Let $I$ be on interval in R. Then $\varphi: I \rightarrow \mathrm{R}$ is said to be convex, if

$$
\varphi(\zeta x+(1-\zeta) y) \leq \zeta \varphi(x)+(1-\zeta) \varphi(y)
$$

holds for all $x, y \in I$ and $\zeta \in[0,1]$.
The definition of the P-functions can be given as follow.
Definition 2 (See [2]) Let I be on interval in R . Then $\varphi: I \rightarrow \mathrm{R}$ is $P$ - function or $f$ belongs to the class of $P(I)$, if it is nonnegative and for all $x, y \in I$ and $\zeta \in[0,1]$, satisfies the following inequality

$$
\varphi(\zeta x+(1-\zeta) y) \leq \varphi(x)+\varphi(y)
$$

For more information on the $P$ - function, we recommend readers the following articles (see[3][9]).

Definition 3 (See [10], [11], [12]) Let $\varphi \in H^{1}(a, b), a<b, \alpha \in[0,1]$, then the definition of the left fractional derivative in the sense of Caputo and Fabrizio becomes

$$
\left({ }_{a}^{C F C} D^{\alpha} \varphi\right)(\zeta)=\frac{B(\alpha)}{1-\alpha} \int_{a}^{t} \varphi^{\prime}(x) e^{\frac{-\alpha(t-x)^{\alpha}}{1-\alpha}} d x
$$

and the associated fractional integral is

$$
\left({ }_{a}^{C F} I^{\alpha} \varphi\right)(\zeta)=\frac{1-\alpha}{B(\alpha)} \varphi(\zeta)+\frac{\alpha}{B(\alpha)} \int_{a}^{t} \varphi(x) d x
$$

where $B(\alpha)>0$ is a normalization function satisfying $B(0)=B(1)=1$. For the right fractional derivative we have

$$
\left({ }^{C F C} D_{b}^{\alpha} \varphi\right)(\zeta)=\frac{-B(\alpha)}{1-\alpha} \int_{t}^{b} \varphi^{\prime}(x) e^{\frac{-\alpha(x-t)^{\alpha}}{1-\alpha}} d x
$$

and the associated fractional integral is

$$
\left({ }^{C F} I_{b}^{\alpha} \varphi\right)(\zeta)=\frac{1-\alpha}{B(\alpha)} \varphi(\zeta)+\frac{\alpha}{B(\alpha)} \int_{t}^{b} \varphi(x) d x
$$

For more information related to different kinds of fractional operators, we recommend to the readers the following papers (See [13]-[28])

## 2 NEW INEQUALITIES FOR P-FUNCTIONS

Theorem 1 Let $I \subseteq R$. Suppose that $f:[a, b] \subseteq I \rightarrow R$ is a $P$-function on $[a, b]$ such that $f \in L_{1}[a, b]$. Then, we have following inequality for Caputo-Fabrizio fractional integrals:

$$
\left({ }_{a}^{{ }_{a}^{F}} I^{\alpha} f\right)(k)+\left({ }^{C F} I_{b}^{\alpha} f\right)(k) \leq \frac{2(1-\alpha) f(k)+\alpha(b-a)(f(a)+f(b))}{B(\alpha)}
$$

where $B(\alpha)>0$ is normalization function $\alpha \in[0,1]$.
Proof. By using the definition of $P$-function, we can write

$$
f(t a+(1-t) b) \leq f(a)+f(b)
$$

By integrating both sides of the inequality over $[0,1]$ with respect to $t$, we get

$$
\int_{0}^{1} f(t a+(1-t) b) d t \leq \int_{0}^{1} f(a)+f(b) d t
$$

By changing of the variable as $x=t a+(1-t) b$ and calculating the right hand side, we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x \leq f(a)+f(b)
$$

By multiplying both sides of the above inequality with $\frac{\alpha(b-a)}{B(\alpha)}$ and adding $\frac{2(1-\alpha)}{B(\alpha)} f(k)$, we have

$$
\frac{2(1-\alpha)}{B(\alpha)} f(k)+\frac{\alpha}{B(\alpha)} \int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x \leq \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{B(\alpha)} f(k)+\frac{\alpha(b-a)(f(a)+f(b))}{B(\alpha)}
$$

By simplfying the inequality, we get the result.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{(1-\alpha)}{B(\alpha)} f(k)+\frac{\alpha}{B(\alpha)} \int_{a}^{k} f(x) d x\right)+\left(\frac{(1-\alpha)}{B(\alpha)} f(k)+\frac{\alpha}{B(\alpha)} \int_{k}^{b} f(x) d x\right) \\
& \leq \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{B(\alpha)} f(k)+\frac{\alpha(b-a)(f(a)+f(b))}{B(\alpha)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Namely

$$
\left({ }_{a}^{C F} I^{\alpha} f\right)(k)+\left({ }^{C F} I_{b}^{\alpha} f\right)(k) \leq \frac{2(1-\alpha) f(k)+\alpha(b-a)(f(a)+f(b))}{B(\alpha)}
$$

Theorem 2 Let $I \subseteq R$. Suppose that $f:[a, b] \subseteq I \rightarrow R$ is a $P$-function on $[a, b]$ such that $f \in L_{1}[a, b]$. Then, we have following inequality for Caputo-Fabrizio fractional integrals:

$$
\left({ }_{a}^{C F} I^{\alpha} f\right)(k)+\left({ }^{C F} I_{b}^{\alpha} f\right)(k) \leq \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{B(\alpha)} f(k)+\frac{\alpha(b-a)}{B(\alpha)}\left(\frac{|f(a)|^{p}+|f(b)|^{p}}{p}+\frac{2}{q}\right)
$$

where $B(\alpha)>0$ is normalization function $q>1, \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$ and $\alpha \in[0,1]$.
Proof. By using the definition of $P$-function, we can write

$$
f(t a+(1-t) b) \leq f(a)+f(b) .
$$

By integrating both sides of the inequality over $[0,1]$ with respect to $t$, we get

$$
\int_{0}^{1}|f(t a+(1-t) b)| d t \leq \int_{0}^{1}|f(a)|+|f(b)| d t .
$$

If we apply the Young's inequality to the right-hand side of the inequality, we get

$$
\int_{0}^{1}|f(t a+(1-t) b)| d t \leq\left(\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{1}|f(a)|^{p} d t+\frac{1}{q} \int_{0}^{1} 1^{q} d t\right)+\left(\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{1}|f(b)|^{p} d t+\frac{1}{q} \int_{0}^{1} 1^{q} d t\right) .
$$

By changing of the variable as $x=t a+(1-t) b$ and calculating the right hand side, we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x \leq \frac{|f(a)|^{p}+|f(b)|^{p}}{p}+\frac{2}{q} .
$$

By multiplying both sides of the above inequality with $\frac{\alpha(b-a)}{B(\alpha)}$ and adding $\frac{2(1-\alpha)}{B(\alpha)} f(k)$, we have

$$
\frac{2(1-\alpha)}{B(\alpha)} f(k)+\frac{\alpha}{B(\alpha)} \int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x \leq \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{B(\alpha)} f(k)+\frac{\alpha(b-a)}{B(\alpha)}\left(\frac{|f(a)|^{p}+|f(b)|^{p}}{p}+\frac{2}{q}\right) .
$$

By simplfying the inequality, we get the result

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{(1-\alpha)}{B(\alpha)} f(k)+\frac{\alpha}{B(\alpha)} \int_{a}^{k} f(x) d x\right)+\left(\frac{(1-\alpha)}{B(\alpha)} f(k)+\frac{\alpha}{B(\alpha)} \int_{k}^{b} f(x) d x\right) \\
& \leq \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{B(\alpha)} f(k)+\frac{\alpha(b-a)}{B(\alpha)}\left(\frac{|f(a)|^{p}+|f(b)|^{p}}{p}+\frac{2}{q}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Namely

$$
\left({ }_{a}^{C F} I^{\alpha} f\right)(k)+\left({ }^{C F} I_{b}^{\alpha} f\right)(k) \leq \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{B(\alpha)} f(k)+\frac{\alpha(b-a)}{B(\alpha)}\left(\frac{|f(a)|^{p}+|f(b)|^{p}}{p}+\frac{2}{q}\right)
$$
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## ABSTRACT

## 1 INTRODUCTION

The concept of convexity, which has an important place in inequality theory, has been widely used by many researchers, especially in the field of inequality theory. The definition of convex functions can be given in reference([2]) as follows.

Definition 1 (See [2]) Let I be on interval in R . Then $\hat{0}: I \rightarrow \mathrm{R}$ is said to be convex, if

$$
\hat{o}\left(\zeta \kappa_{1}+(1-\zeta) \kappa_{2}\right) \leq \zeta \hat{o}\left(\kappa_{1}\right)+(1-\zeta) \hat{o}\left(\kappa_{2}\right)
$$

holds for all $\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2} \in I$ and $\zeta \in[0,1]$.
The main goal of studies on different types of convexity is to optimize the bounds and generalize some known classical inequalities. Based on this basic purpose, an important class of convex functions whose definition is given is exponential convex functions and whose definition ([1]) is given as follows.

Definition 2 (See [1]) A function ô :I $\subseteq \mathrm{R} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}$ is said to be exponential convex function, if

$$
\hat{\mathrm{o}}\left((1-\zeta) \kappa_{1}+\zeta \kappa_{2}\right) \leq(1-\zeta) \frac{\hat{\mathrm{o}}\left(\kappa_{1}\right)}{e^{\alpha \kappa_{2}}}+\zeta \frac{\hat{\mathrm{o}}\left(\kappa_{2}\right)}{e^{\alpha \kappa_{2}}}
$$

for all $\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2} \in I, \alpha \in \mathrm{R}$ and $\zeta \in[0,1]$.
In [3], the concept of log-convex functions was introduced as follows.
Definition 3 A function $\hat{0}: I \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ is said to be a log-convex function, if

$$
\hat{O}\left(\zeta \kappa_{1}+(1-\zeta) \kappa_{2}\right) \leq\left[\hat{o}\left(\kappa_{1}\right)\right]^{\zeta}\left[\hat{o}\left(\kappa_{2}\right)\right]^{1-\zeta}
$$

for all $\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2} \in I$ and $\zeta \in[0,1]$.
For some recent results connected with log-convex functions, (See [4]-[10]).
Aslan and Akdemir gave the definition of exponentially convex functions on co-ordinates as follows:

Definition 4 (See [11]) Let us consider the bidimensional interval $\left.\Delta=\left[\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}\right] \times \kappa_{3}, \kappa_{4}\right]$ in $R^{2}$ with $\kappa_{1}<\kappa_{2}$ and $\kappa_{3}<\kappa_{4}$. The mapping $\hat{0}: \Delta \rightarrow R$ is exponential convex on the co-ordinates on $\Delta$, if the following inequality holds,

$$
\hat{\mathrm{o}}\left(\zeta \kappa_{1}+(1-\zeta) \kappa_{3}, \zeta \kappa_{2}+(1-\zeta) \kappa_{4}\right) \leq \zeta \frac{\hat{\mathrm{o}}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}\right)}{e^{\alpha\left(\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{2}\right)}}+(1-\zeta) \frac{\hat{\mathrm{o}}\left(\kappa_{3}, \kappa_{4}\right)}{e^{\alpha\left(\kappa_{3}+\kappa_{4}\right)}}
$$

for all $\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}\right),\left(\kappa_{3}, \kappa_{4}\right) \in \Delta, \alpha \in R$, and $\zeta \in[0,1]$.
Aslan and Akdedmir gave an equivalent definition of the exponential convex function definition on the coordinates as follows:

Definition 5 (see [11]) The mapping $\hat{o}: \Delta \rightarrow \mathrm{R}$ is exponential convex on the co-ordinates on $\Delta$, if the following inequality holds,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{\mathrm{o}}\left(\zeta \kappa_{1}+(1-\zeta) \kappa_{2}, \xi \kappa_{3}+(1-\xi) \kappa_{4}\right) \\
& \leq \zeta \xi \frac{\hat{\mathrm{o}}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{3}\right)}{e^{\alpha\left(\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{3}\right)}}+\zeta(1-\xi) \frac{\hat{\mathrm{o}}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{4}\right)}{e^{\alpha\left(\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{4}\right)}}+(1-\zeta) \xi \frac{\hat{\mathrm{o}}\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{3}\right)}{e^{\alpha\left(\kappa_{2}+\kappa_{3}\right)}}+(1-\zeta)(1-\xi) \frac{\hat{\mathrm{o}}\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{4}\right)}{e^{\alpha\left(\kappa_{2}+\kappa_{4}\right)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{3}\right),\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{4}\right),\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{3}\right),\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{4}\right) \in \Delta, \alpha \in \mathrm{R}$ and $\zeta, \xi \in[0,1]$.
Expressing convex functions in coordinates brought up the question that it is possible for Hermite-Hadamard inequality to expand into coordinates. The answer to this motivating question has been found in Dragomir's paper (see [12]) and has taken its place in the literature as the expansion of Hermite-Hadamard inequality to a rectangle from the plane $R^{2}$ stated below.

Theorem 1 (see [12]) Suppose that $\left.\hat{0}: \Delta=\left[\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}\right] \times \kappa_{3}, \kappa_{4}\right] \rightarrow R$ is convex on the co-ordinates on $\Delta$. Then one has the inequalities;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{\mathrm{o}}\left(\frac{\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{2}}{2}, \frac{\kappa_{3}+\kappa_{4}}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{\left(\kappa_{2}-\kappa_{1}\right)\left(\kappa_{4}-\kappa_{3}\right)} \int_{\kappa_{1}}^{\kappa_{2}} \int_{\kappa_{3}}^{\kappa_{4}} \hat{\mathrm{O}}(x, y) d x d y \\
& \leq \frac{\hat{\mathrm{o}}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{3}\right)+\hat{\mathrm{o}}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{4}\right)+\hat{\mathrm{o}}\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{3}\right)+\hat{\mathrm{O}}\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{4}\right)}{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The above inequalities are sharp.

Aslan and Akdemir extended Dragomir's result in Theorem 1 to exponantially convexity on the coordinates

Theorem 2 (See [11]) Let $\left.\hat{o}: \Delta=\left[\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}\right] \times \kappa_{3}, \kappa_{4}\right] \rightarrow \mathrm{R}$ be partial differentiable mapping on $\left.\Delta=\left[\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}\right] \times \kappa_{3}, \kappa_{4}\right]$ and $\hat{o} \in L(\Delta), \alpha \in \mathrm{R}$. If $\hat{o}$ is exponential convex function on the coordinates on $\Delta$, then the following inequality holds;

$$
\frac{1}{\left(\kappa_{2}-\kappa_{1}\right)\left(\kappa_{4}-\kappa_{3}\right)} \int_{\kappa_{1}}^{\kappa_{2}} \int_{\kappa_{3}}^{\kappa_{4}} \hat{\mathrm{O}}(x, y) d x d y \leq \frac{\frac{\hat{\mathrm{o}}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{3}\right)}{e^{\alpha\left(\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{3}\right)}}+\frac{\hat{\mathrm{o}}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{4}\right)}{e^{\alpha\left(\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{4}\right)}}+\frac{\hat{\mathrm{o}}\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{3}\right)}{e^{\alpha\left(\kappa_{2}+\kappa_{3}\right)}}+\frac{\hat{\mathrm{o}}\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{4}\right)}{e^{\alpha\left(\kappa_{2}+\kappa_{4}\right)}}}{4} .
$$

For some recent results connected with exponentially convex functions and exponentially convex functions on the co-ordinates, (See [13]-[21]).

Anderson et al. gave the following definition in (See [22])
Definition 6 A function $M:(0, \infty) \times(0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ is called a Mean function if
(1) $M\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}\right)=M\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{1}\right)$,
(2) $M\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{1}\right)=\kappa_{1}$,
(3) $\kappa_{1}<M\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}\right)<\kappa_{2}$, whenever $\kappa_{1}<\kappa_{2}$,
(4) $M\left(a \kappa_{1}, a \kappa_{2}\right)=a M\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}\right)$ for all $a>0$.

Let us recall special means (See [22], [23] and [24])

1. Arithmetic Mean: $M\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}\right)=A\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}\right)=\frac{\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{2}}{2}$.
2. Geometric Mean: $M\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}\right)=G\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}\right)=\sqrt{\kappa_{1} \kappa_{2}}$.
3. Harmonic Mean: $M\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}\right)=H\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}\right)=1 / A\left(\frac{1}{\kappa_{1}}, \frac{1}{\kappa_{2}}\right)$.
4. Logarithmic Mean: $M\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}\right)=L\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}\right)=\left(\kappa_{1}-\kappa_{2}\right) /\left(\log \kappa_{1}-\log \kappa_{2}\right)$ for $\kappa_{1} \neq \kappa_{2}$ and $L\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{1}\right)=\kappa_{1}$.
5. Identric Mean: $M\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}\right)=I\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}\right)=(1 / e)\left(\kappa_{1}^{\kappa_{1}} / \kappa_{2}^{\kappa_{2}}\right)^{1 /\left(\kappa_{1}-\kappa_{2}\right)}$ for $\kappa_{1} \neq \kappa_{2} \quad$ and $I\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{1}\right)=\kappa_{1}$.

Now we are in a position to put in order as:

$$
H\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}\right) \leq G\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}\right) \leq L\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}\right) \leq I\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}\right) \leq A\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}\right) \leq K\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}\right) .
$$

In [22], authors also gave a definition which is called $M N$ - convexity as the following:

Definition 7 Let $\hat{0}: I \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ be continuous, where I is subinterval of $(0, \infty)$. Let $M$ and $N$ be any two Mean functions. We say ô is MN -convex (concave) if

$$
\hat{\mathrm{o}}\left(M\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}\right)\right) \leq(\geq) N\left(\hat{\mathrm{o}}\left(\kappa_{1}\right), \hat{\mathrm{o}}\left(\kappa_{2}\right)\right)
$$

for all $\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2} \in I$.
In this study, $A G$ - convex functions on the co-ordinates have been introduced and a fundamental integral inequality of Hadamard-type has been proved for $A G$-exponentially convex functions on the co-ordinates.

## 2 MAIN RESULTS

Definition 8 Let us consider the bidimensional interval $\left.\Delta=\left[\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}\right] \times \kappa_{3}, \kappa_{4}\right]$ in $R^{2}$ with $\kappa_{1}<\kappa_{2}$ and $\kappa_{3}<\kappa_{4}$. The mapping $\hat{0}: \Delta \rightarrow R^{+}$is $A G$-exponentially convex on the co-ordinates on $\Delta$ , if the following inequality holds,

$$
\hat{\mathbf{o}}\left(\zeta \kappa_{1}+(1-\zeta) \kappa_{3}, \zeta \kappa_{2}+(1-\zeta) \kappa_{4}\right) \leq \frac{\hat{o}^{\zeta}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}\right)}{e^{\alpha\left(\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{2}\right)}} \frac{\hat{o}^{(1-\zeta)}\left(\kappa_{3}, \kappa_{4}\right)}{e^{\alpha\left(\kappa_{3}+\kappa_{4}\right)}}
$$

for all $\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}\right),\left(\kappa_{3}, \kappa_{4}\right) \in \Delta, \alpha \in R$ and $\zeta \in[0,1]$.
An equivalent definition of the $A G$-exponentially convex function definition on the coordinates can be done as follows:

Definition 9 The mapping $\hat{0}: \Delta \rightarrow R^{+}$is $A G$ - exponential convex on the co-ordinates on $\Delta$, if the following inequality holds,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{o}\left(\zeta \kappa_{1}+(1-\zeta) \kappa_{2}, \xi \kappa_{3}+(1-\xi) \kappa_{4}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{\hat{\mathbf{o}}\left(\xi \xi\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{3}\right)\right.}{e^{\alpha\left(\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{3}\right)}} \frac{\hat{o}^{\zeta(1-\xi)}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{4}\right)}{e^{\alpha\left(\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{4}\right)}} \frac{\hat{o}^{(1-\zeta) \xi}}{\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{3}\right)} \frac{\hat{o}^{(1-\zeta)(1-\xi)}\left(\kappa_{\kappa_{2}}, \kappa_{4}\right)}{e^{\alpha\left(\kappa_{2}+\kappa_{3}\right)}} \frac{e^{\alpha\left(\kappa_{2}+\kappa_{4}\right)}}{l}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{3}\right),\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{4}\right),\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{3}\right),\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{4}\right) \in \Delta, \alpha \in R$ and $\zeta, \xi \in[0,1]$.
Lemma 1 A function $\hat{0}: \Delta \rightarrow R^{+}$will be called $A G$-exponential convex on the co-ordinates on $\Delta$, if the partial mappings ${\hat{\sigma_{\tau}}}:\left[\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}\right] \rightarrow R,{\hat{\sigma_{\tau}^{2}}}(u)=e^{\alpha \tau_{2}} f\left(u, \tau_{2}\right)$ and ${\hat{\hat{\sigma}_{1}}}_{\tau_{1}}:\left[\kappa_{3}, \kappa_{4}\right] \rightarrow R$, $\hat{\mathrm{o}}_{\tau_{1}}(v)=e^{\alpha \tau_{1}} f\left(\tau_{1}, v\right)$ are $A G$ - exponential convex on the co-ordinates on $\Delta$, where defined for all $\left.\tau_{2} \in \kappa_{3}, \kappa_{4}\right]$ and $\left.\tau_{1} \in \kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}\right]$.

Proof. From the definition of partial mapping $\hat{\mathrm{O}}_{\tau_{1}}$ we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{\mathrm{o}}_{\tau_{1}}\left(\zeta v_{1}+(1-\zeta) v_{2}\right)=e^{\alpha \tau_{1}} \hat{\mathrm{O}}\left(\tau_{1}, \zeta v_{1}+(1-\zeta) v_{2}\right) \\
& =e^{\alpha \tau_{1}} \hat{o}\left(\zeta \tau_{1}+(1-\zeta) \tau_{1}, \zeta v_{1}+(1-\zeta) v_{2}\right) \\
& \leq e^{\alpha \tau_{1}}\left[\zeta \frac{\hat{O}\left(\tau_{1}, v_{1}\right)}{\left.e^{\alpha\left(\tau_{1}+v_{1}\right.}\right)}+(1-\zeta) \frac{\hat{O}\left(\tau_{1}, v_{2}\right)}{\left.e^{\alpha\left(\tau_{1}+v_{2}\right.}\right)}\right] \\
& =\zeta \frac{\hat{o}\left(\tau_{1}, v_{1}\right)}{e^{\alpha v_{1}}}+(1-\zeta) \frac{\hat{\mathrm{o}}\left(\tau_{1}, v_{2}\right)}{e^{\alpha v_{2}}} \\
& =\zeta \frac{\hat{\mathrm{o}}_{\tau_{1}}\left(v_{1}\right)}{e^{\alpha v_{1}}}+(1-\zeta) \frac{\hat{\mathrm{o}}_{\tau_{1}}\left(v_{2}\right)}{e^{\alpha v_{2}}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, one can easily see that

$$
\hat{\mathrm{o}}_{\tau_{2}}\left(\zeta u_{1}+(1-\zeta) u_{2}\right) \leq \zeta \frac{\hat{\mathrm{o}}_{\tau_{2}}\left(u_{1}\right)}{e^{\alpha u_{1}}}+(1-\zeta) \frac{\hat{\mathrm{o}}_{\tau_{2}}\left(u_{2}\right)}{e^{\alpha u_{2}}} .
$$

The proof is completed.
Proposition 1 If 0 , $\Phi: \Delta \rightarrow R$ are two $A G$ - exponential convex functions on the co-ordinates on $\Delta$, then $\hat{0} \Phi$ is $A G$ - exponential convex functions on the co-ordinates on $\Delta$.

Proof. It is easy to see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{o}\left(\zeta \tau_{1}+(1-\zeta) \tau_{2}, \xi \tau_{3}+(1-\xi) \tau_{4}\right) \\
& \times \Phi\left(\zeta \tau_{1}+(1-\zeta) \tau_{2}, \xi \tau_{3}+(1-\xi) \tau_{4}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \times \frac{\Phi^{\zeta \xi}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{3}\right)}{e^{\alpha\left(\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{3}\right)}} \frac{\Phi^{\zeta(1-\xi)}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{4}\right)}{e^{\alpha\left(\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{4}\right)}} \frac{\Phi^{(1-\zeta) \xi}\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{3}\right)}{e^{\alpha\left(\kappa_{2}+\kappa_{3}\right)}} \frac{\Phi^{(1-\zeta)(1-\xi)}\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{4}\right)}{e^{\alpha\left(\kappa_{2}+\kappa_{4}\right)}} \\
& =\frac{(\hat{o} \Phi)^{\xi \xi}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{3}\right)}{\left.e^{\alpha\left(\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{3}\right.}\right)} \frac{(\hat{o} \Phi)^{\xi(1-\xi)}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{4}\right)}{e^{\alpha\left(\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{4}\right)}} \frac{(\hat{\mathrm{o}} \Phi)^{(1-\xi) \xi}\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{3}\right)}{e^{\alpha\left(\kappa_{2}+\kappa_{3}\right)}} \frac{(\hat{o} \Phi)^{(1-\zeta)(1-\xi)}\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{4}\right)}{e^{\alpha\left(\kappa_{2}+\kappa_{4}\right)}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $\hat{o} \Phi$ is $A G$-exponential convex functions on the co-ordinates on $\Delta$.
Theorem 3 Let ô: $\left.\Delta=\left[\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}\right] \times \kappa_{3}, \kappa_{4}\right] \rightarrow R^{+}$be partial differentiable mapping on $\left.\Delta=\left[\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}\right] \times \kappa_{3}, \kappa_{4}\right]$ and $\hat{o ̂} \in L(\Delta), \alpha \in R$. If ô is $A G$-exponential convex function on the coordinates on $\Delta$, then the following inequality holds;

$$
\frac{1}{\left(\kappa_{2}-\kappa_{1}\right)\left(\kappa_{4}-\kappa_{3}\right)} \int_{\kappa_{1}}^{\kappa_{2}} \int_{\kappa_{3}}^{\kappa_{4}} \hat{o}\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) d \tau_{1} d \tau_{2}
$$

$$
\leq \frac{L\left(\hat{o}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{3}\right), \hat{o}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{4}\right)\right)+L\left(\hat{o}\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{3}\right) \hat{\mathrm{o}}\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{4}\right)\right)}{2 e^{2 \alpha\left(\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{3}+\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{4}\right)}} .
$$

where $\tau_{1} \in\left[\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}\right]$ and $\tau_{2} \in\left[\kappa_{3}, \kappa_{4}\right]$ dir.

Proof. By the definition of the $A G$ - exponential convex functions on the co-ordinates on $\Delta$, we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{\mathbf{o}}\left(\zeta \tau_{1}+(1-\zeta) \tau_{2}, \xi \tau_{3}+(1-\xi) \tau_{4}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{\hat{\mathbf{o}}^{\zeta \xi}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{3}\right)}{e^{\alpha\left(\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{3}\right)}} \frac{\hat{o}^{\zeta(1-\xi)}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{4}\right)}{e^{\alpha\left(\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{4}\right)}} \frac{\hat{o}^{(1-\zeta) \xi}\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{3}\right)}{e^{\alpha\left(\kappa_{2}+\kappa_{3}\right)}} \frac{\hat{\mathbf{o}}^{(1-\zeta)(1-\xi)}\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{4}\right)}{e^{\alpha\left(\kappa_{2}+\kappa_{4}\right)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

By integrating both sides of the above inequality with respect to $\zeta, \xi$ on $[0,1]^{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \hat{0}\left(\zeta \kappa_{1}+(1-\zeta) \kappa_{2}, \xi \kappa_{3}+(1-\xi) \kappa_{4}\right) d \zeta d \xi \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\hat{\hat{o}^{\zeta \xi}}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{3}\right)}{e^{\alpha\left(\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{3}\right)}} \frac{\hat{o}^{\zeta(1-\xi)}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{4}\right)}{e^{\alpha\left(\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{4}\right)}} \frac{\hat{o}^{(1-\xi) \xi}\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{3}\right)}{e^{\alpha\left(\kappa_{2}+\kappa_{3}\right)}} \frac{\hat{o}^{(1-\xi)(1-\xi)}\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{4}\right)}{e^{\alpha\left(\kappa_{2}+\kappa_{4}\right)}} d \zeta d \xi \\
& =\frac{1}{e^{2 \alpha\left(\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{3}+\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{4}\right)} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \hat{o}^{\xi \xi}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{3}\right) \hat{o}^{\zeta(1-\xi)}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{4}\right) \hat{o}^{(1-\zeta) \xi}\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{3}\right) \hat{o}^{(1-\zeta)(1-\xi)}\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{4}\right) d \zeta d \xi}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{1}{\left.e^{2 \alpha\left(\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{3}+\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{4}\right.}\right)} \int_{0}^{1} L\left(\hat{o}^{\xi}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{3}\right) \hat{o}^{(1-\xi)}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{4}\right), \hat{o}^{\xi}\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{3}\right) \hat{\boldsymbol{o}}^{(1-\xi)}\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{4}\right)\right) d \xi
\end{aligned}
$$

If the $\tau_{1}=\zeta \kappa_{1}+(1-\zeta) \kappa_{2}, \tau_{2}=\xi \kappa_{3}+(1-\xi) \kappa_{4}$ variable is changed and the $L\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}\right)<A\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}\right)$ feature is taken into account, the following result is obtained.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\left(\kappa_{2}-\kappa_{1}\right)\left(\kappa_{4}-\kappa_{3}\right)} \int_{\kappa_{1}}^{\kappa_{2}} \int_{\kappa_{3}}^{\kappa_{4}} \hat{o}\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) d \tau_{1} d \tau_{2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{e^{2 \alpha\left(\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{3}+\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{4}\right)} \int_{0}^{1} A\left(\hat{o}^{\xi}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{3}\right) \hat{o}^{(1-\xi)}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{4}\right), \hat{o}^{\xi}\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{3}\right) \hat{o}^{(1-\xi)}\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{4}\right)\right) d \xi} \\
& =\frac{1}{\left.e^{2 \alpha\left(\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{3}+\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{4}\right.}\right)} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\hat{o}^{\xi}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{3}\right) \hat{o}^{(1-\xi)}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{4}\right)+\hat{o}^{\xi}\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{3}\right) \hat{\mathrm{o}}^{(1-\xi)}\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{4}\right)}{2} d \xi \\
& =\frac{L\left(\hat{o}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{3}\right) \hat{o}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{4}\right)\right)+L\left(\hat{\mathrm{o}}\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{3}\right) \hat{o}\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{4}\right)\right)}{2 e^{2\left(\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{3}+\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{4}\right)}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By computing the above integrals, we obtain the desired result.

Corollary 1 If we choose $\alpha=0$ in Theorem 3, the result agrees $A G$ - exponential convex on the coordinates with $A G$ - convexity on the coordinates

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\left(\kappa_{2}-\kappa_{1}\right)\left(\kappa_{4}-\kappa_{3}\right)} \int_{\kappa_{1}}^{\kappa_{2}} \int_{\kappa_{3}}^{\kappa_{4}} \hat{o}\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) d \tau_{1} d \tau_{2} \\
& \leq \frac{L\left(\hat{o}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{3}\right), \hat{o}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{4}\right)\right)+L\left(\hat{o}\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{3}\right) \hat{o}\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{4}\right)\right)}{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\tau_{1} \in\left[\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}\right]$ and $\tau_{2} \in\left[\kappa_{3}, \kappa_{4}\right]$ dir.
Theorem 4 Let $\left.\hat{o}: \Delta=\left[\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}\right] \times \kappa_{3}, \kappa_{4}\right] \rightarrow R_{+}$be partial differentiable mapping on $\left.\Delta=\left[\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}\right] \times \kappa_{3}, \kappa_{4}\right]$ and $\hat{0} \in L(\Delta), \alpha \in R$. If $|\hat{\hat{O}}|$ is $A G$-exponential convex function on the co-ordinates on $\Delta, p>1$ then the following inequality holds;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\lvert\, \frac{1}{\left(\kappa_{2}-\kappa_{1}\right)\left(\kappa_{4}-\kappa_{3}\right)} \int_{\kappa_{1}}^{\kappa_{2}} \int_{\kappa_{3}}^{\kappa_{4}} \hat{o}\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) d \tau_{1} d \tau_{2}\right. \\
& \leq\left(\frac{1}{\left.e^{2 p \alpha\left(\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{3}+\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{4}\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}}\right. \\
& \times\left(\frac{\left.L\left(\left|\hat{o}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{3}\right)\right|^{q}\left|\hat{o}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{4}\right)\right|^{q}\right)+L\left(\mid \hat{o}\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{3}\right)\right)^{q}\left|\hat{o}\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{4}\right)\right|^{q}\right)}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\tau_{1} \in\left[\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}\right], \tau_{2} \in\left[\kappa_{3}, \kappa_{4}\right]$ and $p^{-1}+q^{-1}=1$ dir.
Proof. By the definition of the $A G$ - exponential convex functions on the co-ordinates on $\Delta$, we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{\mathrm{o}}\left(\zeta \kappa_{1}+(1-\zeta) \kappa_{2}, \xi \kappa_{3}+(1-\xi) \kappa_{4}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{\hat{o}^{\zeta \xi}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{3}\right)}{e^{\alpha\left(\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{3}\right)}} \frac{\hat{o}^{5(1-\xi)}}{\left.\hat{c}_{1}, \kappa_{4}\right)} e^{\alpha\left(\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{4}\right)}
\end{aligned} \frac{\hat{o}^{(1-\zeta) \xi}}{\left.e^{\alpha\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{3}\right.}\right)} e^{\alpha\left(\kappa_{2}+\kappa_{3}\right)} \frac{\hat{o}^{(1-\zeta)(1-\xi)}\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{4}\right)}{e^{\alpha\left(\kappa_{2}+\kappa_{4}\right)}} .
$$

The absolute value property is used in integral and by integrating both sides of the above inequality with respect to $\zeta, \xi$ on $[0,1]^{2}$, we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \hat{O}\left(\zeta \kappa_{1}+(1-\zeta) \kappa_{2}, \xi \kappa_{3}+(1-\xi) \kappa_{4}\right) d \zeta d \xi\right| \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1}\left|\frac{\hat{o}^{\zeta \xi}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{3}\right)}{e^{\alpha\left(\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{3}\right)}} \frac{\hat{o}^{\zeta(1-\xi)}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{4}\right)}{e^{\alpha\left(\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{4}\right)}} \frac{\hat{o}^{(1-\zeta) \xi}\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{3}\right)}{e^{\alpha\left(\kappa_{2}+\kappa_{3}\right)}} \frac{\hat{o}^{(1-\zeta)(1-\xi)}\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{4}\right)}{e^{\alpha\left(\kappa_{2}+\kappa_{4}\right)}}\right| d \zeta d \xi
\end{aligned}
$$

If the $\tau_{1}=\zeta \kappa_{1}+(1-\zeta) \kappa_{2}, \tau_{2}=\xi \kappa_{3}+(1-\xi) \kappa_{4}$ variable is changed and If we apply the Hölder's inequality to the right-hand side of the inequality, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\frac{1}{\left(\kappa_{2}-\kappa_{1}\right)\left(\kappa_{4}-\kappa_{3}\right)} \int_{\kappa_{1}}^{\kappa_{2}} \int_{\kappa_{3}}^{\kappa_{4}} \hat{o}\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) d \tau_{1} d \tau_{2}\right| \\
& \leq\left(\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{\left.e^{2 p \alpha\left(\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{3}+\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{4}\right)} d \zeta d \xi\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}}\right. \\
& \left.\times\left(\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \mid \hat{o}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{3}\right)\right)^{\zeta \xi q}\left|\hat{o}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{4}\right)\right|^{\zeta(1-\xi) q}\left|\hat{o}\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{3}\right)\right|^{(1-\zeta) \xi q}\left|\hat{o}\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{4}\right)\right|^{(1-\zeta)(1-\xi) q} d \zeta d \xi\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{\left.e^{2 p \alpha\left(\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{3}+\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{4}\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}}\right. \\
& \left.\times\left(\int_{0}^{1} L\left(\hat{\hat{o}}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{3}\right)\right)^{\xi q}\left|\hat{o}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{4}\right)\right|^{(1-\xi) q},\left.\hat{o}\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{3}\right)\right|^{\xi q}\left|\hat{o}\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{4}\right)\right|^{(1-\xi) q}\right) d \xi\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Because of the $L\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}\right)<A\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}\right)$ property, we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\frac{1}{\left(\kappa_{2}-\kappa_{1}\right)\left(\kappa_{4}-\kappa_{3}\right)} \int_{\kappa_{1}}^{\kappa_{2}} \int_{\kappa_{3}}^{\kappa_{4}} \hat{\mathrm{O}}\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) d \tau_{1} d \tau_{2}\right| \\
& \leq\left(\frac{1}{e^{2 p \alpha\left(\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{3}+\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{4}\right)}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \times\left(\int_{0}^{1} A\left(\left.\left(\hat{\mathbf{O}}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{3}\right)\right)^{\xi \xi q} \hat{\hat{O}}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{4}\right)\right|^{(1-\xi) q},\left|\hat{\mathrm{O}}\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{3}\right)\right|^{\xi q}\left|\hat{\mathrm{O}}\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{4}\right)\right|^{(1-\xi) q}\right) d \xi\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{e^{2 p \alpha\left(\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{3}+\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{4}\right)}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \times\left(\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\left|\hat{\hat{O}}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{3}\right)\right|^{\mid \xi q}\left|\hat{\mathrm{o}}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{4}\right)\right|^{(1-\xi) q}+\left|\hat{\mathrm{o}}\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{3}\right)\right|^{\xi q}\left|\hat{\mathrm{O}}\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{4}\right)\right|^{(1-\xi) q}}{2} d \xi\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{e^{2 p \alpha\left(\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{3}+\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{4}\right)}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \times\left(\frac{\left.L\left(\left|\hat{o}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{3}\right)\right|^{q}\left|\hat{\jmath}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{4}\right)\right|^{q}\right)+L\left(\left|\hat{\hat{O}}\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{3}\right)\right|^{q}\left|\hat{o}\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{4}\right)\right|^{q}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}}{2} .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof is completed.

Corollary 2 If we choose $\alpha=0$ in Theorem 4, the result agrees $A G$ - exponential convex on the coordinates with log-convexity on the coordinates.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\lvert\, \frac{1}{\left(\kappa_{2}-\kappa_{1}\right)\left(\kappa_{4}-\kappa_{3}\right)} \int_{\kappa_{1}}^{\kappa_{2}} \int_{\kappa_{3}}^{\kappa_{4}} \hat{\mathrm{o}}\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) d \tau_{1} d \tau_{2}\right. \\
& \leq\left(\frac{L\left(\left|\hat{\mathrm{o}}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{3}\right)^{q} \hat{\hat{o}}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{4}\right)\right|^{q}\right)+L\left(\left|\hat{\mathrm{o}}\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{3}\right)\right|^{q}\left|\hat{\mathrm{o}}\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{4}\right)\right|^{q}\right)}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\tau_{1} \in\left[\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}\right], \tau_{2} \in\left[\kappa_{3}, \kappa_{4}\right]$ and $p^{-1}+q^{-1}=1$ dir.

Theorem 5 Let ô: $\left.\Delta=\left[\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}\right] \times \kappa_{3}, \kappa_{4}\right] \rightarrow R^{+}$be partial differentiable mapping on $\left.\Delta=\left[\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}\right] \times \kappa_{3}, \kappa_{4}\right]$ and $\hat{\hat{0}} \in L(\Delta), \alpha \in R$. If $|\hat{\hat{O}}|$ is $A G$ - exponential convex function on the co-ordinates on $\Delta, p>1$ then the following inequality holds;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\frac{1}{\left(\kappa_{2}-\kappa_{1}\right)\left(\kappa_{4}-\kappa_{3}\right)} \int_{\kappa_{1}}^{\kappa_{2}} \int_{\kappa_{3}}^{\kappa_{4}} \hat{o}\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) d \tau_{1} d \tau_{2}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{p e^{2 p \alpha\left(\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{3}+\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{4}\right)}} \\
& +\frac{L\left(\left.\left|\hat{\mathrm{o}}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{3}\right)^{q}\right| \hat{o}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{4}\right)\right|^{q}\right)+L\left(\left.\left.\hat{\mathrm{o}}\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{3}\right)\right|^{q} \hat{\hat{o}}\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{4}\right)\right|^{q}\right)}{2 q}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\tau_{1} \in\left[\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}\right], \tau_{2} \in\left[\kappa_{3}, \kappa_{4}\right]$ and $p^{-1}+q^{-1}=1$ dir.
Proof. By the definition of the $A G$ - exponential convex functions on the co-ordinates on $\Delta$, we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{\mathbf{o}}\left(\zeta \tau_{1}+(1-\zeta) \tau_{2}, \xi \tau_{3}+(1-\xi) \tau_{4}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{\hat{o}^{\zeta \xi}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{3}\right)}{e^{\alpha\left(\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{3}\right)}} \frac{\hat{o}^{\zeta(1-\xi)}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{4}\right)}{e^{\alpha\left(\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{4}\right)}} \frac{\hat{o}^{(1-\zeta) \xi}\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{3}\right)}{e^{\alpha\left(\kappa_{2}+\kappa_{3}\right)}} \frac{\hat{o}^{(1-\zeta)(1-\xi)}\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{4}\right)}{e^{\alpha\left(\kappa_{2}+\kappa_{4}\right)}} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

The absolute value property is used in integral and by integrating both sides of the above inequality with respect to $\zeta, \xi$ on $[0,1]^{2}$, we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \hat{o}\left(\zeta \tau_{1}+(1-\zeta) \tau_{2}, \xi \tau_{3}+(1-\xi) \tau_{4}\right) d \zeta d \xi\right| \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1}\left|\frac{\hat{o}^{\zeta \zeta}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{3}\right)}{e^{\alpha\left(\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{3}\right)}} \frac{\hat{o}^{\zeta(1-\xi)}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{4}\right)}{e^{\alpha\left(\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{4}\right)}} \frac{\hat{o}^{(1-\zeta) \xi}}{e^{\alpha\left(\kappa_{2}+\kappa_{3}\right)}} \frac{\left.\kappa_{3}\right)}{\hat{o}^{(1-\zeta)(1-\xi)}\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{4}\right)} e^{\alpha\left(\kappa_{2}+\kappa_{4}\right)}\right| d \zeta d \xi .
\end{aligned}
$$

If the $\tau_{1}=\zeta \kappa_{1}+(1-\zeta) \kappa_{2}, \tau_{2}=\xi \kappa_{3}+(1-\xi) \kappa_{4}$ variable is changed and If we apply the Young's inequality to the right-hand side of the inequality, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\frac{1}{\left(\kappa_{2}-\kappa_{1}\right)\left(\kappa_{4}-\kappa_{3}\right)} \int_{\kappa_{1}}^{\kappa_{2}} \int_{\kappa_{3}}^{\kappa_{4}} \hat{\mathrm{O}}\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) d \tau_{1} d \tau_{2}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{p}\left(\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{\left.e^{2 p \alpha\left(\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{3}+\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{4}\right)} d \zeta d \xi\right)}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{q}\left(\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \mid \hat{\mathrm{o}}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{3}\right)\right)^{\zeta \xi q}\left|\hat{\mathrm{o}}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{4}\right)\right|^{\zeta(1-\xi) q}\left|\hat{\mathrm{o}}\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{3}\right)\right|^{(1-\zeta) \xi q}\left|\hat{\mathrm{o}}\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{4}\right)\right|^{(1-\zeta)(1-\xi) q} d \zeta d \xi\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{p e^{2 p \alpha\left(\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{3}+\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{4}\right)}} \\
& +\frac{1}{q}\left(\int_{0}^{1} L\left(\left.\hat{\mathrm{o}}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{3}\right)\right|^{\xi q}\left|\hat{\mathrm{o}}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{4}\right)\right|^{(1-\xi) q},\left|\hat{\mathrm{o}}\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{3}\right)\right|^{\mid \xi q}\left|\hat{\mathrm{O}}\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{4}\right)\right|^{(1-\xi) q}\right) d \xi\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Because of the $L\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}\right)<A\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}\right)$ property, we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\frac{1}{\left(\kappa_{2}-\kappa_{1}\right)\left(\kappa_{4}-\kappa_{3}\right)} \int_{\kappa_{1}}^{\kappa_{2}} \int_{\kappa_{3}}^{\kappa_{4}} \hat{\mathrm{O}}\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) d \tau_{1} d \tau_{2}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{p e^{2 p \alpha\left(\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{3}+\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{4}\right)}} \\
& \frac{1}{q}\left(\int_{0}^{1} A\left(\left.\hat{\mathrm{o}}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{3}\right)\right|^{\xi q}\left|\hat{\mathrm{o}}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{4}\right)\right|^{(1-\xi) q},\left|\hat{\mathrm{o}}\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{3}\right)\right|^{\xi q}\left|\hat{\mathrm{o}}\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{4}\right)\right|^{(1-\xi) q}\right) d \xi\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{p e^{2 p \alpha\left(\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{3}+\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{4}\right)}} \\
& +\left(\int_{0}^{\left.1 \left\lvert\, \frac{\left.\mid \hat{\mathrm{O}}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{3}\right)\right)\left.^{\xi q} \hat{\mathrm{o}}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{4}\right)\right|^{(1-\xi) q}+\left|\hat{o}\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{3}\right)\right|^{\xi q}\left|\hat{o}\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{4}\right)\right|^{(1-\xi) q}}{2 q} d \xi\right.\right)}\right. \\
& =\frac{1}{p e^{2 p \alpha\left(\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{3}+\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{4}\right)}} \\
& +\frac{\left.L\left(\hat{\mathrm{o}}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{3}\right)^{q}\left|\hat{\mathrm{O}}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{4}\right)\right|^{q}\right)+L\left(\mid \hat{\mathrm{o}}\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{3}\right)\right)^{q}\left|\hat{\mathrm{o}}\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{4}\right)\right|^{q}\right)}{2 q} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof is the completed.
Corollary 3 If we choose $\alpha=0$ in Theorem 5, the result agrees $A G$ - exponential convex on the coordinates with log-convexity on the coordinates.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\frac{1}{\left(\kappa_{2}-\kappa_{1}\right)\left(\kappa_{4}-\kappa_{3}\right)} \int_{\kappa_{1}}^{\kappa_{2}} \int_{\kappa_{3}}^{\kappa_{4}} \hat{\mathrm{o}}\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) d \tau_{1} d \tau_{2} \right\rvert\, \\
& \leq \frac{1}{p}+\frac{\left.L\left(\hat{\mathbf{o}}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{3}\right)^{q} \mid \hat{o}\left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{4}\right)^{q}\right)+L\left(\hat{\mathrm{o}}\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{3}\right)\right)^{q}\left|\hat{\mathrm{o}}\left(\kappa_{2}, \kappa_{4}\right)\right|^{q}\right)}{2 q}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\tau_{1} \in\left[\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}\right], \tau_{2} \in\left[\kappa_{3}, \kappa_{4}\right]$ and $p^{-1}+q^{-1}=1$ dir.
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#### Abstract

In this study, general information about hash functions is given, and the classification and usage areas of cryptographic hash functions are examined. Additionally, Diffie-Hellman style key exchange protocols and their working principles were analyzed.


## INTRODUCTION

## Hash Functions

One of the most important issues of cryptographic systems is security. In messages sent between two parties, the recipient must understand the message and third parties must not be able to understand or decipher it. For this reason, functions that convert messages into an unreadable form are needed. Hash functions are one of them.
Hash functions produce a fixed-length output by processing data inputs with a mathematical calculation or algorithm. This process is called hash, and hashing is the process of converting data of any size into a fixed-size output.
In general, hash functions are used to ensure the integrity and security of data. Whether the data has been changed or not can be checked with hash functions. Additionally, the size of large data is reduced to a smaller size with a fixed length. Thus, the size of the data to be sent to the target is reduced.
Areas where the hash function is used are Digital Signature, Authentication and Blockchain. There are two properties that a hash function has. These are;

1. Compression: Enter an input x of arbitrary finite bit length into n -bit. It converts the output to the constant $\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{x})$ of length.
2. Easy Computation: Given $h$ and an input $x$, you can calculate $h(x)$. It should be easy to calculate.

Cryptographic hash functions are generally divided into keyed and unkeyed hash functions. Keyed hash functions are divided into Message Authentication Codes (MACs) and other applications, and unkeyed hash functions are divided into Modification Detection Codes (MDCs) and other applications. In addition, MDCs are divided into two groups: One-Way Hash Functions and Collision-Resistant Hash Functions.


## Key Exchange Protocols

Individuals are increasingly concerned about protecting their private conversations and online activity. This is why they want to encrypt their data using a cryptographic system. One of these cryptosystems is called a symmetric cryptosystem. The shared key is the cornerstone of symmetric cryptography, enabling both encryption and decryption of messages, but only if both parties possess it beforehand. Coordinating shared keys in symmetric cryptography poses logistical and security challenges, as they must be either securely exchanged beforehand or distributed via costly, protected channels. less to obtain and more expensive to maintain. Therefore, the communicating parties need a protocol to exchange keys. These protocols are often called key exchange protocols.
Key exchange protocols play a crucial role in securing modern communication. By enabling the secure establishment of shared keys, they underpin the confidentiality, integrity, and authenticity of sensitive information exchanged over digital networks. Their importance in safeguarding online privacy and security cannot be overstated.
Key exchange protocols use mathematical approaches to obtain session keys for both sides without transferring them. This approach prevents anyone other than the legitimate parties from obtaining the session key by listening to the data transfer channel between the two sides.
Even when using a symmetric cryptosystem, the key exchange protocol must use a publicprivate key pair because the public-private key pair is used for session key calculations and not for encryption purposes. The key pair is created from distinctive domain parameters on both sides. These domain parameters and public keys are not secret. Only the private keys of both parties are secret. Whereas, because it uses a mathematical protocol, it is very difficult to renew the private key from the domain parameters and public key.

## HASH FUNCTIONS AND KEY AGREEMENT

In the realm of cryptography, key agreement protocols are formally defined as interactive, twoparty (A and B) processes that establish a shared secret key between them. The parties initiate the protocol with security parameter $1^{\lambda}$, guiding their message exchanges towards key agreement. Additionally it has a random string $r_{A}$ (for A ) and $r_{B}$ (for B ) of sufficient length on both sides. Traditionally, we can say that A sends the first message $m_{1}$, B sends the second message $m_{2}$, A sends the third message $m_{3}$, and so on, B sends the last message $m_{t}$. We can safely assume that any necessary adjustments to align protocols with our format-such as A sending a redundant value in their first message or B doing so in their last-don't fundamentally alter their functionality. As long as the number of communication rounds remains within a polynomial range, these adjustments don't invalidate the impossibility result.

Each party's outgoing message is a function of their input, the transcript of previously received messages, and their local random tape. We formally model the protocol's execution as a sequence of algorithm invocations, with algorithms $\mathrm{A}\left(1^{\lambda}, r_{A}, m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots\right)$ and $\mathrm{B}\left(1^{\lambda}, r_{B}, m_{1}, m_{2}\right.$, ...) taking the partial transcripts as input and generating the subsequent message as output, defining a deterministic state transition model. Protocol execution terminates with each party deriving and outputting local keys $k_{A}$ and $k_{B}$, based on the shared transcript. We represent this process within our model by having algorithms A and B return both a key and a designated symbol. We denote by transc $=\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{t}\right)$ the transcript of the execution, consisting of the sequence of exchanged messages, where $t$ is polynomial but may depend on the parties' inputs. The table below visually depicts the key exchange protocol's steps and interactions (Mittelbach, 2021).


To specify that the key exchange protocol involves parties $A$ and $B$, we express it as $K E=$ $\langle A, B\rangle$. Similarly, we define

$$
\left(k_{A}, \text { transc }, k_{B}\right) \leftarrow\left\langle A\left(1^{\lambda}\right), B\left(1^{\lambda}\right)\right\rangle
$$

a random variable (symbol) to model the outcome of a key exchange protocol execution, with its probability distribution dependent on the random tapes of $r_{A}$ and $r_{B}$. The outcome captures the keys and the transcript, revealing both the final results and the path taken to reach them. If we consider the execution for fixed random tapes $r_{A}$ and $r_{B}$. We get a deterministic output,

$$
\left(k_{A}, \text { transc }, k_{B}\right) \leftarrow\left\langle\mathrm{A}\left(1^{\lambda} ; r_{A}\right), \mathrm{B}\left(1^{\lambda} ; r_{B}\right)\right\rangle
$$

While we haven't yet specified requirements for the parties' keys $k_{A}$ and $k_{B}$, successful key exchange protocols ensure they always match $\left(k_{A}=k_{B}\right)$, enabling reliable communication using a shared key. This property is known as perfect correctness. Accepting a negligible error rate allows for more efficient protocols, but necessitates careful analysis of potential security implications. We'll restrict our attention to perfectly correct protocols to simplify the exposition of our impossibility findings. Having established perfect correctness, we must now move on to the cornerstone of all cryptographic protocols: security. The paramount objective of a key exchange protocol is to safeguard the shared key, ensuring it's accessible only to A and B. The protocol's security model formally captures the threat of an eavesdropping adversary E (Eve)
who passively observes the communication channels during the key exchange. Even with the full transcript in hand, Eve (the adversary) shouldn't be able to glean any information about A's key $k_{A}$, like its value or structure. Under the assumption of almost-sure key identicality, we can model the adversary's goal as predicting key $k_{A}$, as any successful prediction of key $k_{B}$ would also reveal key $k_{A}$ with overwhelming probability.

## Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange Protocol

Diffie-Hellman's security hinges on the intractability of discrete logarithms in finite fields. The discrete logarithm can be defined as:
"Given a prime $p$, a generator $\alpha$ of $Z_{P}^{*}$ and an element $\beta \in Z_{P}^{*}$, find the integer $x, 0 \leq x \leq$ $p-2$, such that $\quad \alpha x \equiv \beta^{\prime \prime}($ Akalp, 2008).
Now let us explain the Diffie-Hellman key agreement protocol by making use of this definition. Parties A and B begin by establishing a common mathematical foundation: a group G, its generators g , and security parameter $\lambda$, ensuring a shared understanding for secure communication. By selecting a prime number $q$ as the group's order, we introduce a level of mathematical elegance and security that can enhance the robustness of the cryptographic protocol. Now each side chooses a secret power x for A and y for B . Then it sends $g^{x} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ and $g^{y} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ to the other party respectively. Then, in the group, A computes the $y^{x} \rightarrow k_{A}$ and B computes it as $x^{y} \rightarrow k_{B}$. Let's not forget that for well-formed data we have equality A which means both parties agree on the same value.

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{A}=Y^{x}=\left(g^{y}\right)^{x}=g^{x y}=\left(g^{x}\right)^{y}=X^{y}=k_{B} \tag{A}
\end{equation*}
$$

It's essential to note that the key derivation function doesn't simply equate $k_{A}=k_{B}$. Instead, it leverages the shared Diffie-Hellman value as a starting point to meticulously construct a key with desirable cryptographic properties, including a uniform bit distribution. Diffie-Hellman protokolü, güvenliğini ustaca görünüşte basit ama son derece zorlayıcı bir matematiksel bilmeceye dayandırıyor: ayrık logaritma problemi. Doğasında var olan zorluk, yetkisiz anahtar alımına karşı zorlu bir bariyer oluşturarak iletişimin gizliliğini sağlar. That is, if an adversary can compute the discrete logarithm of X or Y , he can also compute the shared key of both parties. However, it is not known whether the discrete logarithm assumption is also sufficient to demonstrate security. The Diffie-Hellman protocol's security rests upon a crucial assumption: the intractability of the discrete logarithm problem. While no attacks have exploited this assumption directly, the possibility of unforeseen weaknesses within the protocol itself cannot be entirely dismissed. Although assuming Diffie-Hellman's security is reasonable based on its enduring resistance to attacks, caution dictates ongoing vigilance and a readiness to adapt should novel vulnerabilities emerge. So it should not be possible to calculate $g^{x y}$ from $g^{x}$ and $g^{y}$.

The Diffie-Hellman protocol ingeniously leverages intricate mathematical properties of the underlying number-theoretic structure to establish secure key exchange. Due to the mathematical harmony within the cyclic group G, the order of exponentiation doesn't affect the outcome, enabling A and B to independently reach a shared secret key. The current approach offers limited guidance for designing secure key exchange protocols using random oracles when those crucial mathematical properties are absent, highlighting a significant challenge for cryptographic innovation.

## Hash-based Key Agreement

Recently, studies on the use of hash functions in key agreement protocols have gained intensity (Wang, 2021; Lee 2010; Guo, 2010; Yoon, 2011).
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#### Abstract

Our aim in this study is to investigate hash functions and their various applications in cryptography. For this purpose, some information is given about the properties of traditional hash functions. Additionally, the types of attacks that these functions may encounter are also mentioned. Potential vulnerabilities and risks associated with hybrid functions were examined and information was provided regarding the vulnerabilities they encountered.


## INTRODUCTION

Cryptography is concerned with the formulation and creation of robust cryptosystems and ciphers to ensure secure communication. The discipline focuses on developing intricate mechanisms that safeguard sensitive information from unauthorized access or interception.
Cryptanalysis is the practice of systematically examining and analyzing cryptosystems and ciphers, with the primary objective of deciphering or "cracking" them. This specialized field focuses on a thorough investigation of cryptographic algorithms, aiming to identify and exploit potential weaknesses to gain unauthorized access to encrypted data.
Cryptography and cryptanalysis come together to form cryptology. Cryptology is dedicated to enabling secure communication within insecure channels. It involves the development of methods and systems to safeguard information integrity and confidentiality when transmitted over channels susceptible to unauthorized access or interception.
As early as 1900 BC, cryptography has a historical footprint, with ancient Egypt employing rudimentary encryption methods to maintain message confidentiality. In this era, cryptographic practices included altering the letters or words within a message and concealing specific parts of the communication to ensure secrecy.
Around 100 BC, Julius Caesar devised a cipher, now named after him, where each letter in the message is replaced by the next three letters in the alphabet. ${ }^{1}$
In the 5th century BC, Spartans employed permutation ciphers for secure message transmission. In military and diplomatic communications of the Middle Ages, cryptography gained extensive usage.
With the rise of computers in the 19th century, cryptography entered a new era, experiencing a significant evolution.

[^0]In 2008, Bitcoin emerged onto the scene as a cryptocurrency, its foundation resting on the SHA256 hash function.
Cryptography is a field in constant flux, with the continuous emergence of innovative algorithms and techniques. Future developments in cryptography will be strongly influenced by the progress of technologies such as artificial intelligence, quantum computers, and blockchain.

## CRYPTOGRAPHIC HASH FUNCTIONS

Definition 1. A cryptographic hash function is a function that takes bit strings of arbitrary length and converts them into bit strings of a specific ( $n$ bits) length.
Cryptographic hash functions are expected to provide the following properties.

1. Front Image Durability: Given an output $y$, it should be difficult to find an input $x$ that gives that output.
2. Second Front Image Durability: Given an output $y$ and an input $x_{1}$ that satisfies $h\left(x_{1}\right)=y$, it should be difficult to find a second input $x_{2}$ that satisfies $h\left(x_{2}\right)=y$. 3. Collision Resillience: It should be difficult to find inputs $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ that satisfy the equation $h\left(x_{1}\right)=h\left(x_{2}\right)=y$.
In addition, the outputs should be random.
Hash functions are used extensively in cryptography. Some of them are :
Digital Signatures
Message Verification Codes
Random Number Generators
Key Generation Functions

## ITERATIVE HASH FUNCTIONS

Definition 2. Several keyless hash functions follow an iterative procedure, where inputs of varying lengths are condensed by subjecting fixed-size blocks of the input to consecutive operations. An iterative hash function is formulated through a compression function, denoted as f , which transforms a $(\mathrm{t}+\mathrm{n})$-bit input into an n -bit output. ${ }^{2}$


Figure 1 Merkle-Damgard Structure ${ }^{3}$

[^1]Until Sha-3, all hash functions are constructed using the Merkle-Damgard structure. The process begins by initializing the function with an initialization vector, taking the first message block as input. Subsequently, the function iterates, taking the output along with the next message block as inputs, producing a new output. This loop continues until all blocks are processed, and the resulting output is termed the summary code.

## KEYLESS HASH FUNCTIONS

Definition 3. MDC keyless cryptographic hashing designed to ensure data integrity function resistance to which of the three problems defined in the previous section shows that one way hash functions (one way hash functions) (OWHF) and as collision resistant hash functions (CRHF) is divided into two.

1. The definition of the hash function H is well known.
2. Given $x, H(x)$ is easy to calculate.
3. The front image must have durability.
4. The second front image must have durability.
5. It must be collision resistant.

Functions that satisfy the first 4 properties are called one-way hash functions (OWHF), while functions that satisfy all properties are called collision-resistant hash functions (CRHF).


Figure 2 Davies-Meyer, Matyas-Meyer-Oseas, Miyaguchi-Preneel (Illustration by David Göthberg, Sweden.)

Hash functions can also be generated using block ciphers, and in the literature, three of them are acknowledged for their security. Take the Davies-Meyer method as an example: consider the initial vector as a plaintext block, treat the first message block to be summarized as the key, encrypt it, and output the resulting ciphertext block. If there's a second block, use this output as a new plaintext block. Repeat this process until all message blocks are processed to obtain the final version.

## Conventional Hash Functions

## MD4

It was designed by Ronald Rivest in 1990.
Block Length: 512 bits.
Hash Length: 128 bits.
Number of Cycles: 48
Type: Merkle-Damgard
A,B,C,D are 32 -bit state values and $m_{i}$ is part of your message.
Collision Resilience: Collision generation takes microseconds.
Front Image Durability: $2^{95}$ operations. ${ }^{4}$
It has influenced many subsequent designs. MD5, SHA-1, RIPEMD


Figure 3 MD4 (Illustration by Matt Crypto)

## MD5

It was designed by Ronald Rivest in 1991 as a replacement for MD4.
Block Length: 512 bits
Hash Length: 128 bits
Number of Cycles: 64
Type: Merkle-Damgard
A,B,C,D are 32 -bit state values and $\mathrm{m}_{-} \mathrm{i}$ is part of your message.

Each of the 16 loops uses F, G, H, I as the $f$ function.

- $F(B, C, D)=(B \wedge C) \vee(\neg B \wedge D)$


Figure 4 MD5 (Illustration by
Surachit)

- $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D})=(\mathrm{B} \wedge \mathrm{D}) \vee(\mathrm{C} \wedge \neg \mathrm{D})$
- $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D})=\mathrm{B} \oplus \mathrm{C} \oplus \mathrm{D}$
- $\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D})=\mathrm{C} \oplus(\mathrm{B} \vee \neg \mathrm{D})$

Collision Resilience: It takes $2^{18}$ operations to generate a collision (less than 1 second) Front Image Durability: $2^{123.4}$ operations ${ }^{5}$

2004: It is shown how to create two different files that will give the same MD5 digest. 2005: Lenstra-Wang-Weger demonstrated how to generate X. 509 certificates with two different public keys with the same MD5 hash.
2008: It was shown that it was possible to create fake SSL certificates and certificate authorities were advised to stop using MD5.
2012: Flame malware used forged Windows code signing certificates using MD5 conflicts.

[^2]$6^{\text {TH }}$ INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE<br>ON MATHEMATICAL AND RELATED SCIENCES<br>ICMRS 2023
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Figure 5 MD5 Collision
Most places are the same, differences are shown in blue. Both inputs generate the same hash value.

## SHA- 1

It was designed by the NSA in 1993.
This version is now known as SHA-0.
In 1995 it was published as SHA-1 with only one bit operation rotation.
Block length: 512 bits
Hash length: 160 bits
Number of cycles: 80
Type: Merkle-Damgard ${ }^{6}$


Figure 6 SHA-1

Years ago, academic texts mentioned that a collision could be found, but it was not possible to do so with the processing power available at the time. In 2017, Marc Stevens and his team at Google, using their graphics cards, found a conflict for SHA-1.
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The message consists of 2 blocks. The differences are shown in red and blue. When you process both of them with SHA-1, it gives the same output.

Figure 7 SHA-1 Collision

[^3]
## SHA-2

Prepared by the NSA in 2001. Block length: 512 veya 1024 bits
Hash length: 224, 256, 384 veya 512 bits
Number of cycles: 64 veya 80
Type: Merkle-Damgard


Figure 8 SHA-2 (Illustration by Kockmeyer)

## SHA-3 Competition

All functions before Sha- 2 are broken. The only function we have left is the Sha- 2 function. It's very similar to the previous designs. So NIST thought we should have a plan b, we should have a second summary function. And organized a competition. 4 of the 64 applicants were Turkish designers. Keccak algorithm won the competition. So the Keccak algorithm was named Sha-3.

- Designers: Guido Bertoni, Joan Daemen, Michael Peeters and Gilles Van Assche
- Block length: $1152,1088,832$ or 576 bits
- Hash length: 224, 256, 384 or 512 bits
- Number of cycles: 64 or 80
- Type: Sponge


## KEYED HASH FUNCTIONS (MACs)

Definition 3. Keyed hash whose purpose is to guarantee the integrity of the message, to prove the message functions are also called Message Authentication Code algorithms.
The sender and receiver of a message need to agree on the same key before initiating communication.


Figure 9 MACs (Illustration by Twisp)
To protect the message, the sender calculates the MAC of the m-bit length bit stripe appropriate to the message and appends this stripe to the message. MAC is a complex function of each bit
of the message and the key. On receipt of the message, the receiver recalculates the MAC and verifies whether it corresponds to the MAC value sent. ${ }^{7}$

## CBC-MAC

The most widely used MAC algorithm is CBC-MAC, which is based on a block cipher that enables the use of cipher block chaining (CBC-Cipher Block Chaining).
Block length: 64 bits (E-block password)
Key: 56-bit DES key


Figure 10 CBC-MAC ${ }^{8}$
If necessary, m is filled. The padded text is divided into n -bit blocks $m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{x}$. The $H_{1}$ blog is computed using the key k and E . MAC is the n -bit $H_{x}$ block.

## TYPES OF ATTACK

Dictionary Attack: Precomputed summaries of words from a dictionary and numerical combinations are calculated and systematically stored in a table. If an attacker identifies matching summary values in the database and their own table, they can gain access to the corresponding passwords.
Solution: Instead of the password digest, a randomly generated salt value is appended to the end of the password and the password // salt digest is stored in the database. The attacker would therefore need to create a separate table for each salt value, but this is not possible due to computational and memory requirements.

Brute Force Attack: Once the digest value is captured, each password is tried one by one. This works well when users choose short and easy-to-remember passwords.
Solution: Instead of using the digest of a password, we can use the last digest value obtained by repeatedly passing that digest output to the digest function. For example, if we do this 1000 times, the attacker's job will be 1000 times harder.

## REFERENCES

## History of cryptography - Wikipedia

S. Lucks, "Design Principled for Iterated Hash Functions", in IACR Cryptology ePrint Archive, 2004, pp. 253.

[^4]R. Rivest, "The MD4 Message Digest Algorithm", IETF RFC 1320, 1992.
R. Rivest, "The MD5 Message Digest Algorithm", IETF RFC 1321, 1992.

FIPS 180-3, Secure Hash Standard (SHS), National Institute of Standards and Technology, US Department of Commerce, Washington D. C., 2008.
Mironov, "Hash Functions: Theory, Attacks, and Applications", Microsoft Research, Silicon Valley Campus, 2005.
B. V. Rompay, "Analysis and Design of Cryptographic Hash functions, MAC algorithms and Block Ciphers", Ph.D. thesis, Electrical Engineering Department, Katholieke Universiteit, Leuven, Belgium, 2004.

# Some Analysis for the Maximal $C_{6}$ Class of Classical Groups with Dimensions 6 and 8 

Zehra ÇELİK ÇÖP ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$, Abdullah ÇAĞMAN ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ and Kadirhan POLAT ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$<br>${ }^{1}$ Institute of Graduate Studies, Ağrı İbrahim Çeçen University, Ăgrl-TURKEY<br>${ }^{2}$ Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Arts, Ağrı İbrahim Çeçen University, Ağrı-TURKEY<br>zcelikcop@gmail.com<br>acagman@agri.edu.tr<br>kadirhanpolat@agri.edu.tr


#### Abstract

In this notes Aschbacher's Thorem will be given and the Aschbacher's classical group classes will be defined. We will do some reduction algorithm analysis for the maximal C 6 class of classical groups with dimensions 6 and 8 .


## INTRODUCTION

In this section, we present some preliminaries.
Let $n \in N$ and $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ be the field with $q=p^{e}$ elements. Let $V:=\mathbb{F}_{q}^{1 \times n}$ be the $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-vector space of row vectors.

Theorem 1 (Aschbacher 1984): Let $G \leq G L_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ and $n \geq 2$. Then $G$ lies in at least one of the classes $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ to $\mathcal{C}_{9}$ of subgroups of $G L_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ [1].

One of the classes of Aschbacher's Theorem is $C_{6}$ and definition of this clas as follows:
Class $\boldsymbol{\mathcal { C }}_{6}: G \leq G L_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ lies in $\mathcal{C}_{6}$ if the natural module $V$ is irreducible $n=r^{m}$ for a prime $r$ and either $r$ is odd and $G$ has a normal subgroup $E$ that is an extraspecial $r$-group of order $r^{(1+2 \mathrm{~m})}$ and exponent $r$,or $r=2$ and $G$ has a normal subgroup $E$ that is either extraspecial of order $2^{1+2 m}$ or a central product of a cyclic group of order 4 with an extraspecial group of order $2^{1+2 m}$ and in both cases the linear action of $G$ on the $\mathbb{F}_{r}$-vector space $E / Z(E)$ of dimension $2 m$ is irreducible.

This class is in practice computationally under control.
A reduction algorithm and analyses for $n=r^{2}$ is given for $\mathcal{C}_{6}$ class in [3] and some other analyses for $n=r^{3}$ is given in [4]. In this note, we give some analyses of this algorithm for $r^{3}$ and $r^{4}$. Fort his purpose, some preliminaries as follows:

Definition 1: Let $G$ and $H$ be groups and let's assume that $G$ has an action on $H$. Depending on this action, the commutator subgroup is defined as:

$$
\left.\Gamma_{G}(H)=\left\langle g^{h} k h^{-1} k^{-1}\right| g \in G \text { ve } h, k \in H\right\rangle
$$

where $g^{h}$ is the action of $g$ on $h$ [8].
Definition 2: Let $G$ be a group. The lower central series $\left\{\Gamma_{i}(G)\right\}_{(i \in \mathbb{N})}$ of $G$ is defined inductively as follows: $\Gamma_{1}(G)=G$ and for all $i \in \mathbb{N}, \Gamma_{i+1}(G)=\left[G, \Gamma_{i}(G)\right]$. Similarly, the derived series $\left\{G^{(i)}\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}}$ of $G$ is defined as $G^{(0)}=G$ and $G^{(i)}=\left[G^{(i-1)}, G^{(i-1)}\right]$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Note here that $\Gamma_{2}(G)=G^{(1)}=G^{\prime}[9]$.

For any group-theoretic property $\mathcal{P}$ if there exist a group $H$ with property $\mathcal{P}$ for any nontrivial element $x \in G$ and a surjective homomorphism $\varphi: G \rightarrow H$ such that $\varphi(x) \neq 1, G$ is said to be residually $\mathcal{P}$. It is well known that $\bigcap_{i \geq 1} \Gamma_{i}(G)=\{1\}$ (respectively $\bigcap_{i \geq 0} G^{(i)}=\{1\}$ is a necessary and sufficient condition for a group $G$ to be residually nilpotent (resp. residually solvable).

Proposition 1: Let $G$ and $H$ be groups, and let $\varphi: G \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(H)$ be an action of $G$ on $H$. Let $\widehat{H}$ be the subgroup of $H$ generated by elements of the form $\varphi(g)(h) \cdot h^{-1}$, where $g \in G$ and $h \in$ $H$, and let $L$ be the subgroup of $H$ generated by $\Gamma_{2}(H)$ and $\widehat{H}$. In this case, $\varphi$ induces an action of $\Gamma_{2}(G)$ on $L$, also denoted by $\varphi$, and $L \rtimes_{\varphi} \Gamma_{2}(G)=\Gamma_{2}\left(H \rtimes_{\varphi} G\right)$. Specifically, $\Gamma_{2}\left(H \rtimes_{\varphi} G\right)$ is the subgroup generated by $\Gamma_{2}(H), \Gamma_{2}(G)$ and $\widehat{H}$ [9].

Reminder 1: The group $L$ is actually the commutator subgroup $\Gamma_{G}(H)$.
Proposition 2: If $p>3$, the derived subgroup of $S L(2, p)$ is equal to itself [6].
Proposition 3: If $n=2, S L(n, p)=S p(n, p)$ [6].
Proposition 4: If $p=3$ and $n \geq 2$, the derived subgroup of $S p(2 n, p)$ is equal to itself [6].
Proposition 5: If $p \geq 4$, the derived subgroup of $S p(2 n, p)$ is equal to itself [6].
Proposition 6: If $n \geq 3$ or $n=2$ and $p \geq 3$, the derived subgroup of $G L(n, p)$ is equal to itself [7].

Theorem 2: Let $G$ be a group, and let $D_{1}, D_{2}, \ldots, D_{n}$ be its subgroups. If $G=D_{1} \times D_{2} \times \ldots \times D_{n}$ the solvable residue of $G$ is the direct product of the solvable residue of subgroups $D_{i}(1 \leq i \leq$ n) $[5]$.

## MAIN RESULTS

The following auxiliary theorem in [3] forms the basis for the analyses.
Lemma 1: Let $H$ be a finite group and $A$ be the elementary abelian normal $r$ ( $r$ prime) subgroup of $H$. In this case the following is provided:

Let $r>2$ and let $c \in H$ be assumed to be a fixed element that induces-Id on $A$. Let $b$ be any element of the side set $c A$. In this case, for a uniformly distributed random element $h \in H$ and any integer $k$

$$
\operatorname{Prob}\left(h^{k}=b \mid h^{k} \in c A\right)=1 /|A| .
$$

Let $b \in H$ be a fixed element with a nontrivial effect on $A$. In this case for a fixed side set $C$ of $A$ in $H$ and a uniformly distributed random $h \in C$

$$
\operatorname{Prob}([b, h] \neq 1 \mid[b, h] \in A) \geq 1-1 / r .
$$

One of the maximal subgroups of $\operatorname{Sp}(6,3)$ is $G U(3,3) .2$ and solvable residue of this subgroup is $S U(3,3)$ [2].

The theorem involving the analysis for this perfect subgroup is as follows:
Theorem 3: If $\bar{G}=G /(R \cap G) \cong S U(3,3)$, BlindDescent [3] produces a suitable $x$ element with probability greater than $1-\delta$ after processing $750 \log (1 / \delta), y$ elements.

Proof: We have $r=3$ and so,

$$
\operatorname{Prob}([x, y] \in R \cap G) \geq 1 / 500
$$

Also, it is obtained from Lemma 1 that

$$
\operatorname{Prob}([x, y] \notin Z(R \cap G) \mid[x, y] \in R \cap G) \geq 2 / 3
$$

Thus,

$$
\operatorname{Prob}([x, y] \notin Z(R \cap G) \wedge[x, y] \in R \cap G) \geq 1 / 750
$$

Theorem 4: $\bar{G}=G /(R \cap G) \cong P S L(2,17)$, BlindDescent finds a suitable $x$ with probability greater than $1-\delta$ after processing $750 \log (1 / \delta), y$ elements.

Proof: We have $r=2$ and so,

$$
\operatorname{Prob}([x, y] \in R \cap G) \geq 1 / 280
$$

Also, it is obtained from Lemma 1 that

$$
\operatorname{Prob}([x, y] \notin Z(R \cap G) \mid[x, y] \in R \cap G) \geq 1 / 2 .
$$

Hence,

$$
\operatorname{Prob}([x, y] \notin Z(R \cap G) \wedge[x, y] \in R \cap G) \geq 1 / 560
$$
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#### Abstract

In this paper, we study on Gröbner bases of toric ideals and some of their applications. After discussing the basic issues regarding Göbner bases and toric ideals, some applications of Gröbner bases of toric ideals as integer programming, triangulations of convex polytopes and contingency tables (statistics) are mentioned.


## INTRODUCTION

A Gröbner basis is a set of multivariate nonlinear polynomials that allows simple algorithmic solutions for many fundamental problems related to algebraic and applied fields. Formal definition of Gröbner basis and some related concepts are as follows [1]:
Definition 1. Let $I$ be an ideal and $G=\left\{g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{t}\right\}$ be a set of nonzero polynomials of $I$. Then, $G$ is a Gröbner basis if and only if for all $f \in I$ such that $f \neq 0$, there exists $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, t\}$ such that $l p\left(g_{i}\right)$ divides $l p(f)$.

Theorem 1 (Division Algorithm): Given $a, b \in k[x]$ ( $a$ and $b$ are elements of one variable polynomial rings) with $b \neq 0$, there exists unique $q, r \in k[x]$ with $r=0$ or $\operatorname{deg}(r)<$ $\operatorname{deg}(b)$ such that;

$$
a=b q+r
$$

We can use the division algorithm to find the greatest common divisor of two polynomials with Euclidean Algorithm.

Theorem 2 (Euclidean Algorithm): For $a, b \in k[x], b \neq 0,(a, b)=(r n)$ where $r n$ is the last non-zero remainder in the sequence of divisions

$$
\begin{aligned}
a & =b q_{1}+r_{1} \\
b & =r_{1} q_{2}+r_{2} \\
r_{1} & =r_{2} q_{3}+r_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
r_{n-2}=r_{n-1} q_{n}+r_{n} \\
r_{n-1}=r_{n} q_{n}+0
\end{gathered}
$$

Here, $r_{n}=x a+y b$ for $x, y \in k[x]$ can be calculated explicitly (by solving the above equations). We can use these algorithms to decide things like ideal membership (when $a \in$ $\left(a_{1}, . ., a_{k}\right)$ ) and equality (when $\left(a_{1}, . ., a_{k}\right)=\left(b_{1}, . ., b_{k}\right)$ ). Above, as the algorithms progress, they produce smaller degree polynomials at each step, ending with a remainder of zero. To extend these ideas to polynomials in various variables, the concept of the size of polynomials is needed. This is possible with term orders.

Definition 2 (Monomial Order). Let $T_{n}$ be a set of all monomials in the variables $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$. A total order $<$ on $T_{n}$ is called a monomial order if $<$ satisfies the following:

- $u$ is element of $T_{n} ; u \neq 1$ and $1<u$.
- $\quad u, v, w$ is element of $T_{n} ; u<v$ and $u w<v w$.

There are different sorting methods to find the monomial order.

Lexicographic order: It can be define the lexicographical order on $T_{n}$ with $x_{1}>\cdots>x_{n}$ as follows: For

$$
\boldsymbol{\alpha}=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right), \boldsymbol{\beta}=\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{n}
$$

we define

$$
\boldsymbol{x}^{\alpha}<\boldsymbol{x}^{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \Leftrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\text { the first coordinates } \alpha_{i} \text { and } \beta_{i} \text { in } \boldsymbol{\alpha} \text { and } \boldsymbol{\beta} \\
\text { from the left, which are different, satisfy } \alpha_{i}<\beta_{i} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Degree Lexicographic order: : It can be define the lexicographical order on $T_{n}$ with $x_{1}>\cdots>$ $x_{n}$ as follows: For

$$
\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right), \beta=\left(\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{n}\right) \in N^{n}
$$

we define

$$
\boldsymbol{x}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}<\boldsymbol{x}^{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \Leftrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}<\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i} \\
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i} \text { and } \boldsymbol{x}^{\alpha}<\boldsymbol{x}^{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \\
\text { with respect to lex with } x_{1}>x_{2}>\cdots>x_{n} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

## TORIC IDEALS AND APPLICATIONS

Fix a subset $\mathcal{A}=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{d} \backslash\{0\}$. Let $\pi$ a semigroup homomorphism as follows:

$$
\pi: \mathbb{N}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{d}, u=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} u_{i}=A u
$$

Then, $\pi\left(\mathbb{N}^{n}\right)=\left\{A u: u \in \mathbb{N}^{n}\right\}$ is called the monoid generated by $\mathcal{A}$. The semigroup ring of $\mathbb{N}^{n}$ is $k[x]=k\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$, and $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$ is the Laurent ring $k\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right]:=k\left[t_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, t_{d}^{ \pm 1}\right]$. So, it is induced by $\pi$ that

$$
\hat{\pi}: k[x] \rightarrow k\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right], x_{j} \mapsto t^{a_{j}}:=t_{1}^{a_{1 j}} t_{2}^{a_{2 j}} \ldots t_{d}^{a_{d j}}
$$

Definition 3. The toric ideal of $\mathcal{A}$ is the kernel of the map $\hat{\pi}$ which is denoted as $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ [6].
Some applications of Gröbner basis of Toric ideals are as follows:

- Integer programming ([2]).
- Triangulations of convex polytopes ([3],[4]).
- Contingency tables (statistics) ([5]).
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